arXiv:hep-ph/0007077v1 8 Jul 2000

BAO/2000-3

Microscopic Theory of the Standard Model

G.T.Ter-Kazarian

Byurakan Astrophysical Observatory, Armenia 378433
E-mail:gago@bao.sci.am

Abstract

The present article is the revised and updated version of the microscopic approach (MSM) [1,2] to the Standard Model (SM) of high energy physics. The operator manifold formalism (part I) enables the unification of the geometry and the field theory. It yields the quantization of geometry drastically different from earlier suggested schemes. We generalize this formalism via the concept of operator multimanifold leading to the multiworld geometry. The physical conditions are clarified at which the geometry, as well the relativity (special and general) principle, together with the fermion fields serving as the basis for the constituent subquarks come into being. The subquarks emerge in the geometry only in certain permissible combinations utilizing the idea of subquark confinement principle, and have undergone the transformations yielding the internal symmetries and gauge principle. In part II we attempt to develop, further, the MSM, which enables an insight to the key problems of particle phenomenology. Particularly, we derive the Gell-Mann-Nishijima relation and flavour group, infer the only possible low energy SM particle spectrum, and conclude that the leptons are particles with integer electric and leptonic charges, and free of confinement, while quarks carry fractional electric and baryonic charges, and imply the confinement. We suggest the microscopic theory of the unified electroweak interactions with a small number of free parameters, wherein we exploit the background of the local expanded symmetry $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ and P-violation. The Weinberg mixing angle is shown to have fixed value at 30^o. Due to the Bose-condensation of relativistic fermion pairs the Higgs bosons have arisen on an analogy of the Cooper pairs in superconductivity. The resulting theory makes plausible following testable implications for the current experiments at LEP2, at the Tevatron and at LHC:

- *The Higgs bosons never could emerge in spacetime continuum, thus, they cannot be discovered in these experiments nor at any energy range.*
- *For each of the three SM families of quarks and leptons there are corresponding heavy family partners with the same quantum numbers* [1] *and common mass-shift coefficients* $(1+k)$ *given for the low-energy poles at* $k_1 > \sqrt{2}, \quad k_2 = \sqrt{8/3}$ *and* $k_3 = 2$*, lying far above the electroweak scale, respectively, at the energy threshold values:* $E_1 > (419.6 \pm 12.0)GeV, \quad E_2 = (457.6 \pm 13.2)GeV$ and $E_3 = (521.4 \pm 15.0)GeV$.

We predict the Kobayashi-Maskawa quark flavour mixing patterns; the appearance of the CP-violation phase; derive the mass-spectrum of leptons and quarks.

---

[1] This prediction was directly ensued from the MSM [1,2], as well the similar one was made in phenomenological consideration by S.L.Adler [3]).

Contents

1. **Introduction** 4

Part I Introduction to the Operator Manifold Formalism 7

2. **Preliminaries** 7
2.1. Quantization of geometry 8
2.2. Realization of the flat manifold G 11
3. **Mathematical background** 13
4. **Beyond the geometry and fields** 14
4.1. The regular primordial structures 15
4.2. The distorted primordial structures 16
4.3. "Quark" and "colour" confinement 18
4.4. Gauge principle; internal symmetries 19
5. **Operator multimanifold $\hat{G}_N$** 21
5.1. Operator vector and covector fields 21
5.2. Realization of the multimanifold G_N 23
5.3. Subquarks and subcolour confinement 24

Part II Realization of the Particle Physics 25

6. **The MW-structure of the particles** 25
6.1. Realization of the $Q-$world and Gell-Mann-Nisijima relation 27
6.2. The symmetries of the $W-$ and $B-$worlds 28
6.3. The microscopic structure of leptons: lepton generations 29
6.4. The microscopic structure of quarks: quark generations 30
6.5. The flavour group $SU_f(6)$ 31
6.6. The primary field 31
6.7. A generation of the fermion mass in the $Q-$world 33
6.8. The electroweak interactions: the $P-$violation 35
6.9. The reduction coefficient and the Weinberg mixing angle 36
6.10. Emergence of composite isospinor-scalar bosons 37
7. **The Higgs boson** 39
7.1. The Bose-condensate of iso-pairs 39
7.2. The non-relativistic approximation 42
7.3. The relativistic treatment 43
7.4. Self-interacting potential of Bose-condensate 45
7.5. The four-component Bose-condensate in magnetic field 47
7.6. Extension to lower temperatures 50
8. **Lagrangian of electroweak interactions; the transmission of the electroweak symmetry breaking from the $W-$world to spacetime continuum** 52
9. **The two solid phenomenological implications of the MSM** 54
10. **Quark flavour mixing and the Cabibbo angles** 55
11. **The appearance of the CP-violating phase** 58
12. **The mass-spectrum of leptons and quarks** 59

13. **The physical outlook and concluding remarks** 60
14. **Acknowledgements** 63
15. **Appendix A**: Mathematical background (a continuation). 63
16. **Appendix B**: Reflection of the Fermi fields 72
17. **Appendix C**: The solution of wave equation of distorted structure 74
18. **Appendix D**: Field aspect of the OMM 76
19. **References** 76

1 Introduction

A definite pattern for the theoretical description of particle physics has emerged based on the framework of the Standard Model (SM) of high energy physics [4-22], which is built up from observation for prediction and correlation of the new data. Although the SM has proven to be in spectacular agreement with experimental measurements and quite successful in a predicting a wide range of phenomena, however, it is not exception to the rule that as the phenomenological approach it suffers from own difficulties. There were still many open key questions arisen inevitably that we have no understanding why the SM is as it is? Why is the gauge symmetry? Why is this the particle spectrum? The mechanism of the electroweak symmetry breaking is a complete mystery. The most problematic ingredient of such a breaking is the Higgs boson (in simplest version), which has not yet been discovered experimentally. The untested aspects of SM are the mass spectrum of the particles, the mixing patterns and the CP violation. The latter is introduced through complex Yukawa couplings of fermions to Higgs bosons, resulting in complex parameters in the CKM matrix. The SM contains a large number of a *priori* free parameters, while a consistent complete theory would not have so many free parameters. It is important then to develop the other schemes that attempt to reduce the number of free parameters. All the approaches proposed towards the unified gauge field theory, e.g. [11-42], have own advantages and difficulties. But, it is for one thing, the basic concepts and right symmetries, as a rule, were inserted in all of them in *ad hoc* manner. However, their physical essence and origin still remain unknown and, therefore, the resulting theory is beset by various difficulties. The key of solution rested entirely in the searches for the new mathematical structures enabling an insight, in microscopic sense, to the conceptual foundation of particle physics.

To address to some of the above mentioned nagging questions of the SM recently the MSM is developed in [1,2], wherein the proliferation of lepton and quark flavours prompts us to consider the fields as composites. Certainly, it may seem foolhardy to set up such a picture in the spacetime continuum. The difficulties arisen here are well-known. The first problem is closely related to the expected mass differences of particles, which in this case would be too large ($\geq 1TeV$). Another problem concerns the transformations of particles. Our idea is to remove these difficulties by employing the multiworld (MW) geometry ensued from the operator manifold (OM) formalism [1]. The revised, expanded and completed version of [1,2] is suggested in the present article, which is organized as follows: The OM formalism is given in the part I, wherein to facilitate writing the main new features of the new version are firstly represented in the restructuring of the old one. We forbear to write out in the main text the pieces including only the technical issues, which have now replaced into corresponding appendices as they are a somewhat lengthy and so standard. Both the quantum field and differential geometric aspects of the OM formalism are studied. The OM enables to develop an approach to the unification of the geometry and the field theory. The former is equivalent to the configuration space wave mechanics incorporated with geometric properties leading to the quantization of geometry strongly different from earlier suggested schemes (subsec.2.1, App.A). Within the framework of algebraic approach we have reached to rigorous definition of the OM. While, we consider one parameter group of operator diffeomorphisms, the operator differential forms and their integration, also operator exterior differentiation. We generalize this formalism via the concept of operator multimanifold (OMM) (sec.5), which yields the MW geometry (subsec.5.2) involving the spacetime continuum and internal worlds of the given number. In an enlarged framework of the OMM we define and clarify the conceptual basis of subquarks and their characteristics stemming from the various symmetries of the internal worlds (subsec.5.3). The OMM formalism has the following features:

- *It provides a natural unification of the geometry- yielding the 1) special and 2) general relativity principles, and the fermion fields serving as the basis for the constituent subquarks (subsec.5.3).*
- *It has cleared up the physical conditions in which the geometry and particles come into being (subsec.2.2, 5.2).*
- *The subquarks emerge in the geometry only in certain permissible combinations utilizing the idea of the 3) subcolour (subquark) confinement principle, and have undergone the transformations yielding the internal symmetries and 4) gauge principle.*

These results are used in the part II to develop the updated version of the MSM [2]. The main new features of the new version are as follows: 1) In dealing with a new round of the experiments, in this paper, we supplement this previous discussion, first by including a detailed description of the remarkable specific mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking arisen in the MSM (sec.8); 2) The two solid phenomenological testable implications of the MSM are given in the sec.9; 3) Finally, we derive a physically more realistic mass spectrum of the leptons and quarks (sec.12) instead of the former one inferred within the simplified scheme [2].

All the fields including the leptons and quarks along with the spacetime component have also the nontrivial composite internal MW-structure (sec.6). While, the various subquarks then are defined on the corresponding internal worlds. The possible elementary particles are thought to be composite dynamical systems in analogy to quantum mechanical stationary states of compound atom, but, now a dynamical treatment built up on the MW geometry is quite different and more amenable to qualitative understanding. The microscopic structure of leptons, quarks and other particles will be governed by the only possible conjunctions of constituent subquarks implying concrete symmetries. Although within considered schemes the subquarks are defined on the internal worlds, however the resulting spacetime components of particles, which we are going to deal with to describe the leptons and quarks defined on the spacetime continuum, are affected by them (subsec.6.4) in such a way that they carry exactly all the quantum numbers of the various constituent subquarks of the given composition. The MSM enables an insight to the key concepts of particle physics (subsec.6.1-6.6), and to conclude that the leptons are particles with integer electric and leptonic charges and free of confinement, while the quarks carry fractional electric and baryonic charges and imply the confinement. The theoretical significance of the MSM resides in the microscopic interpretation of all physical parameters. We derive the Gell-Mann-Nishijima relation and the flavour group. The MW structure of primary field (subsec.6.6, 6.7) is described by the gauge invariant Lagrangian involving nonlinear fermion interactions of the internal field components somewhat similar to the theory by Heisenberg and his co-workers [51,52], but still it will be defined on the MW geometry. This Lagrangian is the whole story since all the complexity of the leptons, quarks and their interactions arises from it. The number of free parameters in this Lagrangian is reduced to primary coupling constant of the nonlinear interaction and gauge coupling. Based on it, we consider the microscopic theory of the unified electroweak interactions (subsec.6.8-sub.12). It follows that contemporary phenomenological SM is an approximation to the suggested microscopic approach. We exploit the background of the local symmetry $SU^{loc}(2) \otimes U^{loc}(1)$ (subsec.6.8), the weak hypercharge and P (mirror symmetry)-violation. The Weinberg mixing angle determining the symmetry reduction coefficient is shown to have a value fixed at 30^o (subsec.6.9). We develop the microscopic approach to the isospinor Higgs boson with self-interaction and Yukawa couplings (subsec.6.10, sec.7). It involves Higgs boson as the collective excitations of bound quasi-particle pair. Tracing a resemblance with the Cooper pairs [53-55], within the framework of local gauge invariance of the theory incorporated with the phenomenon of P-violation in weak interactions we suggest a mechanism providing the Bose-condensation of relativistic fermion pairs. In contrast to the SM, it predicts both the electroweak symmetry breaking in the W-world by the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of spin zero Higgs bosons and its transmission from the $W-$world to the spacetime continuum (sec.8). This is the most remarkable feature of our approach especially in the view of existing great belief of the conventional theories for a discovery of the Higgs boson with other new particles at next round of experiments at LEP2, at the Tevatron, at LHC and other colliders, which will explore the TeV energy range (e.g. see [50]). The LEP2 data (is currently running at 189 GeV) provide a lower limit $m_H > 89.3GeV$ on its mass in simplest version. Furthermore, there is a tight upper limit ($m_{h^0} < 150GeV$) on the mass of the lightest Higgs boson h^0 among the 5 physical Higgs bosons predicted by the models of Minimal Supersymmetric Exstention of the SM (MSSM). The current direct search limits from LEP2 give $m_{h^0} > 75GeV$. Therefore, the future searches for this boson (if the mass is below 150 GeV or so) would be a crucial point in testing the efforts made in the conventional models building as well in the present MSM based on a quite different approaches. Actually, reflecting upon the results far obtained in the sec.9, in strong contrast to conventional theories, the MSM rejects drastically any expectation of discovery of the Higgs boson, but in the same time it expects to include a rich spectrum of new particles at higher energies. Thus, if the MSM proves viable it becomes an crucial issue to hold in experiments the following two solid tests:

- *The Higgs bosons never could emerge in spacetime continuum since they have arisen only on the internal W-world, i.e., thus, the unobserved effects produced by such bosons cannot be discovered in experiments nor at any energy range.*
- *For each of the three Standard Model families of quarks and leptons, there are corresponding heavy family partners with the same quantum numbers lying far above the electroweak scale.*

Regarding to the last phenomenological implication of the MSM, it is remarkable that the similar in many respects prediction is made in somewhat different context by S.L.Adler [3] within a phenomenological scheme of a compositeness of the quarks and leptons. It based on the generic group theoretical framework of rishon type models exploring the preon constituents. But, therein the most important specification of this scale is absent. Although one admits that such a scale could be much higher than electroweak scale, however, it is also necessary special argumentations in support of validity of this prediction in the case if this scale has turned out to be low enough, namely, if these heavy partners lie not too far above the electroweak scale. Even thus, as it is notified in [3], one must not worry for the existence of 6 heavy flavors, which is then marginally compatible with the current LEP data [18]. A complete analysis of this question, naturally, is possible now in suggested microscopic approach. The MSM enables oneself to study in detail the phenomenology associated with such extra heavy families and to estimate the value of energy threshold of their creation. While, the low energy scale could not be realized since it lies far below the energy threshold of the next pole necessary for appearing of the heavy partners. The estimate gives the common mass-shift coefficients $(1+k)$, where k reads for the next few low energy poles with respect to the lowest one: $k_0 = 0, \quad k_1 > \sqrt{2}, \quad k_2 = \sqrt{8/3}$ and $k_3 = 2$. The first one obviously does not produce the extra families, but the energy thresholds corresponded to the next non-trivial poles can be respectively written: $E_1 > (419.6 \pm 12.0) GeV, \quad E_2 = (457.6 \pm 13.2) GeV$ and $E_3 = (521.4 \pm 15.0) GeV$. Thus, which of these schemes above, if any, is realized either exactly or at least approximately in nature remains to be seen in the years to come.

Finally we attempt to predict the mixing angles in the six-quark KM model (sec.10), the appearance of the CP-violation phase (sec.11) and derive the mass spectrum of the leptons and quarks (sec.12). The physical outlook on suggested approach and concluding remarks are given in the sec.13, which have involved in order once again to resume a whole physical picture and to provide a sufficient background for its understanding without undue hardship. The appendices will complete the mathematical framework.

Our approach still should be considered as a preliminary one, wherein we have contended ourselves with a rather modest task and do not profess to have any clear-cut answers to all the problems of particle physics, the complete picture of which is largely beyond the scope of the present paper. The only argument that prompts us to consider present approach seriously is the remarkable feature that the most important properties of particle phenomenology can be derived naturally within its framework. Therefore we hope that it will be an attractive basis for the future theory. Although many key problems are elucidated within outlined approach, nevertheless some issues still remain to be solved. To go any further in exploring the significance of obtained results it is entirely feasible, for instance, to promote the MSM into the supersymmetric framework in order to solve its technical aspects of the vacuum zero point energy and hierarchy problems, and attempt to develop the realistic viable microscopic theory (VMSM), which will be carried out in subsequent paper. Given therein the VMSM will make a new predictions about observing of the supersymmetric partners drastically different from those of conventional MSSM-models.

Part I

Introduction to the Operator Manifold Formalism

The mathematical framework of the OM formalism reveals primordial deeper structures underlying the fundamental concepts of the particle physics. Here we explore the query how did the geometry and fields, as they are, come into being. In the first our major purpose is to prove the idea that the geometry and fields, with the internal symmetries and all interactions, as well the four major principles of relativity (special and general), quantum, gauge and colour confinement are derivative, and they come into being simultaneously. The substance out of which the geometry and fields are made is the "primordial structures" involved into reciprocal "linkage" establishing processes (sec.4)

2 Preliminaries

This section contains some of the necessary preliminaries on generic of the OM formalism [1], which one to know in order to understand our approach. We adopt then all the ideas

and conventions of [1] and to be brief we often suppress the indices without notice.
We start by tracing at elementary level the relevant steps of motivation of the OM formalism:

- First step is an extension of the Minkowski space $\underset{x}{M_4} \to M_8 = \underset{x}{M_4} \oplus \underset{u}{M_4}$ in order to introduce the particle mass operator defined on the internal world $\underset{u}{M_4}$. For example, in the case of Dirac's particle one proceeds at once:

$$(\underbrace{\gamma p}_{x} - m)\underset{x}{\Psi} = 0 \quad \to \quad \gamma p \psi = 0,$$

provided by $\psi = \underset{x}{\Psi}\,\underset{u}{\Psi}$, $\quad \gamma p = \underbrace{\gamma p}_{x} - \underbrace{\gamma p}_{u}$, $\quad m\underset{u}{\Psi} \equiv \underbrace{\gamma p}_{u}\underset{u}{\Psi}$ and

$$d\,x^2 = inv \quad \to \quad d\,x_8^2 = d\,x^2 - d\,u^2 = 0, \quad x_8 \in M_8.$$

The same holds for the other fields of arbitrary spin.

- Next, a two-steps passage $M_4 \to M_6 \overset{45^0}{\to} G_6$ will be performed for each sample of the M_4.

a) A passage $M_4 \to M_6$ restores the complete equivalence between the three spacial and three time components:

$$e_4 = (\vec{e}, e_0) \quad \to \quad \vec{e}_6 = (\vec{e}, \vec{e}_0) \in M_6, \quad x_4 = (\vec{x}, x_0) \quad \to \quad x_6 = (\vec{x}, \vec{x}_0) \in M_6.$$

b) A rotation $M_6 \overset{45^0}{\to} G_6$ of the basis vectors on the angle 45^0 provides an adequate algebra for quantization of the geometry (subsec.2.1):

$$\vec{e}_6 \overset{45^0}{\to} e_{(\lambda\alpha)}, \quad \lambda = \pm, \quad \alpha = 1,2,3,$$

$$e_{\pm\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{0\alpha} \pm e_\alpha) = O_\pm \otimes \sigma_\alpha, \quad < O_\lambda, O_\tau >= 1 - \delta_{\lambda\tau}, \quad < \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta >= \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Accordingly one gets $M_8 \to G_{12}$. Thus, within a simplified scheme (one u- channel) of the following it is convenient to deal in terms of smooth differentiable manifold

$$G = \underset{\eta}{G} \oplus \underset{u}{G},$$

$Dim\, G = 12, \quad Dim\, \underset{i}{G} = 6 \quad (i = \eta, u).$

- Finally, in suggested approach we will be dealing in terms of first degree of the line element, which entails an additional phase multiplier $\Phi(\zeta)$ for the vector defined on G:

$$d\,\zeta^2 \quad \to \quad d\,\vec{\zeta}\,e^{iS}, \quad \vec{\zeta} \quad \to \quad \vec{\Phi}(\zeta) = \vec{\zeta}\,\Phi(\zeta), \quad \Phi(\zeta) \equiv e^{iS},$$

where $\vec{\zeta} = \vec{e}\,\zeta, \quad \vec{e} = (\underset{\eta}{\vec{e}}, \underset{u}{\vec{e}}), \quad S(\zeta)$ is the invariant action defined on G.

2.1 Quantization of Geometry

The $\{e_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} = O_{\lambda,\mu} \otimes \sigma_\alpha\} \subset G$ $(\lambda, \mu = 1,2; \quad \alpha = 1,2,3)$ are linear independent 12 unit vectors at the point p of the 12 dimensional smooth differentiable manifold G, provided by the linear unit bipseudovectors $O_{\lambda,\mu}$ and the ordinary unit vectors σ_α implying

$$< O_{\lambda,\mu}, O_{\tau,\nu} >= {}^*\delta_{\lambda,\tau}{}^*\delta_{\mu,\nu} \quad < \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta >= \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad {}^*\delta = 1 - \delta,$$

where δ is Kronecker symbol, $\{O_{\lambda,\mu} = O_\lambda \otimes O_\mu\}$ is the basis for tangent vectors of 2×2 dimensional linear pseudospace ${}^*\mathbf{R}^4 = {}^*\mathbf{R}^2 \otimes {}^*\mathbf{R}^2$, the σ_α refer to three dimensional ordinary space $\mathbf{R}^3$. Henceforth, we always let the first two subscripts in the parentheses to denote the pseudovector components, while the third refers to the ordinary vector components. The metric on G is $\hat{\mathbf{g}} : \mathbf{T}_p \otimes \mathbf{T}_p \to C^\infty(G)$ a section of conjugate vector bundle $S^2\mathbf{T}$. Any vector $\mathbf{A}_p \in \mathbf{T}_p$ reads $\mathbf{A} = eA$, provided with components A in the basis $\{e\}$. In holonomic coordinate basis $(\partial/\partial\,\zeta)_p$ one gets $A = \left.\dfrac{d\,\zeta}{d\,t}\right|_p$ and $\hat{g} = gd\zeta \otimes d\zeta$.
The manifold G decomposes as follows:

$$G = {}^*\mathbf{R}^2 \otimes {}^*\mathbf{R}^2 \otimes \mathbf{R}^3 = \underset{\eta}{G} \oplus \underset{u}{G} = \sum_{\lambda,\mu=1}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{R}^3_{\lambda\mu} = \underset{x}{\mathbf{R}}^3 \oplus \underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3 \oplus \underset{u}{\mathbf{R}}^3 \oplus \underset{u_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3$$

with corresponding basis vectors $\underset{i}{e}_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \underset{i}{O}_\lambda \otimes \sigma_\alpha \subset \underset{i}{G}$ $(\lambda = \pm, \quad i = \eta, u)$ of tangent sections, where

$$\underset{i}{O}_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(O_{1,1} + \varepsilon_i O_{2,1}), \quad \underset{i}{O}_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(O_{1,2} + \varepsilon_i O_{2,2}), \quad \varepsilon_\eta = 1, \quad \varepsilon_u = -1.$$

Hence $< \underset{i}{O}_\lambda, \underset{j}{O}_\tau >= \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij} {}^*\delta_{\lambda\tau}$. The $\underset{\eta}{G}$ decomposes into three dimensional ordinary and time flat spaces

$$\underset{\eta}{G} = \underset{x}{\mathbf{R}}^3 \oplus \underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3$$

with the signatures $sgn(\underset{x}{\mathbf{R}}^3) = (+++)$ and $sgn(\underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3) = (---)$. The same holds for the $\underset{u}{G}$ with opposite signatures $sgn(\underset{u}{\mathbf{R}}^3) = (---)$ and $sgn(\underset{u_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3) = (+++)$.
The positive metric forms are defined on manifolds $\underset{i}{G} : \quad \eta^2 \in \underset{\eta}{G}, \quad u^2 \in \underset{u}{G}$. The passage to the four-dimensional Minkowski space is a further step as follows: since all directions in $\underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3$ are equivalent, then by notion *time* one implies the projection of time-coordinate on fixed arbitrary universal direction in $\underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3$. This clearly respects the physical ground. By such a reduction $\underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^3 \to \underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^1$ the passage

$$\underset{\eta}{G} \to M_4 = \underset{x}{\mathbf{R}}^3 \oplus \underset{x_0}{\mathbf{R}}^1$$

may be performed whenever it will be necessary.
In the other case of the six dimensional curved manifold $\widetilde{G}$ (subsec.4.2), the passage to four dimensional Riemannian geometry R_4 is straightforward by making use of reduction of three time components $e_{0\alpha} = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{(+\alpha)} + e_{(-\alpha)})$ of basis sixvector $e_{(\lambda\alpha)}$ to the single one e_0 in the given universal direction, which merely has fixed the time coordinate. Actually, since Lagrangian of the fields defined on $\widetilde{G}$ is a function of scalars such as $A_{(\lambda\alpha)}B^{(\lambda\alpha)} = A_{0\alpha}B^{0\alpha} + A_\alpha B^\alpha$, thus, taking into account that $A_{0\alpha}B^{0\alpha} = A_{0\alpha} < e^{0\alpha}, e^{0\beta} > B_{0\beta} = A_0 < e^0, e^0 > B_0 = A_0 B^0$, one readily may perform the required passage. Hence

$$d\,\zeta^2 = d\,\eta^2 - d\,u^2 = 0, \quad d\,\eta^2\Big|_{6\to 4} \equiv d\,s^2 = g_{\mu\nu} d\,x^\mu d\,x^\nu = d\,u^2 = inv.$$

For more discussion see App.B or [1].
Unifying the geometry and particles into one framework the OM formalism is analogous

to the method of secondary quantization with appropriate expansion over the geometric objects. We proceed at once to the secondary quantization of geometry by substituting the basis elements for the creation and annihilation operators acting in the configuration space of occupation numbers. Instead of pseudo vectors O_λ we introduce the operators supplied by additional index (r) referring to the quantum numbers of corresponding state

$$\hat{O}^r_1 = O^r_1\alpha_1, \quad \hat{O}^r_2 = O^r_2\alpha_2, \quad \hat{O}^\lambda_r = {}^*\delta^{\lambda\mu}\hat{O}^r_\mu = (\hat{O}^r_\lambda)^+, \quad \{\hat{O}^r_\lambda, \hat{O}^{r'}_\tau\} = \delta_{rr'}{}^*\delta_{\lambda\tau}I_2. \tag{2.1.1}$$

The matrices α_λ satisfy the condition $\{\alpha_\lambda, \alpha_\tau\} = {}^*\delta_{\lambda\tau}I_2$, where $\alpha^\lambda = {}^*\delta^{\lambda\mu}\alpha_\mu = (\alpha_\lambda)^+$, and $I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For example $\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The creation $\hat{O}^r_1$ and annihilation $\hat{O}^r_2$ operators are acting as follows:

$$\hat{O}^r_1 \mid 0 >= O^r_1 \mid 1 >, \quad \hat{O}^r_2 \mid 1 >= O^r_2 \mid 0 >,$$

where $\mid 0 >\equiv\mid 0, 0, \ldots >$ and $\mid 1 >\equiv\mid 0, \ldots, 1, \ldots >$ are respectively the nonoccupied vacuum state and the one occupied state. Thus, $\hat{O}^r_1 \mid 1 >= 0, \quad \hat{O}^r_2 \mid 0 >=0$. A matrix realization of such states, for instance, can be: $\mid 0 >\equiv \chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$\mid 1 >\equiv \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence $\chi_0 \equiv\mid 0 >= \prod\limits_{r=1}^{N}(\chi_1)_r$ and $\chi_{r'} \equiv\mid 1 >= (\chi_2)_{r'} \prod\limits_{r\neq r'}(\chi_1)_r$.

Also, instead of ordinary basis vectors we introduce the operators $\hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha \equiv \delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\sigma^r_\beta\widetilde{\sigma}_\gamma$, where $\widetilde{\sigma}_\gamma$ are Pauli's matrices such that

$$< \sigma^r_\alpha, \sigma^{r'}_\beta >= \delta_{rr'}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^\alpha_r = \delta^{\alpha\beta}\hat{\sigma}^r_\beta = (\hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha)^+ = \hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha, \quad \{\hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha, \hat{\sigma}^{r'}_\beta\} = 2\delta_{rr'}\delta_{\alpha\beta}I_2. \tag{2.1.2}$$

The vacuum state $\mid 0 >\equiv \varphi_{1(\alpha)}$ and the one occupied state $\mid 1_{(\alpha)} >\equiv \varphi_{2(\alpha)}$ read: $\varphi_{1(\alpha)} \equiv \chi_1, \quad \varphi_{2(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_{2(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_{2(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, thus,

$$\hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha\varphi_{1(\alpha)} = \sigma^r_\alpha\varphi_{2(\alpha)} = (\sigma^r_\alpha\widetilde{\sigma}_\alpha)\varphi_{1(\alpha)}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha\varphi_{2(\alpha)} = \sigma^r_\alpha\varphi_{1(\alpha)} = (\sigma^r_\alpha\widetilde{\sigma}_\alpha)\varphi_{2(\alpha)}.$$

Whence, the single eigenvalue $(\sigma^r_\alpha\widetilde{\sigma}_\alpha)$ associates with different $\varphi_{\lambda(\alpha)}$, namely it is degenerate with degeneracy degree equal 2. Thus, among quantum numbers r there is also the quantum number of the half integer spin $\vec{\sigma}$ ($\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{2}s, \quad s = \pm 1$). This consequently gives rise to the spins of particles. The one occupied state reads $\varphi_{r'(\alpha)} = (\varphi_{2(\alpha)})_{r'} \prod\limits_{r\neq r'}(\chi_1)_r$.

Next, we introduce the operators

$$\hat{\gamma}^r_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} \equiv \hat{O}^{r_1}_\lambda \otimes \hat{O}^{r_2}_\mu \otimes \hat{\sigma}^{r_3}_\alpha$$

and the state vectors

$$\chi_{\lambda,\mu,\tau(\alpha)} \equiv\mid \lambda, \mu, \tau(\alpha) >= \chi_\lambda \otimes \chi_\mu \otimes \varphi_{\tau(\alpha)},$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \tau, \nu = 1, 2; \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ and $r \equiv (r_1, r_2, r_3)$. Omitting two valuedness of state vectors we apply $\mid \lambda, \tau, \delta(\beta) >\equiv\mid \lambda, \tau >$, and remember that always the summation must be extended over the double degeneracy of the spin states ($s = \pm 1$). The explicit matrix elements of basis vectors read

$$< \lambda, \mu \mid \hat{\gamma}^r_{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)} \mid \tau, \nu >= {}^*\delta_{\lambda\tau}{}^*\delta_{\mu\nu}e^r_{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)}, \quad < \tau, \nu \mid \hat{\gamma}^{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)}_r \mid \lambda, \mu >= {}^*\delta_{\lambda\tau}{}^*\delta_{\mu\nu}e^{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)}_r. \tag{2.1.3}$$

for given λ, μ. The operators of occupation numbers

$$\underset{1}{\hat{N}}{}^{rr'}_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{\gamma}^{r}_{(1,1,\alpha)}\hat{\gamma}^{r'}_{(2,2,\beta)}, \quad \underset{2}{\hat{N}}{}^{rr'}_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{\gamma}^{r}_{(2,1,\alpha)}\hat{\gamma}^{r'}_{(1,2,\beta)} \tag{2.1.4}$$

have the expectation values implying Pauli's exclusion principle

$$\begin{aligned} &< 2,2 \mid \underset{1}{\hat{N}}{}^{rr'}_{\alpha\beta} \mid 2,2 >=< 1,2 \mid \underset{2}{\hat{N}}{}^{rr'}_{\alpha\beta} \mid 1,2 >= \delta_{rr'}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ &< 1,1 \mid \underset{1}{\hat{N}}{}^{rr'}_{\alpha\beta} \mid 1,1 >=< 2,1 \mid \underset{2}{\hat{N}}{}^{rr'}_{\alpha\beta} \mid 2,1 >= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.5}$$

The operators $\{\hat{\gamma}^{r}\}$ are the basis for tangent operator vectors $\hat{\Phi}(\zeta) = \hat{\gamma}^{r}\Phi_{r}(\zeta)$ of the 12 dimensional flat OM: $\hat{G}$, where we introduce the vector function belonging to the ordinary class of functions of C^{∞} smoothness defined on the manifold G: $\Phi_{r}^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}(\zeta) = \zeta^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}\Phi_{r}^{\lambda,\mu}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in G$. But, the operators $\{\hat{\gamma}_{r}\}$ is a dual basis for operator covectors $\bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) = \hat{\gamma}_{r}\Phi^{r}(\zeta)$, where $\Phi^{r} = \bar{\Phi}_{r}$ (charge conjugated). Hence

$$< \lambda, \mu \mid \hat{\Phi}(\zeta)\bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \mid \lambda, \mu >= {}^{*}\delta_{\lambda\tau}{}^{*}\delta_{\mu\nu}\Phi_{r}^{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)}(\zeta)\Phi^{r}_{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)}(\zeta), \tag{2.1.6}$$

for given λ, μ. Considering the state vectors $\mid \chi_{\pm} >$ eq.(A.1.16) we get the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} &< \chi_{+} \mid \hat{\Phi}(\zeta)\bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \mid \chi_{+} >\equiv \Phi_{+}^{2}(\zeta) = \quad \Phi_{r}^{(\lambda,1,\alpha)}(\zeta)\Phi^{r}_{(\lambda,1,\alpha)}(\zeta), \\ &< \chi_{-} \mid \hat{\Phi}(\zeta)\bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \mid \chi_{-} >\equiv \Phi_{-}^{2}(\zeta) = \Phi_{r}^{(\lambda,2,\alpha)}(\zeta)\Phi^{r}_{(\lambda,2,\alpha)}(\zeta). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.7}$$

The basis $\{\hat{\gamma}^{r}\}$ decomposes into $\{\underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{r}\} \quad (i = \eta, u)$, where

$$\underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{r}_{(+\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma^{r}_{(1,1\alpha)} + \varepsilon_{i}\gamma^{r}_{(2,1\alpha)}), \quad \underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{r}_{(-\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma^{r}_{(1,2\alpha)} + \varepsilon_{i}\gamma^{r}_{(2,2\alpha)}).$$

The expansion of operator vectors $\underset{i}{\hat{\Psi}} \in \underset{i}{\hat{G}}$ and operator covectors $\underset{i}{\bar{\hat{\Psi}}}$ are written $\underset{i}{\hat{\Psi}} = \underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{r}\underset{i}{\Psi}_{r}, \quad \underset{i}{\bar{\hat{\Psi}}} = \underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}_{r}\underset{i}{\Psi}{}^{r}$, where the following vector functions of C^{∞} smoothness are defined on the manifolds $\underset{i}{G}$:

$$\underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{(\pm\alpha)}(\eta, p_{\eta}) = \eta^{(\pm\alpha)}\underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{\pm}(\eta, p_{\eta}), \quad \underset{u}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{(\pm\alpha)}(u, p_{u}) = u^{(\pm\alpha)}\underset{u}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{\pm}(u, p_{u}). \tag{2.1.8}$$

Namely, the probability of finding the vector function in the state r with given sixvector of coordinate (η or u) and momentum (p_{η} or p_{u}) is determined by the square of its state wave function $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{\pm}(\eta, p_{\eta})$, or $\underset{u}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{\pm}(u, p_{u})$. Due to the spin states, the $\underset{i}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{\pm}$ can be regarded as the Fermi field of the positive and negative frequencies $\underset{i}{\Psi}{}_{r}^{\pm} \equiv \underset{i}{\Psi}{}^{r}_{\pm p}$.

2.2 Realization of the Flat Manifold G

The bispinor $\Psi(\zeta)$ defined on the manifold $G = \underset{\eta}{G} \oplus \underset{u}{G}$ can be written $\Psi(\zeta) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta)\,\underset{u}{\Psi}(u)$, where $\underset{i}{\Psi}$ is the bispinor defined on the manifold $\underset{i}{G}$. The free state of i-type fermion with definite values of momentum p_{i} and spin projection s is described by plane waves (App.A).

The relations of orthogonality and completeness hold for the spinors. Considering also the solutions of negative frequencies, we make use of localized wave packets constructed by means of superposition of plane wave solutions furnished by creation and annihilation operators in agreement with Pauli's principle

$$\underset{i}{\hat{\Psi}} = \sum_{\pm s} \int \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}_{(+\alpha)} \underset{i}{\Psi}^{(+\alpha)} + \underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}_{(-\alpha)} \underset{i}{\Psi}^{(-\alpha)} \right),$$

etc, where the summation is extended over all dummy indices. In such a manner we can treat as well the wave packets of operator vector fields $\hat{\Phi}(\zeta)$. While the matrix element of the anticommutator of expansion coefficients reads

$$< \chi_- \mid \{ \underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}^{(+\alpha)}(p_i, s),\, \underset{j}{\hat{\gamma}}_{(+\beta)}(p'_j, s') \} \mid \chi_- >= \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}_i - \vec{p'}_i). \tag{2.2.1}$$

In the aftermath, we get the most important relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\lambda=\pm} < \chi_\lambda \mid \hat{\Phi}(\zeta) \bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \mid \chi_\lambda >= \sum_{\lambda=\pm} < \chi_\lambda \mid \bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \hat{\Phi}(\zeta) \mid \chi_\lambda >= \\ &= -i\, \zeta^2 \underset{\zeta}{G}(0) = -i \left(\eta^2 \underset{\eta}{G}(0) - u^2 \underset{u}{G}(0) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.2}$$

where $\underset{i}{G}(0) \equiv \lim_{i \to i'} \underset{i}{G}(i - i'), \quad (i = \zeta, \eta, u,)$, etc., the Green's function $\underset{i}{G}(i - i') = -(i \underset{i}{\hat{\partial}} + m) \underset{i}{\Delta}(i - i')$ is provided by the usual invariant singular functions $\underset{i}{\Delta}(i - i')$. Realization of the flat manifold G ensued from the constraint imposed upon the matrix element eq.(2.2.2) that, as the geometric object, it is required to be finite

$$\sum_{\lambda=\pm} < \chi_\lambda \mid \hat{\Phi}(\zeta) \bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \mid \chi_\lambda >< \infty, \tag{2.2.3}$$

which gives rise to

$$\zeta^2 \underset{\zeta}{G}_F(0) < \infty, \tag{2.2.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\underset{\zeta}{G}_F(0) = \underset{\eta}{G}_F(0) = \underset{u}{G}_F(0) = \\ &= \lim_{u \to u'} \left[-i \sum_{\vec{p}_u} \underset{u}{\Psi}_{p_u}(u)\, \underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}_{p_u}(u')\, \theta(u_0 - u'_0) + i \sum_{\vec{p}_u} \underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}_{-p_u}(u')\, \underset{u}{\Psi}_{-p_u}(u)\, \theta(u'_0 - u_0) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.5}$$

where the $\underset{\zeta}{G}_F, \underset{\eta}{G}_F$ and $\underset{u}{G}_F$ are causal Green's functions characterized by the boundary condition that only positive frequency occur for $\eta_0 > 0 \quad (u_0 > 0)$, only negative for $\eta_0 < 0 \quad (u_0 < 0)$. Here $\eta_0 =\mid \vec{\eta}_0 \mid$, $\eta_{0\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_{(+\alpha)} + \eta_{(-\alpha)})$ and the same holds for u_0. Satisfying the condition eq.(2.2.4) the length of each vector $\zeta = e\zeta \in G$ (see eq.(2.2.2)) compulsory must be equaled zero

$$\zeta^2 = \eta^2 - u^2 = 0. \tag{2.2.6}$$

Thus, the requirement eq.(2.2.3) provided by eq.(2.2.5) yields the realization of the flat manifold G, which subsequently leads to Minkowski flat space M_4 (subsec.2.1) where, according to eq.(2.2.6), the relativity principle holds

$$d\,\eta^2 \Big|_{6 \to 4} \equiv d\,s^2 = d\,u^2 = inv.$$

3 Mathematical Background

• Field Aspect

The quantum field theory of the OM is equivalent to configuration space wave mechanics employing the antisymmetric state functions incorporated with geometric properties of corresponding objects (see App.A or [1]. Therein, by applying the algebraic approach we reach to rigorous definition of the OM: $\hat{G}$, construct the explicit forms of wave state functions and calculate the matrix elements of field operators. While, the $\hat{G}$ reads

$$\hat{G} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{G}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)} \right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\ldots,r_n)} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}_{r_1}, \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}_{r_n}$ is the open neighbourhood of the n-points $\hat{\zeta}_{r_i}$ of the OM, $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\ldots,r_n)} = \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_n}$ is the Hilbert space for description of n particle system. Meanwhile, one has to modify the basis operators (the creation $\hat{\gamma}_r$ and annihilation $\hat{\gamma}^r$ operators) in order to provide an anticommutation in arbitrary states. For example, acting on free state $\mid 0 >_{r_i}$ the creation operator $\hat{\gamma}_{r_i}$ now yields the one occupied state $\mid 1 >_{r_i}$ with the phase $'+'$ or $'-'$ depending of parity of the number of quanta in the states $r < r_i$. Modified operators satisfy the same anticommutation relations of the basis operators (eq.(A.1.12)). Defining the secondary quantized form of one particle observable A on the $\mathcal{H}$ we consider a set of identical samples $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_i$ of one particle space $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and operators A_i acting on them. The vacuum state given in eq.(A.1.16) satisfies the normalization condition. The state vectors eq.(A.1.17) are the eigenfunctions of modified operators. They form a whole set of orthogonal vectors. Considering an arbitrary superposition of state vectors we get a whole set of explicit forms of the matrix elements of operator vector and covector fields (App.A).

• Differential Geometric Aspect

For illustrative purposes here we consider a few examples from the differential geometric aspect of the OM by referring to the Appendix A for more details,.

The operators $\{\hat{\gamma}^r\}$ are the basis for all operator vectors of tangent section $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$ of principle bundle with the base $\hat{G}$ at the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p = \mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta(t))|_{t=0} \in \hat{G}$. The smooth field of tangent operator vector $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta))$ is a class of equivalence of the curves $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta))$, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta(0))) = \mathbf{\Phi}_p$. While, the operator differential $\hat{d}\, A^t_p$ of the flux $A^t_p : \hat{G} \to \hat{G}$ at the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p$ with the velocity fields $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta))$ is defined by one parameter group of operator diffeomorphisms given for the curve $\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta(t)) : R^1 \to \hat{G}$. Provided one has $\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta(0)) = \mathbf{\Phi}_p$ and $\widehat{\dot{\mathbf{\Phi}}}(\zeta(0)) = \hat{\mathbf{A}}_p$

$$\hat{d}\, A^t_p(\mathbf{A}) = \left.\frac{\hat{d}}{d\,t}\right|_{t=0} A^t(\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta(t))) = \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{\Phi}(\zeta)) = \hat{\gamma}^r A_p, \tag{3.2}$$

where the $\{A_p\}$ are the components of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ in the basis $\{\hat{\gamma}^r\}$. According to eq.(3.2), in holonomic coordinate basis $\hat{\gamma}^r \to \left(\hat{\partial} \,/\, \partial\Phi_r(\zeta(t))\right)_p$ one gets $A_p = \left.\frac{\partial\Phi_r}{\partial\zeta_r}\frac{d\,\zeta_r}{d\,t}\right|_p$. Hence, for any function $f : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ of the ordinary class of functions of C^∞ smoothness on $\hat{G}$ one may define an operator differential

$$< \hat{d}\,f, \hat{\mathbf{A}} >= (\widehat{A\,f}),$$

by means of smooth reflection

$$\hat{d}\,f : \hat{\mathbf{T}}\left(\hat{G}\right) \to \hat{R} \qquad \left(\hat{\mathbf{T}}\left(\hat{G}\right) = \bigcup_{\Phi_p} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}\right),$$

where (see eq.(A.1.25))

$$< \chi \| \hat{d}\,f, \hat{\mathbf{A}} \| \chi^0 >= \sum_{\lambda,\mu=1}^{2} \sum_{r^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \hat{c}^*(r^{\lambda\mu}) < d\,f, \mathbf{A} >_{r^{\lambda\mu}} = \sum_{\lambda,\mu=1}^{2} \sum_{r^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \hat{c}^*(r^{\lambda\mu})\,(\mathbf{A}\,f)_{r^{\lambda\mu}}. \qquad (3.3)$$

In coordinate basis $< d\,\widehat{\Phi^{\imath}}, \hat{\partial}\,/\,\partial\Phi^j >= \dfrac{\partial\widehat{\Phi^{\imath}}}{\partial\Phi^j} = \delta^{\hat{\imath}}_j$, provided by $d\,\widehat{\Phi^{\imath}} \equiv \hat{d}\Phi^i$ and $< \chi \| \hat{\delta}^{\imath}_j \| \chi^0 >= \sum_{\lambda,\mu=1}^{2} \sum_{r^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \hat{c}^*(r^{\lambda\mu})\delta^i_j$, where the i and j stand for a set of $(\lambda_i, \mu_i, \alpha_i)$.
The operator tensor $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ of $(\widehat{n,0})$-type at the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p$ is a linear function of the space $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^n_0 = \underbrace{\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}}_{n}$, where the $\otimes$ denotes the tensor product. It enables a correspondence between the element $(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n)$ of $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^n_0$ and the number $T(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n)$ furnished by linearity. Constructing matrix elements of operator tensors of $\hat{G}$ one produces the Cartan's exterior forms (A.1.28). Whence, the matrix elements of symmetric operator tensors equal zero. The differential operator n form $\hat{\omega}^n|_{\Phi_p}$ at the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p \in \hat{G}$ can be defined as the exterior operator n form on tangent operator space $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$ of tangent operator vectors $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n$. That is, if the $\wedge\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p}\left(\hat{G}\right)$ means the exterior algebra on $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p}\left(\hat{G}\right)$, then operator n form $\hat{\omega}^n|_{\Phi_p}$ is an element of n-th degree out of $\wedge\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p}$ depending of the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p \in \hat{G}$. Hence $\hat{\omega}^n = \bigcup_{\Phi_p} \hat{\omega}^n|_{\Phi_p}$. Any differential operator n form of dual operator space $\underbrace{\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p}}_{n}$ may be written $\hat{\omega}^n = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_n} \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_n}(\Phi) d\,\widehat{\Phi^{\imath_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\,\widehat{\Phi^{\imath_n}}$, provided by the smooth differentiable functions $\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_n}(\Phi) \in C^{\infty}$ and basis $d\,\widehat{\Phi^{\imath_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\,\widehat{\Phi^{\imath_n}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} sgn(\sigma)\gamma^{\sigma(\widehat{\imath_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma^{\widehat{\imath_n})}$. The matrix elements of some of the geometric objects of the $\hat{G}$ are given in the App.A.

4 Beyond the Geometry and Fields

To facilitate the physical picture and provide sufficient background it seems worth to bring few formal matters in concise form which one will have to know in order to understand the general structure of our approach without undue hardship. Here we only outline briefly the relevant steps. In the mean time we refer to [1] for more detailed justification of some of the procedures and complete exposition. Before proceeding further, it is profitable to define the *pulsating gauge functions* and *fields* denoted by wiggles as follows:

- The function $\widetilde{W}(x)$ defined on the space M ($x \in M$) and being an invariant with respect to the coordinate transformations is called the pulsating gauge function if it undergoes local gauge transformations

$$\widetilde{W}'(x) = U(x)\widetilde{W}(x). \tag{4.1}$$

Here $U(x)$ is the element of some simple Lie group G the generators of which imply the algebra $[F^a, F^b] = iC^{abc}F^c$, where C^{abc} are wholly antisymmetric structure constants.

- A smooth function $\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{W}(x))$ belonged to some representation of the group G, where the generators are presented by the matrices T^a, is called the pulsating field if under the transformation eq.(4.1) it transforms

$$\widetilde{\Phi}' \equiv \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{W}'(x)) = U(x)\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{W}(x)). \tag{4.2}$$

Let $L_0(\Phi, \partial\Phi)$ is the invariant Lagrangian of free field Φ defined on M. Then, a simple gauge invariant Lagrangian of the pulsating field $\widetilde{\Phi}$ can be written

$$L = \widetilde{W}^+\widetilde{W}L_0(\Phi, \partial\Phi), \tag{4.3}$$

which reduces to

$$L \equiv L\left(\widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{D\Phi}\right) = L_0\left(\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{W}(x)), D\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{W}(x))\right). \tag{4.4}$$

Here we have noticed that due to eq.(4.1) and eq.(4.2) $\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{W}) = \widetilde{W}\Phi$, and introduced the covariant derivative $\widetilde{D\Phi} \equiv D\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{W}) = \widetilde{W}\partial\Phi$. Whence

$$D = \partial - igT^aW^a, \quad T^aW^a = -\frac{i}{g}\partial \ln \widetilde{W}, \quad D\widetilde{W} = \left(D\widetilde{W}\right)^+ = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

where W^a is the gauge field, g is the coupling constant. Hence, all the conventional matter fields interacting by gauge fields are the pulsating fields.

4.1 The Regular Primordial Structures

In [1] we have chosen a simple setting and considered the primordial structures designed to possess certain physical properties satisfying the stated general rules. These structures are the substance out of which the geometry and particles are made. We distinguish the "η- and u-types primordial structures" involved in the linkage establishing processes occurred between the structures of different types. Let us recall that the η-type structure may accept the linkage only from u-type structure, which is described by the link function $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}(s)$ belonged to the ordinary class of functions of C^∞ smoothness, where $s \equiv \eta = \underset{\eta}{e}_{(\lambda\alpha)}\eta^{(\lambda\alpha)}$, $(\lambda = \pm; \alpha = 1, 2, 3$, see subsec.2.1), η is the link coordinate. Respectively the u-type structure may accept the linkage only from η-type structure described by the link function $\underset{u}{\Psi}(s)$ (u-channel), where $s \equiv u = \underset{u}{e}\, u$. We assume that s is the pulsating gauge function associated with the Abelian local gauge group $U(1)$ and $\Psi(s)$ is the pulsating field (the wiggles are left implicit). Thus, under local gauge transformations

$$s' = e^{-i\alpha}s, \quad \partial\alpha \neq 0,$$

the link function $\Psi(s)$ transforms

$$\Psi(s') = e^{-i\alpha}\Psi(s),$$

and the Lagrangian eq.(4.3) is invariant under gauge transformations. It includes the covariant derivative $D(s) = \partial + ig\, b(s)$ and gauge field $b(s) = \frac{i}{g}\partial \ln s$ undergone gauge transformations $b(s') = b(s) + \frac{1}{g}\,\partial\,\alpha$. Then, $\underset{i}{\Psi}(s) = s\underset{i}{\Psi} = \underset{i}{e}_{(\lambda\alpha)}\underset{i}{\Psi}^{(\lambda\alpha)}$ $(i = \eta, u)$, where the eq.(2.1.8) holds

$$\underset{\eta}{\Psi}^{(\pm\alpha)}(\eta, p_\eta) = \eta^{(\pm\alpha)}\underset{\eta}{\Psi}^{\pm}(\eta, p_\eta), \quad \underset{u}{\Psi}^{(\pm\alpha)}(u, p_u) = u^{(\pm\alpha)}\underset{u}{\Psi}^{\pm}(u, p_u), \tag{4.1.1}$$

a bispinor $\underset{i}{\Psi}^{\pm}$ is the invariant state wave function of positive or negative frequencies, p_i is the corresponding link momentum. Thus, a primordial structure can be considered as a fermion found in external gauge field $b(s)$.

The simplest system made of two structures of different types becomes stable only due to the stable linkage

$$\left|\underset{\eta}{p}\right| = (\underset{\eta}{p}^{(\lambda\alpha)}, \underset{\eta}{p}_{(\lambda\alpha)})^{1/2} = \left|\underset{u}{p}\right| = (\underset{u}{p}^{(\lambda\alpha)}, \underset{u}{p}_{(\lambda\alpha)})^{1/2}. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Otherwise they are unstable. There is not any restriction on the number of primordial structures of both types getting into the link establishing processes simultaneously. In the stable system the link stability condition must be held for each linkage separately.

The persistent processes of creation and annihilation of the primordial structures occur in different states $s, s', s'', ...$ The "creation" of structure in the given state (s) is due to its transition to this state from other states $(s', s'', ...)$, while the "annihilation" means a vice versa. Satisfying eq.(4.1.2) the primordial structures from the arbitrary states may establish a stable linkage. Among the states $(s, s', s'', ...)$ there is a lowest one (s_0), in which all structures are regular. That is, they are in free (pure) state and described by the plane wave functions $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}^{\pm}(\eta_f, p_\eta)$ or $\underset{u}{\Psi}^{\pm}(u_f, p_u)$ defined respectively on flat manifolds $\underset{\eta}{G}$ and $\underset{u}{G}$. The index (f) specifies the points of corresponding flat manifolds $\eta_f \in \underset{\eta}{G}$, $u_f \in \underset{u}{G}$. For example, in accordance with subsec.2.2, the equation of regular structure $\Psi(s_+)$ $(s = s_+ + s_-)$ reads

$$[i\gamma_f(\partial + igb(s_+)) - m]\,\Psi(s_+) = 0,$$

the matrices γ_f are given in eq.(B.1.4). Whence the equation of plane wave function Ψ_p^+ of positive frequencies stems

$$(i\gamma_f\partial - m)\Psi_p^+ = 0.$$

The processes of creation and annihilation of regular structures in lowest state are described by the OM formalism given in the previous sections.

4.2 The Distorted Primordial Structures

In all the higher states the primordial structures are distorted ones (interaction states) and described by distorted link functions defined on distorted manifolds $\underset{\eta}{\widetilde{G}}$ and $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$. A distortion $G \to \widetilde{G}$ with hidden Abelian local group $G = U^{loc}(1) = SO^{loc}(2)$ and one dimensional trivial algebra $\hat{g} = R^1$ has studied in [43]. It involves a drastic revision of a role of local internal symmetries in the concept of curved geometry. Under the reflection of fields and their dynamics from Minkowski space to Riemannian a standard gauge principle of local internal symmetries is generalized. The gravitation gauge group is proposed, which is generated by hidden local internal symmetry. This suggests an opportunity for the unification of all interactions on an equal footing. Our scheme is implemented as follows: Considering the principle bundle $p : E \rightarrow G$ the basis e^f is transformed $e = D\, e^f$, under massless gauge distortion field a_f associated with $U^{loc}(1)$. The matrix D is in the form $D = C \otimes R$, where the distortion transformations $O_{(\lambda\alpha)} = C^{\tau}_{(\lambda\alpha)} O_\tau$ and $\sigma_{(\lambda\alpha)} = R^{\beta}_{(\lambda\alpha)} \sigma_\beta$ are defined. Here $C^{\tau}_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \delta^{\tau}_{\lambda} + \kappa a_{(\lambda\alpha)}{}^{*} \delta^{\tau}_{\lambda}$, but R is a matrix of the group $SO(3)$ of ordinary rotations of the planes involving two arbitrary basis vectors of the spaces $R^3_\pm$ around the orthogonal third axes. The rotation angles are determined from the constraint imposed upon distortion transformations that a sum of distorted parts of corresponding basis vectors O_λ and σ_β should be zero for given λ (App.B). Whence $\tan\theta_{(\lambda\alpha)} = -\kappa a_{(\lambda\alpha)}$, where $\theta_{(\lambda\alpha)}$ is the particular rotation around the axis σ_α. Next we construct the diffeomorphism $G \rightarrow \widetilde{G}$ and introduce the invariant action of the fields. The passage from six dimensional curved manifold $\widetilde{G}$ to four dimensional Riemannian geometry R^4 is straightforward (subsec.2.1). Given a diffeomorphism $u(u_f) : \underset{u}{G} \rightarrow \underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$ we consider the reflection of the Fermi fields and their dynamics from the flat manifold $\underset{u}{G}$ to distorted one $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$, and vice versa (App.B). In the aftermath, the relation between the wave functions of distorted and regular structures reads

$$\underset{u}{\Psi}^{\lambda}(\theta_{+k}) = f_{(+)}(\theta_{+k})\, \underset{u}{\Psi}^{\lambda}, \quad \underset{u}{\Psi}_{\lambda}(\theta_{-k}) = \underset{u}{\Psi}_{\lambda}\, f_{(-)}(\theta_{-k}). \tag{4.2.1}$$

The $\underset{u}{\Psi}^{\lambda}(\underset{u}{\Psi}_{\lambda})$ is the plane wave function of regular ordinary structure (antistructure) and

$$f_{(+)}(\theta_{+k}) = e^{\chi_R(\theta_{+k}) - i\chi_J(\theta_{+k})}, \quad f_{(-)}(\theta_{-k}) = f^{*}_{(+)}(\theta_{+k})\Big|_{\theta_{+k}=\theta_{-k}}, \tag{4.2.2}$$

where the χ_R and χ_J are given in Appendix C.

Next, we supplement the previous assumptions made in sec. 4 by a new one that now the η-type (fundamental) regular structure can not directly form a stable system with the regular u-type (ordinary) structures. Instead of it the η-type regular structure forms a stable system with the infinite number of distorted ordinary structures, where the link stability condition held for each linkage separately. Such structures take part in realization of the flat manifold G (subsec.2.2). The laws regarding to this change apply in use of functions of distorted ordinary structures

$$\underset{u}{\Psi}^{(\lambda\alpha)}(\theta_{+}) = u^{(\lambda\alpha)} \underset{u}{\Psi}^{\lambda}(\theta_{+}), \quad \underset{u}{\Psi}_{(\lambda\alpha)}(\theta_{-}) = u_{(\lambda\alpha)} \underset{u}{\Psi}_{\lambda}(\theta_{-}), \tag{4.2.3}$$

where $u \in \underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$. For our immediate purposes we employ the wave packets constructed by superposition of these functions furnished by generalized operators of creation and annihilation as the expansion coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
\underset{u}{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta_{+}) &= \sum_{\pm s} \int \frac{d^3 p_u}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{k}_{(+\alpha)}\, \underset{u}{\Psi}^{(+\alpha)}(\theta_{+k}) + \underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{k}_{(-\alpha)}\, \underset{u}{\Psi}^{(-\alpha)}(\theta_{+k}) \right), \\
\underset{u}{\bar{\hat{\Psi}}}(\theta_{-}) &= \sum_{\pm s} \int \frac{d^3 p_u}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{(+\alpha)}_{k}\, \underset{u}{\Psi}_{(+\alpha)}(\theta_{-k}) + \underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{(-\alpha)}_{k}\, \underset{u}{\Psi}_{(-\alpha)}(\theta_{-k}) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.4}$$

where as usual the summation is extended over all dummy indices. The matrix element of anticommutator of generalized expansion coefficients reads

$$< \chi_- \mid \{\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{(+\alpha)}_{k}(p,s),\, \underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{k'}_{(+\beta)}(p',s')\} \mid \chi_- >= -\delta_{ss'}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{kk'}\delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p'}). \tag{4.2.5}$$

The wave packets eq.(4.2.4) yield the causal Green's function $\underset{u}{G}{}^{\theta}_{F}(\theta_+-\theta_-)$ of distorted ordinary structure. Geometry realization requirement (eq.(2.2.5)) now should be satisfied for each ordinary structure in terms of

$$\underset{u}{G}{}^{\theta}_{F}(0) = \lim_{\theta_+\to\theta_-} \underset{u}{G}{}^{\theta}_{F}(\theta_+-\theta_-) = \underset{\eta}{G}_F(0) = \lim_{\eta'_f\to\eta_f} \underset{\eta}{G}_F(\eta'_f-\eta_f). \tag{4.2.6}$$

They are valid if following relations hold for each distorted ordinary structure:

$$\sum_k \underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_{+k})\,\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}(\theta_{-k}) = \sum_k \underset{u}{\Psi}'(\theta'_{+k})\,\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}'(\theta'_{-k}) = \cdots = inv. \tag{4.2.7}$$

Namely, the distorted ordinary structures have met in the permissible combinations to realize the geometry in the stable system. Below, in simplified schematic way we exploit the background of the known colour confinement and gauge principles. This scheme still should be considered as a preliminary one, which will be further elaborated in the sec.5.

4.3 "Quarks" and "Colour" Confinement

At the very first to avoid irrelevant complications, here, for illustrative purposes, we will attempt to introduce temporarily skeletonized "quark" and "antiquark" fields emerged in confined phase in the simplified geometry with the one-u channel given in the previous subsections. The complete picture of such a dynamics is beyond the scope of this subsection, but some relevant discussions on this subject will also be presented in the subsec.5.3. We may think of the function $\underset{u}{\Psi}{}^{\lambda}(\theta_{+k})$ at fixed (k) as being the u-component of bispinor field of "quark" q_k, and of $\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}_{\lambda}(\theta_{-k})$ - the u-component of conjugated bispinor field of "antiquark" $\bar{q}_k$. The index (k) refers to colour degree of freedom in the case of rotations through the angles θ_{+k} and anticolour degree of freedom in the case of θ_{-k}. The η-components of quark fields are plane waves. In both cases of local and global rotations we respectively distinguish two types of quarks: local q_k and global q^c_k. Hence, the quark is a fermion with the half integer spin and certain colour degree of freedom. There are exactly three colours. The rotation through the angle θ_{+k} yields a total quark field defined on the flat manifold $G = \underset{\eta}{G} \oplus \underset{u}{G}$

$$q_k(\theta) = \Psi(\theta_{+k}) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}^0\, \underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_{+k}) \tag{4.3.1}$$

where $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}^0$ is a plane wave defined on $\underset{\eta}{G}$. According to eq.(4.2.1), one gets

$$q_k(\theta) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}^0 \underset{u}{q}_k(\theta) = \underset{\eta}{q}_k(\theta)\underset{u}{\Psi}{}^0, \quad \underset{\eta}{q}_k(\theta) \equiv f_{(+)}(\theta_{+k})\underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}^0, \tag{4.3.2}$$

where $\underset{u}{\Psi}{}^0$ is a plane wave, $\underset{u}{q}_k(\theta)$ and $\underset{\eta}{q}_k(\theta)$ may be considered as the quark fields with the same quantum numbers defined respectively on flat manifolds $\underset{u}{G}$ and $\underset{\eta}{G}$. By making use of the rules stated in subsec.2.1 one may readily return to Minkowski space $\underset{\eta}{G} \to M_4$. In the sequel, the quark field defined on M_4 will be ensued $\underset{\eta}{q}_k(\theta) \to q_k(x)$, $x \in M_4$. Due to eq.(4.2.7) and eq.(4.3.1) they imply

$$\sum_k q_k\, \bar{q}_k = \sum_k {q'}_k\, \bar{q'}_k = \cdots = inv, \tag{4.3.3}$$

namely

$$\sum_k f_{(+)}(\theta_{+k}) f_{(-)}(\theta_{-k}) = \sum_k f'_{(+)}(\theta'_{+k}) f'_{(-)}(\theta'_{-k}) = \cdots = inv. \tag{4.3.4}$$

The eq.(4.3.3) utilizes the idea of colour (quark) confinement principle: the quarks emerge in the geometry only in special combinations of colour singlets. Only two colour singlets are available (see below)

$$(q\bar{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \delta_{kk'} \hat{q}_k \bar{\hat{q}}_{k'} = inv, \quad (qqq) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \varepsilon_{klm} \hat{q}_k \hat{q}_l \hat{q}_m = inv. \tag{4.3.5}$$

These results will be generalized in the next section where the physically more realistic scheme of the MW geometry with the multi u-channel should be subject for discussion.

4.4 Gauge Principle; Internal Symmetries

Following [44,45], the principle of identity holds for ordinary regular structures, namely each regular structure in the lowest state can be regarded as a result of transition from an arbitrary state, in which they assumed to be distorted. This is stated in terms of link-functions below

$$\underset{u}{\Psi}_\lambda = f^{-1}_{(+)}(\theta_{+k}) \underset{u}{\Psi}_\lambda(\theta_{+k}) = f^{-1}_{(+)}(\theta'_{+l}) \underset{u}{\Psi}'_\lambda(\theta'_{+l}) = \cdots. \tag{4.4.1}$$

Hence, the following transformations may be implemented upon distorted ordinary structures occurred in the stable system:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underset{u}{\Psi}'^{\lambda}(\theta'_{+l}) = f^{(+)}_{lk} \underset{u}{\Psi}^{\lambda}(\theta_{+k}) = f(\theta'_{+l}, \theta_{+k}) \underset{u}{\Psi}^{\lambda}(\theta_{+k}), \\
&\underset{u}{\Psi}'_{\lambda}(\theta'_{-l}) = \underset{u}{\Psi}_{\lambda}(\theta_{-k}) f^{(-)}_{kl} = \underset{u}{\Psi}_{\lambda}(\theta_{-k}) f^{*}(\theta'_{-l}, \theta_{-k}) \Big|_{\substack{\theta'_{-l} = \theta'_{+l} \\ \theta_{-k} = \theta_{+k},}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4.2}$$

provided by

$$f^{(+)}_{lk} = \exp\{\chi^R_{lk} - i\chi^J_{lk}\}, \quad f^{(-)}_{kl} = \left(f^{(+)}_{lk}\right)^{*} \Big|_{\substack{\theta'_{-l} = \theta'_{+l} \\ \theta_{-k} = \theta_{+k},}} , \tag{4.4.3}$$

$$\chi^R_{lk} = \chi_R(\theta'_{+l}) - \chi_R(\theta_{+k}), \quad \chi^J_{lk} = \chi_J(\theta'_{+l}) - \chi_J(\theta_{+k}). \tag{4.4.4}$$

The transformation functions are the operators in the space of internal degrees of freedom labeled by $(\pm k)$ corresponding to distortion rotations around the axes $(\pm k)$ by the angles $\theta_{\pm k}$. We make proposition that the distortion rotations are incompatible, namely the transformation operators $f^{(\pm)}_{lk}$ obey the incompatibility relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&f^{(+)}_{lk} f^{(+)}_{cd} - f^{(+)}_{ld} f^{(+)}_{ck} = \| f^{(+)} \| \varepsilon_{lcm} \varepsilon_{kdn} f^{(-)}_{nm}, \\
&f^{(-)}_{kl} f^{(-)}_{dc} - f^{(-)}_{dl} f^{(-)}_{kc} = \| f^{(-)} \| \varepsilon_{lcm} \varepsilon_{kdn} f^{(+)}_{mn},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4.5}$$

where $l, k, c, d, m, n = 1, 2, 3$. The relations eq.(4.4.5) hold in general for both the local and global rotations. In the following we shall often be concerned with these most important relations. Making use of eq.(4.3.1), eq.(4.3.2) and eq.(4.4.2), one gets the transformations implemented upon the quark field, which in matrix notation take the form $q'(\zeta) = U(\theta(\zeta))\, q(\zeta), \quad \bar{q}'(\zeta) = \bar{q}(\zeta)\, U^{+}(\theta(\zeta))$, where $q = \{q_k\}, \quad U(\theta) = \{f^{(+)}_{lk}\}$. Under the incompatibility commutation relations (4.4.5), the transformation matrices $\{U\}$ generate the unitary group of internal symmetries $U(1), SU(2),\ SU(3)$. Since the distorted ordinary structures have made contribution in the realization of geometry G instead of regular ones, then, stated somewhat differently the principle of identity of regular structures directly leads to the equivalent principle (the gauge principle): an action integral of any dynamical physical system must be invariant under arbitrary transformations eq.(4.4.2). Below we discuss different possible models.

1. In the simple case of one dimensional local transformations through the local angles $\theta_{+1}(\zeta)$ and $\theta_{-1}(\zeta) onehas \quad f^{(+)} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{(+)}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f^{(-)} = (f^{(+)})^{+}$. The incompatibility relations eq.(4.4.5) reduce to identity $f^{(+)}_{11} = \|f^{(+)}\|$. At $\chi_R(\theta_{+1}) = \chi_R(\theta_{-1})$ the transformations

$$f^{(+)}_{11} = U(\theta) = f(\theta_{+1}(\zeta), \theta_{-1}(\zeta)) = \exp\{-i\chi^{(+)}_J(\theta_{+1}) + i\chi^{(-)}_J(\theta_{-1})\}. \tag{4.4.6}$$

generate a commutative Abelian unitary local group of electromagnetic interactions realized as the Lie group $U^{loc}(1) = SO^{loc}(2)$ with one dimensional trivial algebra $\hat{g}_1 = R^1$: $U(\theta) = e^{-i\theta}$, where $\theta \equiv \chi^{(+)}_J(\theta_{+1}) - \chi^{(-)}_J(\theta_{-1})$. The strength of interaction is specified by a single coupling Q of electric charge. The invariance under the local group $U^{loc}(1)$ leads to electromagnetic field, the massless quanta of which - the photons are *electrically neutral*, because of the condition eq.(4.3.4):

$$f(\theta_{+1}, \theta_{-1}) = f(\theta'_{+1}, \theta'_{-1}) = \cdots = inv. \tag{4.4.7}$$

2. Next, we consider the case of two dimensional local transformations through the angles $\theta_{\pm m}(\zeta)$ around two axes $(m = 1, 2)$. The matrix function of transformation is written $f^{(+)} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{(+)}_{11} & f^{(+)}_{12} & 0 \\ f^{(+)}_{21} & f^{(+)}_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f^{(-)} = (f^{(+)})^{+}$. The incompatibility relations eq.(4.4.5) give rise to nontrivial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(+)}_{11} &= \left\|f^{(+)}\right\| (f^{(+)}_{22})^{*}, & f^{(+)}_{21} &= -\left\|f^{(+)}\right\| (f^{(+)}_{12})^{*}, \\ f^{(+)}_{12} &= -\left\|f^{(+)}\right\| (f^{(+)}_{21})^{*}, & f^{(+)}_{22} &= \left\|f^{(+)}\right\| (f^{(+)}_{11})^{*}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.8}$$

Hence $\left\|f^{(+)}\right\| = 1$. One readily derives the matrix $U(\theta)$ of gauge transformations of collection of fundamental fields $U = e^{-i\vec{T}\vec{\theta}} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{(+)}_{11} & f^{(+)}_{12} \\ f^{(+)}_{21} & f^{(+)}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where $T_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the generators of the group $SU(2)$. The fields will come in multiplets forming a basis for representations of the isospin group $SU(2)$. Meanwhile

$$\frac{\theta_1}{\theta}=\frac{e^{\chi^R_{12}}\sin\chi^J_{12}}{\sqrt{1-e^{2\chi^R_{11}}\cos^2\chi^J_{11}}},\quad \frac{\theta_2}{\theta}=-\frac{e^{\chi^R_{12}}\cos\chi^J_{12}}{\sqrt{1-e^{2\chi^R_{11}}\cos^2\chi^J_{11}}},\quad \frac{\theta_3}{\theta}=\frac{e^{\chi^R_{11}}\sin\chi^J_{11}}{\sqrt{1-e^{2\chi^R_{11}}\cos^2\chi^J_{11}}},$$
$$\theta=\mid\vec{\theta}\mid=2\arccos\left(e^{\chi^R_{11}}\cos\chi^J_{11}\right),\quad e^{\chi^R_{11}}\leq 1, \tag{4.4.9}$$

provided by

$$\chi^R_{11}=\chi^R_{22},\quad \chi^R_{12}=\chi^R_{21}\quad \chi^J_{11}+\chi^J_{22}=0,\quad \chi^J_{21}+\chi^J_{12}=\pi,\quad \chi^R_{12}=\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(1-e^{2\chi^R_{11}}\right). \tag{4.4.10}$$

That is, three functions χ^R_{11},χ^J_{11} and χ^J_{12} or the angles θ'_{+1},θ_{+1} and θ_{+2} are parameters of the group $SU^{loc}(2)$

$$\chi^R_{11}=\chi_R(\theta'_{+1})-\chi_R(\theta_{+1}),\quad \chi^J_{11}=\chi_J(\theta'_{+1})-\chi_J(\theta_{+1}),\quad \chi^J_{12}=\chi_J(\theta'_{+1})-\chi_J(\theta_{+2}). \tag{4.4.11}$$

3. In the case of gauge transformations occurred around all three axes ($l,k=1,2,3$): $f^{(+)}=\begin{pmatrix} f^{(+)}_{11} & f^{(+)}_{12} & f^{(+)}_{13}\\ f^{(+)}_{21} & f^{(+)}_{22} & f^{(+)}_{23}\\ f^{(+)}_{31} & f^{(+)}_{32} & f^{(+)}_{33}\end{pmatrix}$, $f^{(-)}=(f^{(+)})^+$, the incompatibility relations eq.(4.4.5) yield the unitary condition $U^{-1}=U^+$, $f^{(+)}\equiv U$, and also $\|U\|=1$. Then $U(\theta)=e^{-\frac{i}{2}\vec{\lambda}\vec{\theta}}$, where $\dfrac{\lambda_i}{2}$ $(i=1,\ldots,8)$ are the matrix representation of generators of the group $SU(3)$. Right through differentiation one derives $\vec{\lambda}d\vec{\theta}=2iU^+dU$, or $\vec{\theta}=-\int Im\left(tr\left(\vec{\lambda}\left(f^{(-)}df^{(+)}\right)\right)\right)$, provided by $Re\left(tr\left(\vec{\lambda}\left(f^{(-)}df^{(+)}\right)\right)\right)\equiv 0$. For the infinitesimal transformations $\theta_i\ll 1$ we get

$$\begin{array}{l}\theta_1\approx 2e^{\chi^R_{12}}\sin\chi^J_{12},\quad \theta_3\approx\sin\chi^J_{33}+2\sin\chi^J_{11},\quad \theta_5\approx 2(1-e^{\chi^R_{13}}\cos\chi^J_{13}),\\ \theta_2\approx 2(1-e^{\chi^R_{12}}\cos\chi^J_{12}),\quad \theta_4\approx 2e^{\chi^R_{13}}\sin\chi^J_{13},\quad \theta_6\approx 2e^{\chi^R_{23}}\sin\chi^J_{23},\\ \theta_7\approx 2(1-e^{\chi^R_{23}}\cos\chi^J_{23}),\quad \theta_8\approx-\sqrt{3}\sin\chi^J_{33},\end{array} \tag{4.4.12}$$

provided by

$$\chi^R_{ll}\approx 0,\quad \chi^R_{lk}\approx\chi^R_{kl},\quad \chi^J_{lk}\approx\chi^J_{kl},\quad (l\neq k)\quad \sin\chi^J_{11}+\sin\chi^J_{22}+\sin\chi^J_{33}\approx 0. \tag{4.4.13}$$

The internal symmetry group $SU^{loc}_C(3)$ enables to introduce the gauge theory in colour space, with the colour charges as exactly conserved quantities. While, the local colour transformations are implemented on the coloured quarks right through the $SU^{loc}_C(3)$ rotation matrix U in the fundamental representation.

5 Operator Multimanifold $\hat{G}_N$

5.1 Operator Vector and Covector Fields

The OM formalism of $\hat{G}=\underset{\eta}{\hat{G}}\oplus\underset{u}{\hat{G}}$ is built up by assuming an existence only of ordinary primordial structures of one sort (one u-channel). Being confronted by our major goal to develop the microscopic approach to field theory based on multiworld geometry, henceforth we generalize the OM formalism via the concept of the OMM. Then, instead of one sort of ordinary structures we are going to deal with different species of ordinary structures. But before proceeding further and to enlarge the previous model it is profitable to assume an existence of infinite number of ${}^iu$-type ordinary structures of different species $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ (multi-u channel). These structures will be specified by the superscript i to the left. This hypothesis, as it will be seen in the subsequent part II, leads to the substantial progress of understanding of the properties of particles. At the very outset we consider the processes of creation and annihilation of regular structures of η- and ${}^iu$-types in the lowest state (s_0). The general rules stated in subsec 2.1 regarding to this change apply a substitution of operator basis pseudo vectors and covectors by a new ones ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$)

$$ {}^i\hat{O}^{r_1 r_2}_{\lambda,\mu} = {}^i\hat{O}^{r_1}_{\lambda} \otimes {}^i\hat{O}^{r_2}_{\mu} \equiv {}^i\hat{O}^{r}_{\lambda,\mu} = {}^iO^{r}_{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_\lambda \otimes \alpha_\mu), \tag{5.1.1} $$

provided by $r \equiv (r_1, r_2)$ and

$$ {}^iO^r_{1,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_i \underset{\eta}{O^r_+} + {}^i\underset{u}{O^r_+}), \quad {}^iO^r_{2,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_i \underset{\eta}{O^r_+} - {}^i\underset{u}{O^r_+}), $$

$$ {}^iO^r_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_i \underset{\eta}{O^r_-} + {}^i\underset{u}{O^r_-}), \quad {}^iO^r_{2,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_i \underset{\eta}{O^r_-} - {}^i\underset{u}{O^r_-}), $$

where

$$ < \nu_i, \nu_j >= \delta_{ij}, \quad < {}^i\underset{u}{O^r_\lambda}, {}^j\underset{u}{O^{r'}_\tau} >= -\delta_{ij}\delta_{rr'}{}^*\delta_{\lambda\tau}, \quad < \underset{\eta}{O^r_\lambda}, {}^i\underset{u}{O^{r'}_\tau} >= 0. \tag{5.1.2} $$

We consider then the operators ${}^i\hat{\gamma}^r_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} = {}^i\hat{O}^{r_1 r_2}_{\lambda,\mu} \otimes \hat{\sigma}^{r_3}_\alpha$. and calculate nonzero matrix elements

$$ < \lambda, \mu \mid {}^i\hat{\gamma}^r_{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)} \mid \tau, \nu >= {}^*\delta_{\lambda\tau}{}^*\delta_{\mu,\nu}\, {}^ie^r_{(\tau,\nu,\alpha)}, \tag{5.1.3} $$

where ${}^ie^r_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} = {}^iO^r_{\lambda,\mu} \otimes \sigma_\alpha$. The operators $\{{}^i\hat{\gamma}^r\}$ are the basis for all the operator vectors $\hat{\Phi}(\zeta) = {}^i\hat{\gamma}^r\, {}^i\Phi_r(\zeta)$ of tangent section of principle bundle with the base of operator multimanifold $\hat{G}_N = \left(\sum_i^N \oplus {}^*\underset{i}{\hat{R}^4}\right) \otimes \hat{R}^3$. Here ${}^*\underset{i}{\hat{R}^4}$ is the 2×2 dimensional linear pseudo operator space, with the set of the linear unit operator pseudo vectors eq.(5.1.1) as the basis of tangent vector section, and $\hat{R}^3$ is the three dimensional real linear operator space with the basis consisted of the ordinary unit operator vectors $\{\hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha\}$. The $\hat{G}_N$ decomposes as follows:

$$ \hat{G}_N = \underset{\eta}{\hat{G}} \oplus \underset{u_1}{\hat{G}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{u_N}{\hat{G}}, \tag{5.1.4} $$

where $\underset{u_i}{\hat{G}}$ is the six dimensional operator manifold of the given species (i) with the basis $\left\{{}^i\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}^r_{(\lambda\alpha)}} = {}^i\underset{u}{\hat{O}^r_\lambda} \otimes \hat{\sigma}^r_\alpha\right\}$. The expansions of operator vectors and covectors are written $\underset{\eta}{\hat{\Psi}} = \underset{\eta}{\hat{\gamma}^r}\underset{\eta}{\Psi_r}, \quad \underset{u}{\hat{\Psi}} = {}^i\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}^r}\, {}^i\underset{u}{\Psi_r}, \quad \underset{\eta}{\bar{\hat{\Psi}}} = \underset{\eta}{\hat{\gamma}_r}\underset{\eta}{\Psi^r}, \quad \underset{u}{\bar{\hat{\Psi}}} = {}^i\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}_r}\, {}^i\underset{u}{\Psi^r}$, where the components $\underset{\eta}{\Psi_r}(\eta)$ and ${}^i\underset{u}{\Psi_r}(u)$ are respectively the link functions of η-type and ${}^iu$-type structures.

5.2 Realization of the Multimanifold G_N

Now, we consider the special system of the regular structures, which is made of one fundamental structure of η-type and infinite number of ${}^iu$-type ordinary structures of different species ($i = 1, \ldots, N$). The primordial structures establish the stable linkage to form the stable system

$$p^2 = p_\eta^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N p_{u_i}^2 = 0. \tag{5.2.1}$$

The free field defined on the multimanifold $G_N = \underset{\eta}{G} \oplus \underset{u_1}{G} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{u_N}{G}$ is written

$$\Psi = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta)\, \underset{u}{\Psi}(u), \quad \underset{u}{\Psi}(u) = \underset{u_1}{\Psi}(u_1) \cdots \underset{u_N}{\Psi}(u_N),$$

where $\underset{u_i}{\Psi}$ is the bispinor defined on the internal manifold $\underset{u_i}{G}$. On analogy of subsec.2.2 we make use of localized wave packets by means of superposition of plane wave solutions furnished by creation and annihilation operators in agreement with Pauli's principle. Straightforward calculations now give the generalization of the relation eq.(2.2.2)

$$\begin{gathered}\sum_{\lambda=\pm} < \chi_\lambda \mid \hat{\Phi}(\zeta)\bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \mid \chi_\lambda >= \sum_{\lambda=\pm} < \chi_\lambda \mid \bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta)\hat{\Phi}(\zeta) \mid \chi_\lambda >= \\ -i\,\zeta^2 \underset{\zeta}{G}(0) = -i\,\left(\eta^2 \underset{\eta}{G}(0) - \sum_{i=1}^N {u_i}^2 \underset{u_i}{G}(0)\right).\end{gathered} \tag{5.2.2}$$

Along the same line the realization of the multimanifold stems from the condition eq.(2.2.3), which is now imposed upon the matrix element eq.(5.2.2). Let denote $u^2\, \underset{u}{G}(0) \equiv \lim_{u_i \to u'_i} \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i u'_i)\, \underset{u_i}{G}(u_i - u'_i)$ and consider a stable system eq.(5.2.1). Hence

$$\underset{u}{G_F}(0) = \underset{\eta}{G_F}(0) = \underset{\zeta}{G_F}(0), \tag{5.2.3}$$

where $\underset{\eta}{G_F}, \underset{u}{G_F}$ and $\underset{\zeta}{G_F}$ are the causal Green's functions of the $\eta-, u-$ and ζ-type structures, and $m \equiv |p_u| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N {p_{u_i}}^2\right)^{1/2} = |p_\eta|$. In the aftermath, the length of each vector $\zeta = {}^ie\ {}^i\zeta \in G_N$ should be equaled zero (subsec.2.2) $\zeta^2 = \eta^2 - u^2 = \eta^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i^G)^2 = 0$, where use is made of

$$\left(u_i^G\right)^2 \equiv u_i^2 \lim_{\substack{u_i \to u'_i \\ \eta \to \eta'}} \underset{u_i}{G_F}(u_i - u'_i) \,/\, \underset{\eta}{G_F}(\eta - \eta')$$

and $u_i^G = {}^i\underset{u}{\hat{e}}_{(\lambda,\alpha)}\, u_i^{G\,(\lambda,\alpha)}$. Thus, the multimanifold G_N comes into being, which decomposes as follows:

$$G_N = \underset{\eta}{G} \oplus \underset{u_1}{G} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{u_N}{G}\,. \tag{5.2.4}$$

It brings us to the conclusion: the major requirement eq.(2.2.3) provided by stability condition eq.(5.2.1) or eq.(5.2.3) yields the flat multimanifold G_N. Meanwhile, the Minkowski flat space M_4 stems from the flat submanifold $\underset{\eta}{G}$ (subsec. 2.1), in which the line element turned out to be invariant. That is, the principle of relativity comes into being with the M_4 ensued from the MW geometry G_N. In the following we shall use a notion of the i-th internal world for the submanifold $\underset{u_i}{G}$.

5.3 Subquarks and Subcolour Confinement

Since our discussion within this section in many respects is similar to that of sec.4, here we will be brief. We assume that the distortion rotations ($\underset{u_i}{G} \xrightarrow{\theta} \underset{u_i}{\widetilde{G}}$, for given i) through the angles ${}^i\theta_{+k}$ and ${}^i\theta_{-k}$ $k = 1, 2, 3$ occur separately in the three dimensional internal spaces $\underset{u_i}{R^3_+}$ and $\underset{u_i}{R^3_-}$ composing six dimensional distorted submanifold $\underset{u_i}{\widetilde{G}} = \underset{u_i}{R^3_+} \oplus \underset{u_i}{R^3_-}$ (see eq.(5.2.4)). As it is exemplified in previous section, the laws apply in use the wave packets constructed by superposition of the link functions of distorted ordinary structures furnished by generalized operators of creation and annihilation as the expansion coefficients

$$\underset{u}{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta_+) = \sum_{\pm s} \int \frac{d^3 p_{u_i}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left({}^i\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^k_{(+\alpha)} \, {}^i\underset{u}{\Psi}{}^{(+\alpha)}({}^i\theta_{+k}) + {}^i\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^k_{(-\alpha)} \, {}^i\underset{u}{\Psi}{}^{(-\alpha)}({}^i\theta_{+k}) \right), \tag{5.3.1}$$

etc. The fields ${}^i\underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_{+k})$ and ${}^i\underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_{-k})$ are defined on the distorted internal spaces $\underset{u_i}{R^3_+}$ and $\underset{u_i}{R^3_-}$. The generalized expansion coefficients in eq.(5.3.1) imply

$$< \chi_- \mid \{ {}^i\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{(+\alpha)}_k(p_{u_i}, s_i), \; {}^j\underset{u}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{k'}_{(+\beta)}(p'_{u_j}, s'_j) \} \mid \chi_- >= -\delta_{ij}\delta_{kk'}\delta_{ss'}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{p}_{u_i} - \vec{p'}_{u_i}). \tag{5.3.2}$$

The condition of the MW geometry realization eq.(5.2.3) now reduced to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_i \left[\lim_{{}^i\theta_+ \to {}^i\theta_-} \underset{u_i}{G}{}^{\theta}{}_F({}^i\theta_+ - {}^i\theta_-) \right] = \lim_{\eta_f \to \eta'_f} \underset{\eta}{G}{}_F(\eta_f - \eta'_f), \tag{5.3.3}$$

provided by $\omega_i = \dfrac{u_i^2}{u^2}$. Taking into account the expression of causal Green's function at given (i), in the case if

$$\lim_{u_{i_1} \to u_{i_2}} \underset{u_{i_1}}{G}{}_F(u_{i_1} - u_{i_2}) = \lim_{u'_{i_1} \to u'_{i_2}} \underset{u_{i'_1}}{G}{}_F(u'_{i_1} - u'_{i_2}) = \cdots = inv,$$

one gets

$$\sum_k {}^i\underset{u}{\Psi}({}^i\theta_{+k}) \; {}^i\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}({}^i\theta_{-k}) = \sum_k {}^i\underset{u}{\Psi}'({}^i\theta'_{+k}) \; {}^i\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}'({}^i\theta'_{-k}) = \cdots = inv. \tag{5.3.4}$$

Thus, in the context of the physically more realistic MW geometry it is legitimate now to substitute the concept of quark (q_k) schematically introduced in sec.4 by the subquark $({}^iq_k)$. Everything said will then remain valid, provided we make a simple change of quarks into subquarks, the colours into subcolours. Hence, we may think of the function ${}^i\underset{u}{\Psi}({}^i\theta_{+k})$ as the u-component of bispinor field of subquark $({}^iq_k)$ of species (i) with subcolour k, and respectively ${}^i\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}({}^i\theta_{-k})$ -the conjugated bispinor field of antisubcolour (k). The subquarks and antisubquarks may be local $({}^iq_k)$ or global $({}^iq^c_k)$. Then, the subquark $({}^iq_k)$ is the fermion with the half integer spin and subcolour degree of freedom, and, according to eq.(5.3.4), could emerge on the mass shell only in confined phase

$$\sum_k {}^i q_k \, {}^i \bar{q}_k = \sum_k {}^i q'_k \, {}^i \bar{q}'_k = \cdots = inv. \tag{5.3.5}$$

To trace a resemblance with the previous section, the internal symmetry group ${}^i G = U(1), SU(2), SU(3)$ enables to introduce the gauge theory in internal world with the subcolour charges as exactly conserved quantities. Furthermore, the subcolour transformation have implemented on subquark fields right through local and global rotation matrices of group ${}^i G$ in fundamental representation. Due to the Noether procedure the conservation of global charges ensued from the global gauge invariance of physical system, meanwhile reinforced requirement of local gauge invariance may be satisfied as well by introducing the gauge fields with the values in Lie algebra ${}^i \hat{g}$ of the group ${}^i G$.

Part II

Realization of the Particle Physics

Continuing our program based on the OMM formalism, in this part we attempt to develop, further, the microscopic approach to the SM, which enables an insight to the key problems of particle phenomenology. Particularly, we suggest the microscopic theory of the unified electroweak interactions with a small number of free parameters. Besides the microscopic interpretation of all physical parameters the resulting theory has two testable solid implications, which are drastically different from those of conventional models.

6 The MW-Structure of the Particles

For our immediate purpose to describe the particles as the composite dynamical systems defined on the MW geometry, we shall consider the collection of matter fields $\Psi(\zeta)$ with nontrivial internal structure $\Psi(\zeta) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta) \, {}^1 \underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_1) \cdots {}^N \underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_N)$. We suppose that the component ${}^i \underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_i)$ is made of product of some constituent subquarks and antisubquarks, which form the multiplets transformed by fundamental ${}^i D(j)$ and contragradient ${}^i \bar{D}(j)$ irreducible representations of group ${}^i G$. Hereinafter we suppose that the MW index (i) will be running only through $i = Q, W, B, \; s, c, b, t$ specifying the internal worlds formally taken to denote in following nomenclature: Q-world of electric charge; W-world of weak interactions; B-baryonic world of strong interactions; the s,c,b,t are the worlds of strangeness, charm, bottom and top. We admit also that the distortion rotations in the worlds Q,W and B are local ${}^i \theta_{\pm k}(\eta)$, while they are global in the worlds s,c,b,t. Below we introduce the fields of leptons (l) and quarks (q_f) with different flavours f=u,d,s,c,b,t. To develop some feeling for this problem and to avoid irrelevant complications, here we may temporarily skeletonize it by taking the leptons to have following MW- structure:

$$l \equiv \Psi_l(\zeta) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta) \, \underset{Q}{\Psi}(u_Q) \, \underset{W}{\Psi}(u_W), \tag{6.1}$$

while the quarks are in the form

$$q_f \equiv \Psi_f(\zeta) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta)\,\underset{Q}{\Psi}(u_Q)\,\underset{W}{\Psi}(u_W)\,\underset{B}{\Psi}(u_B)\, q^c_f, \tag{6.2}$$

where the superscript (c) specified the worlds in which rotations are global

$$q^c_u = q^c_d = 1, \quad q^c_s = \underset{s}{\Psi}^c(u_s), \quad q^c_c \equiv \underset{c}{\Psi}(u_c), \quad q^c_b \equiv \underset{b}{\Psi}(u_b), \quad q^c_t \equiv \underset{t}{\Psi}(u_t). \tag{6.3}$$

We can take this scheme as a starting point for our considerations, which will become clearer in the next sections where the explicit forms of the $\underset{i}{\Psi}(u_i)$ will be subject for discussion. We assign a scale 1/3 to each distortion rotational mode in the three dimensional spaces $\underset{u_i}{R^3_+}$ and $\underset{u_i}{R^3_-}$, namely the subquark arisen after the rotation around the given axis of the given world carries the 1/3 charge of corresponding species; while, the antisubquark carries respectively the $(-1/3)$ charge. In the case of the worlds C=s,c,b,t, where distortion rotations are global and diagonal with respect to axes 1,2,3, the physical system of corresponding subquarks is invariant under the global transformations $f^{(3)}_C(\theta^c)$ of the global unitary group SU^c_3:

$$f^{(3)}_C = \begin{pmatrix} f^c_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f^c_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f^c_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{3} \begin{pmatrix} \theta^c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^c_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta^c_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where $f^{(3)}_C \left(f^{(3)}_C\right)^+ = 1, \quad \|f^{(3)}_C\| = 1$. That is $\theta^c_1 + \theta^c_2 + \theta^c_3 = 0$. The simplest possibility gives $\theta^c \equiv \theta^c_1 = \theta^c_2$. Hence, one gets

$$f^{(3)}_C = \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \theta^c \right\} = \exp\left(-i\frac{\lambda_8}{\sqrt{3}}\theta^c \right) = e^{-iY^c\theta^c}, \tag{6.4}$$

provided by the operator of hypercharge Y^c of diagonal group SU^c_i. If one has all the worlds involved, then $Y^c = s + c + b + t$. The Q-world, which has an essential role for realization of the condition of the MW connections, will be discussed in detail in the next section. We only note that conservation of each rotation mode in Q- and B-worlds, where the distortion rotations are local, means that corresponding subquarks carry respectively the conserved charges Q and B in the scale 1/3, and antisubquarks - $(-1/3)$ charges. It can be provided by including the matrix λ_8 as the generator with the others in the symmetries of corresponding worlds (Q, B), and expressed in the invariance of the system of corresponding subquarks under the transformations of these symmetries. The incompatibility relations eq.(4.4.5) for global distortion rotations in the worlds C=s,c,b,t reduced to

$$f^c_{11} f^c_{22} = \bar{f}^c_{33}, \quad f^c_{22} f^c_{33} = \bar{f}^c_{11}, \quad f^c_{33} f^c_{11} = \bar{f}^c_{22},$$

where $\|f^{(3)}_C\| = f^c_{11} f^c_{22} f^c_{33} = 1, \quad f^c_{ii}\bar{f}^c_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1,2,3$. It means that the two subcolour singlets are available: $(q\bar{q})^c_i = inv, \quad (q_1q_2q_3)^c = inv$, carried the charges $C_{(q\bar{q})^c_i} = 0 \quad C_{(q_1q_2q_3)^c} = 1$, respectively, where we denote $(q\bar{q})^c_i \equiv {}^cq_i{}^c\bar{q}_i, \quad (q_1q_2q_3)^c \equiv {}^cq_1{}^cq_2{}^cq_3$. Including the baryonic charge into strong hypercharge

$$Y = B + s + c + b + t, \tag{6.5}$$

we conclude that the hypercharge Y is the sum of all the conserved quantum numbers associated with the corresponding rotational modes of the internal worlds B,s,c,b,t involved in the MW geometry realization condition eq.(5.2.3).

6.1 Realization of Q-World and Gell-Mann-Nishijima Relation

The symmetry of the Q-world is assumed to be a local unitary symmetry $diag\left(SU^{loc}(3)\right)$ is diagonal with respect to axes 1,2,3. The unitary unimodular matrix $f_Q^{(3)}$ of local distortion rotations takes the form

$$f_Q^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11}^Q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}^Q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_{33}^Q \end{pmatrix} = Q_1 e^{-i\theta_1} + Q_2 e^{-i\theta_2} + Q_3 e^{-i\theta_3} = e^{-i\vec{Q}\vec{\theta}} = e^{-i\lambda_Q \theta_Q},$$

where $f_Q^{(3)} \left(f_Q^{(3)}\right)^+ = 1, \quad \| f_Q^{(3)} \| = 1$, provided

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0$. Taking into account the scale of rotation mode, in the other simple case than those of eq.(6.4): $\theta_2 = \theta_3 = -\frac{1}{3}\theta_Q$, it follows that $\theta_1 = \frac{2}{3}\theta_Q$. Among the generators of the group $SU(3)$ only the matrices λ_3 and λ_8 are diagonal. Therefore, the matrix λ_Q may be written $\lambda_Q = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8$. Making use of the corresponding operators of the group $SU(3)$ we arrive at Gell-Mann-Nishijima relation

$$Q = T_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y, \tag{6.1.1}$$

where $Q = \lambda_Q$ is the generator of electric charge, $T_3 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3$ is the third component of isospin $\vec{T}$, and $Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8$ is the hypercharge. The eigenvalues of these operators will be defined in due course considering the concrete symmetries and microscopic structures of fundamental fields. We think of operators T_3 and Y as the MW connection charges and of relation eq.(6.1.1) as the condition of the realization of the MW connections. Thus, during realization of the MW- structure the symmetries of corresponding internal worlds must be unified into more higher symmetry including also the λ_3 and λ_8. The realization conditions of the MW- structure are embodied in eq.(5.2.3) and eq.(6.1.1), provided by the conservation law of each rotational mode eq.(6.4) of the given internal worlds involved into the MW geometry realization condition. For example, in the case of quarks eq.(6.2), one has

$$\sum_{i=B,s,c,b,t} \omega_i \underset{i}{G}{}^{\theta}{}_F(0) = \underset{\eta}{G}{}_F(0), \tag{6.1.2}$$

and the Gell-Mann-Nishijima relation is written down

$$Q = T_3 + \frac{1}{2}(B + s + c + b + t). \tag{6.1.3}$$

The other case of leptons eq.(6.1) related closely to the realization of W-world of weak interactions will be discussed in detail in subsec.6.8, the realization condition for which reduces to following:

$$\underset{Q}{G}{}^{\theta}{}_F(0) = \underset{\eta}{G}{}_F(0), \quad u \equiv u_Q, \quad (i \equiv Q) \tag{6.1.4}$$

and

$$Q = T^w_3 + \frac{1}{2} Y^w, \tag{6.1.5}$$

where T^w_3 and Y^w are respectively the operators of third component of weak isospin $\vec{T}^w$ and weak hypercharge (subsec.6.2, 6.8). The incompatibility relations eq.(4.4.5) for the local distortion transformations in Q-world lead to

$$f^Q_{11} f^Q_{22} = \bar{f}^Q_{33}, \quad f^Q_{22} f^Q_{33} = \bar{f}^Q_{11}, \quad f^Q_{33} f^Q_{11} = \bar{f}^Q_{22},$$

where $f^Q_{ii} \bar{f}^Q_{ii} = 1$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\| f^{(3)}_Q \| = f^Q_{11} f^Q_{22} f^Q_{33} = 1$. This in turn suggests two subcolour singlets $(q\bar{q})^Q_i = inv$, $(q_1 q_2 q_3)^Q = inv$, with the electric charges $Q_{(q\bar{q})^Q_i} = 0$, $Q_{(q_1 q_2 q_3)^Q} = 1$, respectively. The singlets $(q\bar{q})^Q_i$ for given i allow us to think of the $(q\bar{q})^Q$ system as the mixed ensemble, such that a fraction of the members with relative population L_1 are characterized by the $(q_1)^Q$, some other fraction with relative population L_2, by $(q_2)^Q$, and so on. Namely, the $(q\bar{q})^Q$ ensemble can be regarded as a mixture of pure ensembles. The fractional populations are constrained to satisfy the normalization condition

$$\sum_i L_i = 1, \quad L_i \equiv (q\bar{q})^Q_i \,/\, (q\bar{q})^Q. \tag{6.1.6}$$

The L_i also imply the orthogonality condition ensued from the symmetry of the Q-world

$$< L_i, L_j >= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j. \tag{6.1.7}$$

This prompts us to define the usual quantum mechanical density operator

$$\rho^Q_1 = \sum_i L_i \, (q_i)^Q) \, (q_i)^{Q+}, \quad tr(\rho^Q_1) = 1. \tag{6.1.8}$$

The eq.(6.1.6) suggests another singlets as well

$$(q_1 q_2 q_3)^Q_i \equiv L_i \; (q_1 q_2 q_3)^Q, \tag{6.1.9}$$

which will be used to build up the MW-structures of the leptons.

6.2 The Symmetries of the W- and B-Worlds

- The W-World

Invoking local group of weak hypercharge Y^w ($U^{loc}(1)$), it will be seen in subsec. 6.8 that the symmetry of W-world of weak interactions rather is $SU^{loc}(2) \otimes U^{loc}(1)$. However, for the present it is worthwhile to restrict oneself by admitting that the symmetry of W-world is simply expressed by the group of weak isospin $SU^{loc}(2)$. Namely, from the very first we consider a case of two dimensional distortion transformations through the angles $\theta_\pm$ around two arbitrary axes in the W-world. In accordance with the results of sec.4, the fields of subquarks and antisubquarks will come in doublets, which form the basis for fundamental representation of weak isospin group $SU^{loc}(2)$ often called a "custodial" symmetry [5,20]. The doublet states are complex linear combinations of up and down states of weak isotopic spin. Three possible doublets of six subquark states are $\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}^w$, $\begin{pmatrix} q_2 \\ q_3 \end{pmatrix}^w$, $\begin{pmatrix} q_3 \\ q_1 \end{pmatrix}^w$.

- The B-World

The B-world is responsible for strong interactions. The internal symmetry group is $SU^{loc}_c(3)$ enabling to introduce gauge theory in subcolour space with subcolour charges as exactly conserved quantities (sec.4). The local distortion transformations are implemented on the subquarks $(q_i)^B, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$ through the $SU^{loc}_c(3)$ rotation matrix U in the fundamental representation. Taking into account a conservation of rotation mode, each subquark carries (1/3) baryonic charge, while the antisubquark carries the (−1/3) baryonic charge.

6.3 The Microscopic Structure of Leptons: Lepton Generations

After a quantitative discussion of the properties of symmetries of internal worlds, below we will attempt to show how the known fermion fields of leptons and quarks fit into this scheme. In this section we start with the leptons. Taking into account the eq.(6.1.1), eq(6.1.4), we may consider six possible lepton fields forming three doublets of lepton generations $\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$
\begin{cases}
\nu_e \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{\nu_e}(\eta)\,(q_1\bar{q_1})^Q(q_1)^w = L_e\underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{\nu_e}(\eta)\,(q\bar{q})^Q(q_1)^w, \\[2ex]
e \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_e(\eta)\,(\overline{q_1q_2q_3})^Q_1(q_2)^w = L_e\underset{\eta}{\Psi}_e(\eta)\,(\overline{q_1q_2q_3})^Q(q_2)^w,
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{cases}
\nu_\mu \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{\nu_\mu}(\eta)\,(q_2\bar{q_2})^Q(q_2)^w = L_\mu\underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{\nu_\mu}(\eta)\,(q\bar{q})^Q(q_2)^w, \\[2ex]
\mu \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_\mu(\eta)\,(\overline{q_1q_2q_3})^Q_2(q_3)^w = L_\mu\underset{\eta}{\Psi}_\mu(\eta)\,(\overline{q_1q_2q_3})^Q(q_3)^w,
\end{cases}
\tag{6.3.1}
$$

$$
\begin{cases}
\nu_\tau \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{\nu_\tau}(\eta)\,(q_3\bar{q_3})^Q(q_3)^w = L_\tau\underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{\nu_\tau}(\eta)\,(q\bar{q})^Q(q_3)^w, \\[2ex]
\tau \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_\tau(\eta)\,(\overline{q_1q_2q_3})^Q_3(q_1)^w = L_\tau\underset{\eta}{\Psi}_\tau(\eta)\,(\overline{q_1q_2q_3})^Q(q_1)^w
\end{cases}
.
$$

Here e, μ, τ are the electron, the muon and the tau meson, ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ are corresponding neutrinos, $L_e \equiv L_1, L_\mu \equiv L_2, L_\tau \equiv L_3$, are leptonic charges. The leptons carry leptonic charges as follows: $L_e : (e, \nu_e), \ L_\mu : (\mu, \nu_\mu)$ and $L_\tau : (\tau, \nu_\tau)$, which are conserved in all interactions. The leptons carry also the weak isospins: $T^w_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ for ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ; and $T^w_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$ for e, μ, τ, respectively, and following electric charges: $Q_{\nu_e} = Q_{\nu_\mu} = Q_{\nu_\tau} = 0, \quad Q_e = Q_\mu = Q_\tau = -1$. The Q-components $\underset{Q}{\Psi}(u_Q)$ of lepton fields eq.(6.3.1) are made of singlet combinations of subquarks in Q-world. They imply subcolour confinement eq.(6.1.4). Then, the MW geometry realization condition is already satisfied and leptons may emerge in free combinations without any constraint. Thus, in suggested scheme there are only three possible generations of six leptons with integer electric and leptonic charges have being free of confinement.

6.4 The Microscopic Structure of Quarks: Quark Generations

The only possible MW- structures of 18 quark fields read

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_i \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_u(\eta)\,(q_2q_3)^Q(q_1)^w(q_i^B), \\ \\ d_i \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_d(\eta)\,(\bar{q}_1)^Q(q_2)^w(q_i^B), \end{array}\right. \qquad \left\{\begin{array}{l} c_i \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_c(\eta)\,(q_3q_1)^Q(q_2)^w(q_i^B)(q_c^c), \\ \\ s_i \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_s(\eta)\,(\bar{q}_2)^Q(q_3)^w(q_i^B)(\bar{q}_s^c), \end{array}\right. \tag{6.4.1}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} t_i \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_t(\eta)\,(q_1q_2)^Q(q_3)^w(q_i^B)(q_t^c), \\ \\ b_i \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_b(\eta)\,(\bar{q}_3)^Q(q_1)^w(q_i^B)(\bar{q}_b^c), \end{array}\right. \quad ,$$

where the subcolour index (i) runs through $i = 1, 2, 3$, the (q_f^c) are given in eq.(6.3). Henceforth the subcolour index will be left implicit, but always a summation must be extended over all subcolours in B-world. These fields form three possible doublets of weak isospin in the W-world $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}$. The quark flavour mixing and similar issues are left for treatment in sec.6.10. The corresponding electric charges of quarks read $Q_u = Q_c = Q_t = \frac{2}{3}$, $Q_d = Q_s = Q_b = -\frac{1}{3}$, in agreement with the rules governing the MW connections eq.(6.1.1), where the electric charge difference of up and down quarks implies $\Delta Q = \Delta T_3^w = 1$. The explicit form of structure of (q_f^c) will be discussed in next section. Here we only note that all components of (q_f^c) are made of singlet combinations of global subquarks in corresponding internal worlds. They obey a condition of subcolour confinement. According to eq.(6.1.2), the subcolour confinement condition for B-world still remains to be satisfied such that the total quark fields obey to confinement. Then quarks would not be free particles and unwanted states (since not seen) like quarks or diquarks etc. are eliminated by construction at the very beginning. Thus, three quark generations of six possible quark fields exist. They carry fractional electric and baryonic charges and imply a confinement. Their other charges are left to be discussed below. Although within considered schemes the subquarks are defined on the internal worlds, however the resulting η-components , which we are going to deal with to describe the leptons and quarks defined on the spacetime continuum, are affected by them. Actually, as it is seen in subsec.4.3 the rotation through the angle θ_{+k} yields a total subquark field

$$q_k(\theta) = \Psi(\theta_{+k}) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}^0\,\underset{u}{\Psi}(\theta_{+k})$$

where $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}^0$ is the plane wave defined on $\underset{\eta}{G}$. Hence, one gets

$$q_k(\theta(\eta)) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}^0\,\underset{u}{q}_k(\theta(\eta)) = \underset{\eta}{q}_k(\theta(\eta))\,\underset{u}{\Psi}^0, \quad \underset{\eta}{q}_k(\theta(\eta)) \equiv f_{(+)}(\theta_{+k}(\eta))\,\underset{\eta}{\Psi}^0,$$

where $\underset{u}{\Psi}^0$ is a plane wave defined on $\underset{u}{G}$. The $\underset{\eta}{q}_k(\theta(\eta))$ can be considered as the subquark field defined on the flat manifold $\underset{\eta}{G}$ with the same quantum numbers of $\underset{u}{q}_k(\theta(\eta))$. Thus, instead of the eq.(6.3.1) and eq.(6.4.1) we may consider on equal footing only the resulting η-components of leptons and quarks implying the given same structures. This enables to pass back to the Minkowski spacetime continuum $\underset{\eta}{G} \rightarrow M_4$ (subsec.2.1).

6.5 The Flavour Group $SU_f(6)$

We adopt a simplified view-point on the field component (q_f^c) (f=u,d,s,c,b,t) eq.(6.3) associated with the global distortion rotations in the given worlds s,c,b,t, such that they have following microscopic structure with corresponding global charges:

$$\begin{gathered} q_u^c = q_d^c = 1, \quad \bar{q}_s^c = \left(\overline{q_1^c q_2^c q_3^c}\right)^s, \quad s = -1; \quad q_c^c = \left(q_1^c q_2^c q_3^c\right)^c, \quad c = 1; \\ \bar{q}_b^c = \left(\overline{q_1^c q_2^c q_3^c}\right)^b, \quad b = -1; \quad q_t^c = \left(q_1^c q_2^c q_3^c\right)^t, \quad t = 1. \end{gathered} \tag{6.5.1}$$

To realize the MW-structure the global symmetries of internal worlds have unified into more higher symmetry including the generators λ_3 and λ_8 (subsec.6.1). This global group is the flavour group $SU_f(6)$ unifying all the symmetries SU_i^c of the worlds Q,B,s,c,b,t: $SU_f(6) \supset SU_f(2) \otimes SU_B^c \otimes SU_s^c \otimes SU_c^c \otimes SU_b^c \otimes SU_t^c$. The total symmetry reads $G_{tot} \equiv G^{loc} \otimes G^{glob} = G^{loc} \otimes SU_f(6)$, provided $G^{loc} \equiv SU^{loc}(3) \otimes G_w^{loc}$, where G_w^{loc} is the local symmetry of the electroweak interactions (subsec.6.8). The other important aspects of standard model are left for investigation in the next sections. However, below we proceed at once with further exposition of our approach to consider a gauge invariant Lagrangian of primary field with the MW- structure and nonlinear fermion interactions of the components.

6.6 The Primary Field

All the fields including the leptons eq.(6.3.1) and quarks eq.(6.4.1), along with the space-time components have also the MW internal components made of the various constituent subquarks defined on the given internal worlds, such that the internal components are consisted of distorted ordinary structures (sec.5)

$$\Psi(\theta) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta) \, \underset{Q}{\Psi}(\theta_Q) \, \underset{W}{\Psi}(\theta_W) \, \underset{B}{\Psi}(\theta_B) \, \underset{C}{\Psi}(\theta^c). \tag{6.6.1}$$

The components $\underset{Q}{\Psi}(\theta_Q), \underset{W}{\Psi}(\theta_W), \underset{B}{\Psi}(\theta_B)$ are primary massless bare Fermi fields. We assume that this field has arisen from primary field in the lowest state (s_0) with the same field components consisted of regular ordinary structures, which is motivated by the argument given in the sec.5 that the regular ordinary structures directly could not take part in link exchange processes with the η-type regular structure. Therefore, the primary field defined on G_N

$$\Psi(0) = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta) \, \underset{Q}{\Psi}(0) \, \underset{W}{\Psi}(0) \, \underset{B}{\Psi}(0) \, \underset{C}{\Psi}(0) \tag{6.6.2}$$

serves as the ready made frame into which the distorted ordinary structures of the same species should be involved. We apply the Lagrangian of this field possessed local gauge invariance written in the notations of App. D:

$$\widetilde{L}_0(D) = \frac{i}{2}\{\bar{\Psi}_e(\zeta)\, {}^i\gamma \underset{i}{D} \Psi_e(\zeta) - \underset{i}{D} \bar{\Psi}_e(\zeta)\, {}^i\gamma \Psi_e(\zeta)\}, \tag{6.6.3}$$

with the vector indices contracted to form scalars, where $\underset{i}{D} = \underset{i}{\partial} - ig\underset{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{B}}(\zeta)$, $\underset{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{B}}$ are gauge fields. Since the components $\underset{B}{\Psi}$ and $\underset{C}{\Psi}$ will be of no consequence for a discussion, then we temporarily leave them implicit, namely $i = \eta, Q, W$. The equation of primary field of the MW- structure with nonlinear fermion interactions of the components may be derived from an invariant action in terms of local gauge invariant Lagrangian, which looks like Heisenberg theory [51,52]

$$\widetilde{L}(D) = \widetilde{L}_0(D) + \widetilde{L}_I + \widetilde{L}_B, \tag{6.6.4}$$

provided by the Lagrangians of nonlinear fermion interactions of the components $\widetilde{L}_I = \sqrt{2}\widetilde{O}_1 \otimes L_I$, and gauge field $\widetilde{L}_B = \sqrt{2}\widetilde{O}_1 \otimes L_B$. The binding interactions are in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &L_I = \underset{Q}{L}_I + \underset{W}{L}_I, \quad \underset{Q}{L}_I = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\underset{Q}{J}_L \underset{Q}{J}{}^+_R + \underset{Q}{J}_R \underset{Q}{J}{}^+_L), \quad \underset{W}{L}_I = \frac{\lambda}{2} S_W S^+_W, \\ &L_B = -\frac{1}{2} Tr(\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}) = -\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{Tr}\left(\underset{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{B}}\underset{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{B}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.6.5}$$

where

$$\underset{Q}{J}_{L,R} = \underset{Q}{V} \mp \underset{Q}{A}, \quad \underset{Q}{V}_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \underset{Q}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \gamma_{(\lambda\alpha)}\, \underset{Q}{\Psi}, \quad \underset{Q}{V}{}^+_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \underset{Q}{V}{}^{(\lambda\alpha)} = \underset{Q}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \gamma^{(\lambda\alpha)}\, \underset{Q}{\Psi}$$

$$\underset{Q}{A}_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \underset{Q}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \gamma_{(\lambda\alpha)}\gamma_5\, \underset{Q}{\Psi}, \quad \underset{Q}{A}{}^+_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \underset{Q}{A}{}^{(\lambda\alpha)} = \underset{Q}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \gamma^5\gamma^{(\lambda\alpha)}\, \underset{Q}{\Psi}, \quad S_W = \underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \underset{W}{\Psi},$$

γ_μ and $\gamma_5 = i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$ are Dirac matrices. According to Fiertz theorem the interaction Lagrangian $\underset{Q}{L}_I = \frac{\lambda}{2}(VV^+ - AA^+)$ may be written $\underset{Q}{L}_I = -\lambda(S_Q S^+_Q - P_Q P^+_Q)$, provided by $S_Q = \underset{Q}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \underset{Q}{\Psi}, \quad P_Q = \underset{Q}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \gamma_5\, \underset{Q}{\Psi}$. Hence

$$\widetilde{L}(D) = \sqrt{2}\widetilde{O}_1 \otimes L(D), \quad L(D) = \underset{\eta}{L}(\underset{\eta}{D}) - \underset{Q}{L}(\underset{Q}{D}) - \underset{W}{L}(\underset{W}{D}), \tag{6.6.6}$$

where

$$\underset{\eta}{L}(\underset{\eta}{D}) = \underset{\eta}{L}{}'^{(0)}_{0}(\underset{\eta}{D}) - \frac{1}{2} Tr(\underset{\eta}{\mathbf{B}}\underset{\eta}{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}), \quad \underset{Q}{L}(\underset{Q}{D}) = \underset{Q}{L}{}'^{(0)}_{0}(\underset{Q}{D}) - \underset{Q}{L}_I - \frac{1}{2} Tr(\underset{\mathbf{Q}}{\mathbf{B}}\underset{\mathbf{Q}}{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}),$$

$$\underset{W}{L}(\underset{W}{D}) = \underset{W}{L}{}'^{(0)}_{0}(\underset{W}{D}) - \underset{W}{L}_I - \frac{1}{2} Tr(\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{B}}\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}).$$

Here

$$\underset{\eta}{L}{}'^{(0)}_{0} = \frac{i}{2}\{\bar{\Psi}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{\eta}{D}}\, \Psi - \bar{\Psi}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{\eta}{\overleftarrow{D}}}\, \Psi\} = \underset{u}{\Psi}{}^+ \underset{\eta}{L}{}^{(0)}_0\, \underset{u}{\Psi},$$

$$\underset{u}{L}{}'^{(0)}_{0} = \frac{i}{2}\{\bar{\Psi}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{u}{D}}\, \Psi - \bar{\Psi}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{u}{\overleftarrow{D}}}\, \Psi\} = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}{}^+ \underset{u}{L}{}^{(0)}_0\, \underset{\eta}{\Psi},$$

and

$$\underset{\eta}{L}{}^{(0)}_0 = \frac{i}{2}\{\underset{\eta}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{\eta}{D}}\, \underset{\eta}{\Psi} - \underset{\eta}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{\eta}{\overleftarrow{D}}}\, \underset{\eta}{\Psi}\}, \quad \underset{u}{L}{}^{(0)}_0 = \frac{i}{2}\{\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{u}{D}}\, \underset{u}{\Psi} - \underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{u}{\overleftarrow{D}}}\, \underset{u}{\Psi}\}.$$

The Lagrangian eq.(6.6.6) has the global γ_5 and local gauge symmetries. We consider only γ_5 symmetry in Q-world, namely $\underset{\mathbf{Q}}{\mathbf{B}} \equiv 0$.

According to the OMM formalism, it is important to fix the mass shell of the stable MW-structure (eq.(5.2.1). It means that we must take at first the variation of the Lagrangian eq.(6.6.3) with respect to primary field eq.(6.6.2), then have switched on nonlinear fermion interactions of the components. In other words we take the variation of the Lagrangian eq.(14.6) with respect to the components on the fixed mass shell. The equations of free field ($\mathbf{B} = 0$) of the MW-structure follow at once

$$\hat{p}\Psi_e(\zeta) = i\,\overset{\frown}{\gamma\partial}\,\Psi_e(\zeta) = i\,{}^i\gamma\underset{i}{\partial}\Psi_e(\zeta) = 0, \quad \bar{\Psi}_e\overleftarrow{\hat{p}} = -i\underset{i}{\partial}\bar{\Psi}_e{}^i\gamma = 0, \tag{6.6.7}$$

which lead to separate equations for the massless components $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}$, $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$ and $\underset{W}{\Psi}$:

$$\gamma^{(\lambda\alpha)}\underset{\eta}{p}_{(\lambda\alpha)}\,\underset{\eta}{\Psi} = i\gamma\underset{\eta}{\partial}\,\underset{\eta}{\Psi} = 0, \quad \gamma\underset{Q}{p}\,\underset{Q}{\Psi} = i\gamma\underset{Q}{\partial}\,\underset{Q}{\Psi} = 0, \quad \gamma\underset{W}{p}\,\underset{W}{\Psi} = i\gamma\underset{W}{\partial}\,\underset{W}{\Psi} = 0. \tag{6.6.8}$$

The important feature is that the field equations (6.6.7) remain invariant under the substitution $\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(0)} \rightarrow \underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)}$, where $\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(0)}$ and $\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)}$ are respectively the massless and massive Q-component fields, to which merely the substitution $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}^{(0)} \rightarrow \underset{\eta}{\Psi}^{(m)}$ is corresponded. In free state the massless field components $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}$, $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$ and $\underset{W}{\Psi}$ are independent and due to eq.(6.6.8), the Lagrangian

$$L'^{(0)}_0 = \underset{u}{\Psi}^+\underset{\eta}{L}^{(0)}_0\underset{u}{\Psi} - \underset{\eta}{\Psi}^+\underset{u}{L}^{(0)}_0\,\underset{\eta}{\Psi} = \underset{u}{\Psi}^+\underset{\eta}{L}^{(0)}_0\underset{u}{\Psi} - \underset{\eta}{\Psi}^+(\underset{Q}{L}^{(0)}_0 + \underset{W}{L}^{(0)}_0)\,\underset{\eta}{\Psi} \tag{6.6.9}$$

reduces to the following:

$$L'^{(0)}_0 = \underset{\eta}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \underset{u}{L}^{(0)}_0 = \underset{\eta}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \underset{Q}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \underset{W}{L}^{(0)}_0. \tag{6.6.10}$$

Hence, we implement our scheme as follows: starting with the reduced Lagrangian $L'^{(0)}_0$ of free field we shall switch on nonlinear fermion interactions of the components. After a generation of nonzero mass of the $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$ component in Q-world (next sec.) we shall look for the corresponding corrections via the eq.(6.6.9) to the reduced Lagrangian eq.(6.6.10) of free field. These corrections mean the interaction between the components governed by the eq.(6.6.7) and eq.(6.6.9), and do not imply at all the mass acquiring process for the η-component (see eq.(6.7.6)).

6.7 A Generation of the Fermion Mass in the Q-World

We apply now a well known Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model [56] to generate a fermion mass in the Q-world and start from the chirality invariant total Lagrangian of the field $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$: $\underset{Q}{L} = \underset{Q}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \underset{Q}{L}_I$, where a primary field $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$ is the massless bare spinor implying γ_5 invariance. However, due to interaction the rearrangement of vacuum state has caused a generation of nonzero mass of fermion such like to appearance of energy gap in superconductor [53-55]. Actually, one may say that particle physicists have always shown greater openness to adopt creatively concepts of condensed matter physics. In this case as well pursuing the analogy with the BCS-Bogoliubov theory of superconductivity, wherein the energy gap is created by the electron-electron interaction of Cooper pairs, in the [56, 57] it was assumed that the mass of Dirac quasi-particle excitation is due to some interaction between massless bare fermions, which may be considered as a self-consistent (Hartree-Fock) representation of it. This approach based on the main idea that due to a dynamical instability the field theory in general may admit also nontrivial solutions with less symmetry than the initial symmetry of Lagrangian. Hence, it is considered such possibility that the field equations may possess higher symmetry, while their solutions may reflect some asymmetries arisen due to fact that nonperturbative solutions to nonlinear equations do not in general possess the symmetry of the equations themselves. In [56, 57] the solution of massive fermion is obtained which lack the initial γ_5 symmetry of the Lagrangian. On the analogy of Gor'kov's theory [58, 59] it is shown that if one takes into account only the qualitative dynamical effects connected with rearrangement of vacuum state, in addition to the trivial solution of equation of massless fermion a real Dirac quasi-particle will satisfy the equation with non-zero self-energy operator $\Sigma(p, m, \widetilde{\lambda}, \Lambda)$ depending on mass (m), coupling constant ($\widetilde{\lambda}$) and cut-off (Λ). In the mean time $\widetilde{\lambda} = \lambda\,\Gamma(m, \widetilde{\lambda}, \Lambda)$, where λ is a bare coupling, Γ is the vertex function. This theory leads to the expression of self-energy operator Σ_Q for the field $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$. In lowest order it is quadratically divergent, but with a cutoff can be made finite. Making use of passage $\underset{Q}{G} \rightarrow \underset{Q}{M}_4$ (subsec.2.1), one shall proceed directly with the calculation. In momentum space one gets [56, 57]

$$\Sigma_Q = m_Q = -\frac{8\lambda i}{(2\pi)^4}\int \frac{m_Q}{p_Q^2 + m_Q^2 - i\varepsilon} F(p_Q, \Lambda) d^4 p_Q, \tag{6.7.1}$$

where $F(p_Q, \Lambda)$ is a cutoff factor, $m_Q = \mid \Delta_Q \mid$, $\Delta_Q = 4\lambda < \underset{Q}{\Psi}_R, \underset{Q}{\Psi}^+_L >$, $\underset{Q}{\Psi}_{L,R} = \frac{1 \mp \gamma_5}{2}\underset{Q}{\Psi}$, $< \cdots >$ specifies the physical vacuum averaging. Besides of trivial solution $m_Q = 0$, this equation has also nontrivial solution determining m_Q in terms of λ and Λ. Straightforward calculations with invariant cutoff yield the relation $\frac{2\pi^2}{\lambda\Lambda^2} = 1 - \frac{m_Q^2}{\Lambda^2}\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{m_Q^2} + 1\right)$. The latter is valid only if $\frac{\lambda\Lambda^2}{2\pi^2} \simeq 1$. After a vacuum rearrangement the total Lagrangian of initial massless bare field $\underset{Q}{\Psi}^0$ gives rise to corresponding Lagrangian $\underset{Q}{L}^{(m)}$ of massive field $\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)}$: $\underset{Q}{L} = \underset{Q}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \underset{Q}{L}_I = \underset{Q}{L}^{(m)}$ describing Dirac particle $(\gamma p_Q - \Sigma_Q)\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)} = 0$. In lowest order $\Sigma_Q = m_Q \ll \lambda^{-1/2}$. Within the refined theory of superconductivity, the collective excitations of quasi-particle pairs arise in addition to the individual quasi-particle excitations when a quasi-particle accelerated in the medium [55, 60-64]. This leads to the conclusion given in [56, 57] that, in general, the Dirac quasi-particle is only an approximate description of an entire system with the collective excitations as the stable or unstable bound quasi-particle pairs. In a simple approximation there arise CP-odd excitations of zero mass as well as CP-even massive bound states of nucleon number zero and two. Along the same line we must substitute in eq.(6.6.7) the massless field $\Psi^{(0)} \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}\,\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(0)}\,\underset{W}{\Psi}$ by massive field $\Psi^{(m)} \equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}\,\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)}\,\underset{W}{\Psi}$. We obtain

$$\gamma p_Q \underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)} = \Sigma_Q \underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)}, \quad \gamma p_W \Psi^{(m)} = 0, \quad \gamma p_\eta \Psi^{(m)} = (\gamma p_Q + \gamma p_W)\Psi^{(m)} = \Sigma_Q \Psi^{(m)}. \tag{6.7.2}$$

This applies following corrections to eq.(6.6.9):

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\eta}{L}'^{(m)}_0 &= \underset{u}{\Psi}^+ \underset{\eta}{L}^{(m)}_0 \underset{u}{\Psi} = \underset{u}{\Psi}^+ (\underset{\eta}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \Sigma_Q \underset{\eta}{\bar{\Psi}}\,\underset{\eta}{\Psi})\underset{u}{\Psi} \rightarrow \underset{\eta}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \Sigma_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi, \\ \underset{Q}{L}'^{(m)}_0 &= (\underset{\eta}{\Psi}\underset{W}{\Psi})^+ \underset{Q}{L}^{(m)}_0 (\underset{\eta}{\Psi}\underset{W}{\Psi}) = (\underset{\eta}{\Psi}\underset{W}{\Psi})^+ (\underset{Q}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \Sigma_Q \underset{Q}{\bar{\Psi}}\,\underset{Q}{\Psi})(\underset{\eta}{\Psi}\underset{W}{\Psi}) \rightarrow \underset{Q}{L}^{(0)}_0 - \Sigma_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi, \end{aligned} \tag{6.7.3}$$

where suffix (m) in $\Psi^{(m)}$ is left implicit. A redefinition $\underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(0)} \rightarrow \underset{Q}{\Psi}^{(m)}$ leaves the structure of the piece of the Lagrangian eq.(12.10) involving only the fields $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}$ and $\underset{W}{\Psi}$ unchanged

$$L_0 = \underset{\eta}{L}{}_0^{(0)} - \underset{W}{L}{}_0^{(0)} = \left(\underset{\eta}{L}{}_0^{(0)} - \Sigma_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi\right) - \left(\underset{W}{L}{}_0^{(0)} - \Sigma_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi\right) = \underset{\eta}{L}{}_0^{(m)} - \underset{W}{L}{}_0^{(m)}, \tag{6.7.4}$$

where the component $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$ is left implicit. The gauge invariant Lagrangian eq.(6.6.6) takes the form

$$L(D) = \underset{\eta}{L}(\underset{\eta}{D}) - \underset{W}{L}(\underset{W}{D}), \tag{6.7.5}$$

where upon combining and rearranging relevant terms we separate the Lagrangians

$$\underset{\eta}{L}(\underset{\eta}{D}) = \frac{i}{2}\{\underset{\eta}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{\eta}{D}}\, \underset{\eta}{\Psi} - \underset{\eta}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{\eta}{\overleftarrow{D}}}\, \underset{\eta}{\Psi}\} - f_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi - \frac{1}{2} Tr(\underset{\eta}{\mathbf{B}}\underset{\eta}{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}) \tag{6.7.6}$$

$$\underset{W}{L}(\underset{W}{D}) = \frac{i}{2}\{\underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{W}{D}}\, \underset{W}{\Psi} - \underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}\, \overset{\frown}{\gamma \underset{W}{\overleftarrow{D}}}\, \underset{W}{\Psi}\} - \Sigma_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi - \frac{\lambda}{2} S_W S_W{}^{+} - \frac{1}{2} Tr(\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{B}}\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}), \tag{6.7.7}$$

provided by $f_Q \equiv \Sigma_Q(p_Q, m_Q, \lambda, \Lambda), \quad \Psi = \underset{\eta}{\Psi}\,\underset{W}{\Psi}$.
The eq.(6.7.6) and eq.(6.7.7) are the Lagrangians that will be further evaluated and we shall be concerned within the following.

6.8 The Electroweak Interactions: the P-Violation

The microscopic approach creates a particular incentive for the pertinent concepts and ideas of the unified electroweak interactions. We admit that the local rotations in the W-world are occurred at very beginning around two arbitrary axes (subsec.6.2), namely $DimW^{loc}_{(2)} = N_{(q^w_1, q^w_2)} = 2$, where N is a subquark number, Dim is a dimension of local rotations. The subquarks come up in doublets forming the basis of fundamental representation of weak isospin group $SU(2)$ $q^w_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}^w$. The transformations U of local group $SU^{loc}(2)$ are implemented upon the left- and right-handed fields $q_{L,R}(\vec{T}^w) = \dfrac{1 \mp \gamma_5}{2} q^w_{(2)}(\vec{T}^w)$. If P-symmetry holds, one has $q'_{L,R} = U\, q_{L,R}, \quad U \in SU^{loc}(2)$. But, under such circumstances the weak interacting particles could not be realized, because of the condition of the MW connections eq.(6.1.5), which is not satisfied yet, i.e. the Q- and W-worlds could not be realized separately. A simple way of effecting a reconciliation is to assume that during a realization of weak interacting charged fermions, under the action of the Q-world, instead the spanning of the initial world $W^{loc}_{(2)}$ into the world of unified electroweak interaction $W^{loc}_{(3)}$ took place, where the local rotations always occur around all the three axes: $W^{loc}_{(2)} \rightarrow W^{loc}_{(3)}$ provided by $DimW^{loc}_{(3)} = 3 \neq N_{(q^w_1, q^w_2)} = 2$. As far as at the very beginning all the subquark fields in W-world are massless, we cannot rule out the possibility that they are transformed independently. On the other hand, when this situation prevails the spanning $W^{loc}_{(2)} \rightarrow W^{loc}_{(3)}$ must be occurred compulsory in order to provide a necessary background for the condition eq.(6.1.5) to be satisfied. The most likely attitude here is that doing away this shortage the subquark fields q_{L_1} , q_{L_2} , q_{R_1}, and q_{R_2} tend to give rise to triplet. The three dimensional effective space $W^{loc}_{(3)}$ will then arise

$$W^{loc}_{(2)} \ni q^w_{(2)}\,(\vec{T}^w = \frac{1}{2}) \to q^w_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} q_R(\vec{T}^w = 0) \\ \\ q_L(\vec{T}^w = \frac{1}{2}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q^w_3 \\ q^w_1 \\ q^w_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q_{R_2} \\ q_{L_1} \\ q_{L_2} \end{pmatrix} \in W^{loc}_{(3)}. \tag{6.8.1}$$

The latter holds if violating initial P-symmetry the components q_{R_1}, q_{R_2} have remained in the isosinglet states, i.e. the components q_L form isodoublet while q_R is a isosinglet: $q_L\,(\vec{T}^w = \frac{1}{2}), \quad q_R\,(\vec{T}^w = 0)$. Hence, the mirror symmetry is broken. Corresponding local transformations are implemented upon triplet ${q^w}'_{(3)} = f^{(3)}_W q^w_{(3)}$, where the unitary matrix of three dimensional local rotations reads

$$f^{(3)}_W = \begin{pmatrix} f_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_{11} & f_{12} \\ 0 & f_{21} & f_{22} \end{pmatrix}^w .$$

Making use of incompatibility relations eq.(4.4.5) one gets

$$\|f^{(3)}_W\| = f_{33}(f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21}) = f_{33}\varepsilon_{123}\varepsilon_{123}\|f^{(3)}_W\|f^*_{33}, \tag{6.8.2}$$

or $f_{33}f^*_{33} = 1$. That is $f_{33} = e^{-i\beta}$, and

$$\|f^{(2)}_W\| = f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21} = \|f^{(3)}_W\|f^*_{33} = \|f^{(3)}_W\|e^{i\beta}.$$

Due to condition $\|f^{(3)}_W\| = 1$ it reads $\|f^{(2)}_W\| = e^{i\beta} \neq 1$, thus, the initial symmetry $SU^{loc}(2)$ is broken. Restoring it the fields q_L should be undergone to additional transformations

$$f^{(2)}_W \to {f^{(2)}_W}' = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} & f_{12}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} \\ f_{21}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} & f_{22}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} \end{pmatrix}^w$$

in order to satisfy the unimodularity condition of the matrix of the group $SU^{loc}(2)$: $\|{f^{(2)}_W}'\| = \|f^{(2)}_W\|e^{-i\beta} = 1, \quad {f^{(2)}_W}' \in SU^{loc}(2)$. While, the expanded group of local rotations in W-world has arisen

$$f^{(3)}_{exp} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_{11}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} & f_{12}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} \\ 0 & f_{21}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} & f_{22}e^{-i\frac{\beta}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \in SU^{loc}(2)_L \otimes U^{loc}(1), \tag{6.8.3}$$

where $U = e^{-i\vec{T}^w\vec{\theta}^w} \in SU^{loc}(2)_L, \quad U_1 = e^{-iY^w\theta_1} \in U^{loc}(1)$. Here $U^{loc}(1)$ is the group of weak hypercharge Y^w taking the following values for left- and right-handed subquark fields: $q_R : Y^w = 0, -2, \quad q_L : Y^w = -1$. Whence $q'^w_{(3)} = f^{(3)}_{exp}q^w_{(3)}$, and

$$q'_L = e^{-i\vec{T}^w\vec{\theta}^w - iY^w_L\theta_1}q_L, \quad q'_R = e^{-iY^w_R\theta_1}q_R.$$

6.9 The Reduction Coefficient and the Weinberg Mixing Angle

The realization of weak interacting particles has always incorporated with the spanning eq.(6.8.1). This implies P-violation in W-world expressed in the reduction of initial symmetry group of local transformations of right-handed components q_R:

$$[SU(2)]_R \to [U(1)]_R\,, \tag{6.9.1}$$

The invariance of physical system of the fields q_R under initial group $[SU(2)]_R$ may be realized as well by introducing non-Abelian massless vector gauge fields $\mathbf{A} = \vec{T}^w \vec{A}$ with the values in Lie algebra of the group $[SU(2)]_R$. Under the reduction eq.(6.9.1) the coupling constant (g) changed into (g') specifying the interaction strength between q_R and the Abelian gauge field B associated with the local group $[U(1)]_R$. While $g = g' \tan\theta_w$, where θ_w is the Weinberg mixing angle, in terms of which the reduction coefficient reads $r_p = \dfrac{g - g'}{g + g'} = \dfrac{1 - \tan\theta_w}{1 + \tan\theta_w}$. To define the r_p we consider the interaction vertices corresponding to the groups $[SU(2)]_R : g\mathbf{A}\bar{q}_R\gamma\dfrac{\tau}{2}q_R$ and $[U(1)]_R : \quad g' B\bar{q}_R\gamma\dfrac{Y^w}{2}q_R$. Notifying that the matrix $\dfrac{\lambda_8}{2}$ is in the same normalization scale as each of the matrices $\dfrac{\lambda_i}{2} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) : Tr\left(\dfrac{\lambda_8}{2}\right)^2 = Tr\left(\dfrac{\lambda_i}{2}\right)^2 = \dfrac{1}{2}$ the vertex scale reads $(\text{Scale})_{SU(2)} = g\dfrac{\lambda_3}{2}$, which is equivalent to $g\dfrac{\lambda_8}{2}$. It is obvious that per generator scale should not be changed at the reduction eq.(6.9.1), i.e. $\dfrac{(\text{Scale})_{SU(2)}}{N_{SU(2)}} = \dfrac{(\text{Scale})_{U(1)}}{N_{U(1)}}$, where $N_{SU(2)}$ and $N_{U(1)}$ are the numbers of generators respectively in the groups $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$. Hence $(Scale)_{U(1)} = \dfrac{1}{3}(Scale)_{SU(2)}$. Stated somewhat differently, the normalized vertex for the group $[U(1)]_R$ reads $\dfrac{1}{3}gB\bar{q}_R\gamma\dfrac{\lambda_8}{2}q_R$. In comparing the coefficients can then be equated $\dfrac{g'}{g} = \tan\theta_w = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, and $r_p \simeq 0.27$. We may draw a statement that during the realization of the MW-structure the spanning eq.(6.8.1) compulsory occurred, which underlies the P-violation in W-world incorporated with the reduction eq.(6.9.1). The latter is characterized by the Weinberg mixing angle with the value fixed at 30^0.

6.10 Emergence of Composite Isospinor-Scalar Bosons

The field $q^w_{(2)}$ is the W-component of total field $q_{(2)} = \underset{\eta}{q}_{(2)}\,\underset{W}{q}_{(2)}\,(\equiv \underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{(2)}\,\underset{W}{\Psi}_{(2)})$, where the field component $\underset{Q}{q}\,(\equiv \underset{Q}{\Psi})$ is left implicit. Instead of it, below we introduce the additional suffix $(Q = 0, \pm)$ specifying electric charge of the field. At the very beginning there is an absolute symmetry between the components $q_1 = \underset{\eta}{q}_1\,\underset{W}{q}_1$ and $q_2 = \underset{\eta}{q}_2\,\underset{W}{q}_2$. Hence, left- and right-handed components of fields may be written

$$q_{1L} = \underset{\eta}{q}^{(0)}_{1L}\,\underset{W}{q}^{(-)}_{1L}, \quad q_{2L} = \underset{\eta}{q}^{(-)}_{2L}\,\underset{W}{q}^{(0)}_{2L}, \quad q_{1R} = \underset{\eta}{q}^{(0)}_{1R}\,\underset{W}{q}^{(-)}_{1R}, \quad q_{2R} = \underset{\eta}{q}^{(-)}_{2R}\,\underset{W}{q}^{(0)}_{2R}. \tag{6.10.1}$$

On the example of one lepton generation e and ν, without loss of generality, we shall exploit the properties of these fields. A further implication of other fermion generations will be straightforward. One has

$$\underset{\eta}{q}_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \underset{\eta}{q}{}^{(0)}_{1L} \\ \\ \underset{\eta}{q}{}^{(-)}_{2L} \end{pmatrix} \equiv L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e^-_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underset{\eta}{q}_{R} = \left(\underset{\eta}{q}{}^{(0)}_{1R},\, \underset{\eta}{q}{}^{(-)}_{2R} \right) \equiv R = \left(\nu_R,\, e^-_R \right),$$

$$\underset{W}{q}_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \underset{W}{q}{}^{(-)}_{1L} \\ \\ \underset{W}{q}{}^{(0)}_{2L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underset{W}{q}_{R} = \left(\underset{W}{q}{}^{(-)}_{1R},\, \underset{W}{q}{}^{(0)}_{2R} \right).$$

We evaluate the term $f_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi$ in the Lagrangian eq.(6.7.6)

$$\bar{\Psi}\Psi = \Psi^+_L \Psi_R + \Psi^+_R \Psi_L \equiv q^+_L q_R + q^+_R q_L,$$

provided by

$$q^+_L q_R = \underset{\eta}{q}{}^+_L \, \underset{W}{q}{}^+_L \, \underset{W}{q}_R \, \underset{\eta}{q}_R = \underset{\eta}{\bar{q}}_L \left(\gamma^0 \, \underset{W}{q}{}^+_L \, \underset{W}{q}_R \right) \underset{\eta}{q}_R = \bar{L}\, \varphi\, R,$$

$$q^+_R q_L = \underset{\eta}{q}{}^+_R \, \underset{W}{q}{}^+_R \, \underset{W}{q}_L \, \underset{\eta}{q}_L = \underset{\eta}{\bar{q}}_R \left(\gamma^0 \, \underset{W}{q}{}^+_R \, \underset{W}{q}_L \right) \underset{\eta}{q}_L = \bar{R}\, \varphi^+ \, L.$$

For appropriate values of the parameters this term causes

$$\bar{\Psi}\Psi = \bar{q}_{(2)}\, q_{(2)} = \bar{L}\, \varphi\, R + \bar{R}\, \varphi^+\, L,$$

where the isospinor-scalar meson field φ reads

$$\varphi \equiv \gamma^0 \, \underset{W}{q}{}^+_L \, \underset{W}{q}_R, \quad \varphi^+ \equiv \gamma^0 \, \underset{W}{q}{}^+_R \, \underset{W}{q}_L.$$

A calculation gives

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_1 \equiv \left(\underset{W}{q}{}^{(-)}_{1L} \right)^+ \underset{W}{q}_R, \quad \varphi_2 \equiv \left(\underset{W}{q}{}^{(0)}_{2L} \right)^+ \underset{W}{q}_R, \quad \varphi^+ = \left(\varphi^+_1, \varphi^+_2 \right).$$

Hence, the possible two doublets of the composite isospinor-scalar bosons read

$$\varphi_u = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{(+)}_{1\,u} \\ \\ \varphi^{(0)}_{2\,u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_d = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{(0)}_{1\,d} \\ \\ \varphi^{(-)}_{2\,d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

where

$$\varphi^+_{1\,u} \equiv \left(\underset{W}{q}{}^{(-)}_{1L} \right)^+ \underset{W}{q}{}^{(0)}_{2R}, \quad \varphi^0_{2\,u} \equiv \left(\underset{W}{q}{}^{(0)}_{2L} \right)^+ \underset{W}{q}{}^{(0)}_{2R},$$

and

$$\varphi^0_{1\,d} \equiv \left(\underset{W}{q}{}^{(-)}_{1L} \right)^+ \underset{W}{q}{}^{(-)}_{1R}, \quad \varphi^-_{2\,d} \equiv \left(\underset{W}{q}{}^{(0)}_{2L} \right)^+ \underset{W}{q}{}^{(-)}_{1R}.$$

In accordance with eq.(6.1.5), the isospinor-scalar meson carries following weak hypercharge $\varphi : Y^w = 1$. Thus, the term $-f_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi$ arisen in the total Lagrangian of fundamental fermion field eq.(6.7.6) accommodates the Yukawa couplings between the fermions and corresponding isospinor-scalar bosons in fairy conventional form

$$-f_Q \bar{\Psi}\Psi = -f_e \left(\bar{L}\, \varphi\, e_R + \bar{e}_R\, \varphi^+\, L \right) - f_\nu \left(\bar{L}\, \varphi_c\, \nu_R + \bar{\nu}_R\, \varphi^+_c\, L \right), \tag{6.10.2}$$

where the charge conjugated field φ_c is defined $(\varphi_c)_i = \varphi^{*\,k}\varepsilon_{ik}$. To compute the coupling constants f_e and f_ν for the leptons one must retrieve their implicit field-components $\underset{Q}{\Psi}$. Hence

$$f_i = tr(\rho_i^Q\,\Sigma_Q), \quad f_i^\nu = tr(\rho_i^{Q\,\nu}\,\Sigma_Q), \tag{6.10.3}$$

where the density operators ρ_i^Q and $\rho_i^{Q\,\nu}$ for given i of the pure ensembles are used

$$\begin{gathered} \rho_i^Q = (q_1q_2q_3)_i^{Q+}\,(q_1q_2q_3)_i^Q\,, \quad \rho_i^{Q\,\nu} = (q_i\bar{q}_i)^{Q+}\,(q_i\bar{q}_i)^Q\,, \\ tr(\rho_i^Q)^2 = tr(\rho_i^Q) = 1, \quad tr(\rho_i^{Q\,\nu})^2 = tr(\rho_i^{Q\,\nu}) = 1. \end{gathered} \tag{6.10.4}$$

According to eq.(6.1.6), one gets

$$f_i = L_i^2\,\bar{\Sigma}_Q, \quad f_i^\nu = L_i^2\,\bar{\Sigma}_Q^\nu, \quad \bar{\Sigma}_Q \equiv \Sigma_Q(\lambda, L)\,\rho^Q, \quad \bar{\Sigma}_Q{}^\nu \equiv \Sigma_Q(\lambda, L)\,\rho^{Q\,\nu}, \tag{6.10.5}$$

where

$$\rho^Q = (q_1q_2q_3)^{Q+}\,(q_1q_2q_3)^Q\,, \quad \rho^{Q\,\nu} = (q\,\bar{q})^{Q+}\,(q\,\bar{q})^Q\,. \tag{6.10.6}$$

An implication of the quarks into this scheme is straightforward if one retrieves their implicit field-components $\underset{Q}{\Psi}, \underset{B}{\Psi}, \underset{C}{\Psi}, \quad (C = s, c, b, t)$ (subsec.6.6). On the analogy of previous case the coupling constants read

$$f_i = tr(\rho_i\,\Sigma_Q), \tag{6.10.7}$$

where $i = u, d, s, c, b, t$. Taking into account the MW structure of the quarks eq.(6.4.1), we may write down the corresponding density operators

$$\rho_i = \rho_i^Q\,\rho_i^B\,\rho_i^C \tag{6.10.8}$$

given in a convention

$$\rho_i^A = \underset{A}{\Psi}{}_i^{+}\,\underset{A}{\Psi}{}_i, \tag{6.10.9}$$

where $\rho_u^C = \rho_d^C = 1$.

7 The Higgs Boson

To break the gauge symmetry down and leading to masses of the fields , one needs in general, several kinds of spinless Higgs bosons , with conventional Yukawa couplings to fermion currents and transforming by an irreducible representation of gauge group. The Higgs theory like [76-79] involves these bosons as the ready made fundamental elementary fields, which entails various difficulties. Within outlined here microscopic approach the self-interacting isospinor-scalar Higgs bosons arise in the W-world as the collective modes of excitations of the bound quasi-particle iso-pairs.

7.1 The Bose Condensate of Iso-Pairs

The ferromagnetism [80], Bose superfluid [81] and BCS-Bogoliubov model of superconductivity [53-55] are characterized by the condensation phenomenon leading to the symmetry-breaking ground state. It is particularly helpful to remember that in BCS-Bogoliubov theory the importance of this phenomenon resides in the possibility suggested by Cooper

[82] that in the case of an arbitrary weak interaction the pair, composed of two mutually interacting electrons above the quiescent Fermi sea, remains in a bound state. The electrons filling the Fermi sea do not interact with the pair and in the same time they block the levels below the Fermi surface. The superconductive phase arises due to effective attraction between electrons occurred by exchange of virtual phonons [83]. In BCS microscopic theory of superconductivity instead of bound states, with inception by Cooper, one has a state with strongly correlated electron pairs or condensed state in which the pairs form the condensate. The energy of a system in the superconducting state is smaller than the energy in the normal state described by the Bloch individual-particle model. The energy gap arises is due to existence of the binding energy of a pair as a collective effect, the width of which is equal to twice the binding energy. According to Pauli exclusion principle, only the electrons situated in the spherical thin shell near the Fermi surface can form bound pairs in which they have opposite spin and momentum. The binding energy is maximum at absolute zero and decreases along the temperature increasing because of the disintegration of pairs. Pursuing the analogy with these ideas in outlined here approach a serious problem is to find out the eligible mechanism leading to the formation of pairs, somewhat like Cooper mechanism, but generalized for relativistic fermions, of course in absence of any lattice. We suggest this mechanism in the framework of gauge invariance incorporated with the P-violation phenomenon in W-world. To trace a maximum resemblance to the superconductivity theory, within this section it will be convenient to describe our approach in terms of four dimensional Minkowski space $\underset{W}{M}_4$ corresponding to the internal W-world: $\underset{W}{G} \to \underset{W}{M}_4$ (subsec.2.1). Although we shall leave the suffix (W) implicit, but it goes without saying that all results obtained within this section refer to the W-world. According to previous section, we consider the isospinor-scalar φ-meson arisen in the W-world

$$\varphi(x) = \gamma^0 {\Psi_L}^+(x) \Psi_R(x),$$

where $x \in M_4$ is a point of the W-world. The following notational conventions will be employed throughout

$$\underset{W}{q}_L \equiv \underset{W}{\Psi}_L(\underset{W}{x}) \to \Psi_L(x), \quad \underset{W}{M}_4 \to M_4, \quad \underset{W}{q}_R \equiv \underset{W}{\Psi}_R(\underset{W}{x}) \to \Psi_R(x),$$

where $\Psi_R(x) = \gamma(1+\vec{\sigma}\vec{\beta})\Psi_L(x), \quad \vec{\beta} = \dfrac{\vec{v}}{c}, \quad \Psi_L(x) = \gamma(1-\vec{\sigma}\vec{\beta})\Psi_R(x), \quad \gamma = \dfrac{E}{m}$, provided by the spin $\vec{\sigma}$, energy E and velocity $\vec{v}$ of particle. In terms of Fourier integrals

$$\Psi_L(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \Psi_L(p_L)\, e^{ip_L x}\, d^4 p_L, \quad \Psi_R(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \Psi_R(p_R)\, e^{ip_R x}\, d^4 p_R, \tag{7.1.1}$$

it is readily to get

$$\varphi(k) = \int \varphi(x)\, e^{-ikx}\, d^4 x = \gamma^0 \int \frac{d^4 p_L}{(2\pi)^4}\, {\Psi_L}^+(p_L)\, \Psi_R(p_L + k) = \gamma^0 \int \frac{d^4 p_R}{(2\pi)^4}\, {\Psi_L}^+(p_R - k)\, \Psi_R(p_R) \tag{7.1.2}$$

provided by the conservation law of fourmomentum $k = p_R - p_L$, where $k = k(\omega, \vec{k})$, $p_{L,R} = p_{L,R}(E_{L,R}, \vec{p}_{L,R})$. Our arguments on Bose-condensation are based on the local gauge invariance of the theory incorporated with the P-violation in weak interactions.

The rationale for this approach is readily forthcoming from the consideration of gauge transformations of the fields eq.(7.1.2) under the P-violation in the W-world

$$\Psi'_L(x) = U_L(x)\Psi_L(x), \quad \Psi'_R(x) = U_R(x)\Psi_R(x),$$

where the Fourier expansions carried out over corresponding gauge quanta with wave fourvectors q_L and q_R

$$U_L(x) = \int \frac{d^4q_L}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{iq_Lx}\, U_L(q_L), \quad U_R(x) = \int \frac{d^4q_R}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{iq_Rx}\, U_L(q_R), \tag{7.1.3}$$

and $U_L(x) \neq U_R(x)$. They induce the gauge transformations implemented upon the φ-field $\varphi'(x) = U(x)\,\varphi(x)$. The matrix of *induced gauge transformations* may be written down in terms of *induced gauge quanta*

$$U(x) \equiv U_L^+(x)\, U_R(x) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{iqx}\, U(q), \tag{7.1.4}$$

where $q = -q_L + q_R, \quad q(q^0, \vec{q})$. In momentum space one gets

$$\varphi'(k') = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\, U(q)\, \varphi(k'-q) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\, U(k'-k)\, \varphi(k). \tag{7.1.5}$$

Conservation of the fourmomentum requires that $k' = k + q$. According to eq.(7.1.2) and eq.(7.1.5), we have

$$-p'_L + p'_R = -p_L + p_R + q = -p''_L + p_R = -p_L + p''_R,$$

where $p''_L = p_L - q, \quad p''_R = p_R + q$. Whence the wave vectors of fermions imply the conservation law $\vec{p}_L + \vec{p}_R = \vec{p}''_L + \vec{p}''_R$, characterizing the scattering process of two fermions with *effective interaction caused by the mediating induced gauge quanta*. We suggest the mechanism for the effective attraction between the fermions in the following manner: Among all induced gauge transformations with miscellaneous gauge quanta we distinguish a special subset with the induced gauge quanta of the frequencies belonged to finite region characterized by the maximum frequency $\dfrac{\widetilde{q}}{\hbar}$ $(\widetilde{q} = max\{q^0\})$ greater than the frequency of inducing oscillations fermion force $\dfrac{\bar{E}_L - \bar{E}''_L}{\hbar} < \dfrac{\widetilde{q}}{\hbar}$. To the extent that this is a general phenomenon, we can expect under this condition the effective attraction (negative interaction) arisen between the fermions caused by exchange of virtual induced gauge quanta if only the forced oscillations of these quanta occur in the same phase with the oscillations of inducing force (the oscillations of fermion density). In view of this we may think of isospinor Ψ_L and isoscalar Ψ_R fields as the fermion fields composing the iso-pairs with the same conserving net momentum $\vec{p} = \vec{p}_L + \vec{p}_R$ and opposite spin, for which the maximum number of negative matrix elements of operators composed by corresponding creation and annihilation operators $a^+_{\vec{p}''_R}\; a_{\vec{p}_R}\; a^+_{\vec{p}''_L}\; a_{\vec{p}_L}$ (designated by the pair wave vector $\vec{p}$) may be obtained for coherent ground state with $\vec{p} = \vec{p}_L + \vec{p}_R = 0$. In the mean time the interaction potential reads

$$V = \sum_{\vec{p}''_R,\, \vec{p}''_L,\, \vec{p}_R,\, \vec{p}_L} \left(a^+_{\vec{p}_L}\right)^+ a^+_{\vec{p}''_R} \left(a^+_{\vec{p}''_L}\right) a_{\vec{p}_R} = \sum_{\vec{p}''_R,\, \vec{p}''_L,\, \vec{p}_R,\, \vec{p}_L} a^+_{\vec{p}''_R}\; a^+_{\vec{p}''_L}\; a_{\vec{p}_R}\; a_{\vec{p}_L}, \tag{7.1.6}$$

implying the attraction between the fermions situated in the spherical thin shall near the Fermi surface

$$V_{\vec{p}\vec{p}''} = \begin{cases} -V \quad \text{at} \quad \mid E_{\vec{p}} - E_F \mid \leq \tilde{q}, \quad \mid E_{\vec{p}''} - E_F \mid \leq \tilde{q}, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \tag{7.1.7}$$

The fermions filled up the Fermi sea block the levels below Fermi surface. Hence, the fermions are in superconducting state if the condition eq.(7.1.7) holds. Otherwise, they are in normal state described by Bloch individual particle model. Hence, the Bose-condensate arises in the W-world as the collective mode of excitations of bound quasi-particle iso-pairs described by the same wave function in the superconducting phase $\Psi =< \Psi_L\Psi_R >$, where $< \cdots >$ is taken to denote the vacuum averaging. The vacuum of the W-world is filled up by such iso-pairs at absolute zero $T = 0$.
We make a final observation that $\Psi_R\Psi^+_R = n_R$ is a scalar density number of right-handed particles. It readily follows that:

$$(\Psi_L\Psi_R)^+(\Psi_L\Psi_R) = \Psi^+_R\Psi^+_L\Psi_L\Psi_R = \frac{1}{n_R}\Psi^+_R\gamma^0(\gamma^0\Psi^+_L\Psi_R)(\Psi^+_R\Psi_L\gamma^0)\gamma^0\Psi_R = \varphi\varphi^+, \tag{7.1.8}$$

where $\mid \Psi \mid^2 =< \varphi\varphi^+ >=\mid< \varphi >\mid^2 \equiv \mid \varphi \mid^2$. It is convenient to abbreviate the $< \varphi >$ by the symbol φ. The eq.(7.1.8) indeed shows that the φ-meson actually arises as the collective mode of excitations of bound quasi-particle iso-pairs.

7.2 The Non-Relativistic Approximation

In the approximation to non-relativistic limit ($\beta \ll 1, \quad \Psi_L \simeq \Psi_R, \quad \gamma^0 \to 1$) by making use of Ginzburg-Landau's (GL) phenomenological theory [84] it is straightforward to write down the free-energy functional for the order parameter in equilibrium superconducting phase in presence of magnetic field. The self-consistent coupled GL-equations are differential equations like Schrödinger and Maxwell equations, which relate the spatial variation of the order parameter Ψ to the vector potential $\vec{A}$ and the current $\vec{j}_s$. In the papers [58, 59], by means of thermodynamic Green's functions in well defined limit Gor'kov was able to show that GL-equations are a consequence of the BCS-Bogoliubov microscopic theory of superconductivity. The theoretical significance of these works resides in the microscopic interpretation of all physical parameters of GL-theory. Subsequently these ideas were extended to lower temperatures by others [85-87] using a requirement that the order parameter and vector potential vary slowly over distances of the order of the coherence length and that the electrodynamics be local (London limit). Namely, the validity of derived GLG-equations is restricted to the temperature T, such $T_c - T \ll T_c$ and to the local electrodynamics region $q\xi_0 \ll 1$, where T_c is transition temperature, ξ_0 is coherent length characterizing the spatial extent of the electron pair correlations, q are the wave numbers of magnetic field $\vec{A}$. The most important order parameter Ψ, the mass m_Ψ and the coupling constant λ_Ψ figured in GLG-equations read

$$\Psi(\vec{r}) = \frac{(7\zeta(3)N)^{1/2}}{4\pi k_B T_c}\Delta(\vec{r}), \quad \Delta(T) =\simeq 3.1 k_B T_c \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \xi_0 =\simeq 0.18\frac{\hbar v_F}{k_B T_c},$$

$$m^2_\Psi = 1.83\frac{\hbar^2}{m}\frac{1}{\xi^2_0}\left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right), \quad \lambda^2_\Psi = 1.4\frac{1}{N(0)}\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m\xi^2_0}\right)^2\frac{1}{(k_B T_c)^2}. \tag{7.2.1}$$

Reviewing the notation $\Delta(\vec{r})$ is the energy gap, $e^* = 2e$ is the effective charge, $N(0)$ is the state density at Fermi surface, N is the number of particles per unit volume in normal mode, v_F is the Fermi velocity, $m \equiv \Sigma_Q = f_Q$ is the mass of fermion field. The transition temperature relates to gap at absolute zero Δ_0 [53]. The estimate for the pair size at $v_F \sim 10^8 cm/s, \quad T_c \sim 1$ gives [88] $\xi_0 \simeq 10^{-4} cm.$

7.3 The Relativistic Treatment

We start with the Lagrangian eq.(6.7.7) of self-interacting fermion field in W-world, which is arisen from the Lagrangian eq.(6.6.6) of primary fundamental field after the rearrangement of the vacuum of the Q-world

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{W}{L}(x) = \frac{i}{2}\{\underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}(x)\gamma^\mu \underset{W\mu}{\partial}\,\underset{W}{\Psi}(x) - \underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}(x)\gamma^\mu \underset{W\mu}{\overleftarrow{\partial}}\,\underset{W}{\Psi}(x)\} - m\,\underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}(x)\,\underset{W}{\Psi}(x) - \\ -\frac{\lambda}{2}\,\underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}(x)\left(\underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}(x)\,\underset{W}{\Psi}(x)\right)\underset{W}{\Psi}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{7.3.1}$$

Here, $m = \Sigma_Q$ is the self-energy operator of the fermion field component in Q-world, the suffix (W) just was put forth in illustration of a point at issue. For the sake of simplicity, we also admit $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{B}}(x) = 0$, but, of course, one is free to restore the gauge field $\underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{B}}(x)$ whenever it will be needed. In lowest order the relation $m \equiv m_Q \ll \lambda^{-1/2}$ holds. The Lagrangian eq.(7.3.1) leads to the field equations

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma p - m)\Psi(x) - \lambda\left(\bar{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x)\right)\Psi(x) = 0, \\ &\bar{\Psi}(x)(\gamma\overleftarrow{p} + m) + \lambda\bar{\Psi}(x)\left(\bar{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x)\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3.2}$$

where the indices have been suppressed as usual. At non-relativistic limit the function Ψ reads $\Psi \rightarrow e^{imc^2 t}\Psi$, and Lagrangian eq.(7.3.1) leads to Hamiltonian used in [58]. In the following our discussion will be in close analogy with the latter. We make use of the Gor'kov's technique and evaluate the equations (7.3.2) in following manner: The spirit of the calculation will be to treat interaction between the particles as being absent everywhere except the thin spherical shell $2\tilde{q}$ near the Fermi surface. The Bose condensate of bound particle iso-pairs occurred at zero momentum. The scattering processes between the particles are absent. We consider the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} &< T\left(\Psi_\alpha(x_1)\Psi_\beta(x_2)\bar{\Psi}_\gamma(x_3)\bar{\Psi}_\delta(x_4)\right) >= - < T\left(\Psi_\alpha(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_\gamma(x_3)\right) >< T\left(\Psi_\beta(x_2)\bar{\Psi}_\delta(x_4)\right) > \\ &+ < T\left(\Psi_\alpha(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_\delta(x_4)\right) >< T\left(\Psi_\beta(x_2)\bar{\Psi}_\gamma(x_3)\right) > + \\ &< T\left(\Psi_\alpha(x_1)\Psi_\beta(x_2)\right) >< T\left(\bar{\Psi}_\gamma(x_3)\bar{\Psi}_\delta(x_4)\right) >, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &< T\left(\Psi_\alpha(x_1)\Psi_\beta(x_2)\right) >< T\left(\bar{\Psi}_\gamma(x_3)\bar{\Psi}_\delta(x_4)\right) >= \\ &< T\left(\Psi^+_\gamma(x_3)\gamma^0\Psi^+_\delta(x_4)\right) >< T\left(\gamma^0\Psi_\alpha(x_1)\Psi_\beta(x_2)\right) >, \end{aligned}$$

also introduce the functions

$$\begin{aligned} &< N \mid T\,(\gamma^0\Psi(x)\Psi(x')) \mid N+2 >= e^{-2i\mu' t}F(x-x'),\\ &< N+2 \mid T\,(\Psi^+(x)\gamma^0\Psi^+(x')) \mid N >= e^{2i\mu' t}F^+(x-x'). \end{aligned} \tag{7.3.3}$$

Here, $\mu' = \mu + m$, μ is a chemical potential. We omit a prime over μ, but should understand under it $\mu + m$. Let us now make use of Fourier integrals

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(x-x') = \int \frac{d\omega\, d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^4} G_{\alpha\beta}(p) e^{i\vec{p}(\vec{x}-\vec{x'})-i\omega(t-t')}$$

etc, which render the equation (7.3.2) easier to handle in momentum space

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma p - m)G(p) - i\lambda\gamma^0 F(0+)\bar{F}(p) = 1,\\ &\bar{F}(p)(\gamma p + m - 2\mu\gamma^0) + i\lambda\bar{F}(0+)G(p) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3.4}$$

where $F_{\alpha\beta}(0+) = e^{2i\mu t} < T\,(\gamma^0\Psi_\alpha(x)\Psi_\beta(x)) >= \lim\limits_{x\to x'(t\to t')} F_{\alpha\beta}(x-x')$. Next we substitute

$$(\gamma p - m) = (\omega' - \xi_p)\gamma^0, \quad (\gamma p + m - 2\mu\gamma^0) = \gamma^0(\omega' + \xi_p^+),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega' = \omega - \mu' = \omega - m - \mu, \quad \xi_p = (\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} + m)\gamma^0 - \mu' = (\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} + m)\gamma^0 - m - \mu,\\ &\xi_p^+ = \gamma^0(\vec{\gamma}^+\vec{p} + m) - m - \mu, \end{aligned}$$

and omit a prime over ω' for the rest of this section. We employ

$$F(0+) = -JI, \quad I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F^+(0+)F(0+) = -J^2 I^2 = J^2,$$

and $\widehat{\omega} + \widehat{\xi}_p = \gamma^0(\omega + \xi_p)$. The gap function Δ reads $\Delta^2 = \lambda^2 J^2$, where $J = \int \frac{d\omega\, d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^4} F^+(p)$. Making use of standard rules [81], one may pass over the poles. This method allows oneself to extend the study up to limit of temperatures, such that $T_c - T \ll T_c$, by making use of thermodynamic Green's function. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &F^+(p) = -i\lambda J(\omega - \xi_p + i\delta)^{-1}(\omega + \xi_p - i\delta)^{-1} - \frac{\pi\Delta}{\varepsilon_p} n(\varepsilon_p)\{\delta(\omega - \varepsilon_p) + \delta(\omega + \varepsilon_p)\},\\ &G(p) = \gamma^0\{u_p^2(\omega - \xi_p + i\delta)^{-1} + v_p^2(\omega + \xi_p - i\delta)^{-1} + 2\pi i n(\varepsilon_p)[u_p^2\delta(\omega - \varepsilon_p) -\\ &v_p^2\delta(\omega + \varepsilon_p)]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3.5}$$

where $u_p^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\xi_p}{\varepsilon_p}\right)$, $v_p^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\xi_p}{\varepsilon_p}\right)$, $\varepsilon_p = (\xi_p^2 + \Delta^2(T))^{1/2}$. and $n(\varepsilon_p)$ is the usual Fermi function $n(\varepsilon_p) = \left(\exp\frac{\varepsilon_p}{T} + 1\right)^{-1}$. Then

$$1 = \frac{\mid\lambda\mid}{2(2\pi)^3}\int d\vec{k}\,\frac{1 - 2n(\varepsilon_k)}{\varepsilon_k(T)} \quad (\mid \xi_p \mid < \tilde{q}), \tag{7.3.6}$$

determining the energy gap Δ as a function of T. According to eq.(17.3.6), the $\Delta(T) \to 0$ at $T \to T_c \sim \Delta(0)$ [53].

7.4 Self-Interacting Potential of Bose-Condensate

To go any further in exploring the form and significance of obtained results it is entirely feasible to include the generalization of the equations (6.1.3.4) in presence of spatially varying magnetic field with vector potential $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$, which is straightforward ($t \to \tau = it$)

$$\left\{-\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - i\vec{\gamma}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}} - ie\vec{A}(\vec{r})\right) - m + \gamma^0\mu\right\} G(x,x') + \gamma^0 \Delta(\vec{r})\bar{F}(x,x') = \delta(x-x'),$$

$$\bar{F}(x,x')\left\{\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + i\vec{\gamma}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}} + ie\vec{A}(\vec{r})\right) - m + \gamma^0\mu\right\} - \Delta^*(\vec{r})\gamma^0 G(x,x') = 0, \tag{7.4.1}$$

where the thermodynamic Green's function [89, 90] is used and the energy gap function is in the form $\Delta^*(\vec{r}) = \lambda F^+(\tau,\vec{r};\,\tau,\vec{r})$. This function is logarithmically divergent, but with a cutoff of energy of interacting fermions at the spatial distances in order of $\dfrac{\hbar v}{\widetilde{\omega}}$ can be made finite, where $\widetilde{\omega} \equiv \dfrac{\widetilde{q}}{\hbar}$. If one uses the Fourier components of functions $G(x,x')$ and $F(x,x')$

$$G(\vec{r},\vec{r'};u) = T\sum_n e^{-i\omega u} G_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}), \quad G_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-1/T}^{1/T} e^{i\omega u} G(\vec{r},\vec{r'};u)d\,u, \tag{7.4.2}$$

where $u = \tau - \tau'$, ω is the discrete index $\omega = \pi T(2n+1)$, $\quad n = 0, \pm 1, \ldots$, then the eq.(7.4.1) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{i\omega\gamma^0 - i\vec{\gamma}\left(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{r}} - ie\vec{A}(\vec{r})\right) - m + \gamma^0\mu\right\} G_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) + \gamma^0\Delta(\vec{r})\bar{F}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r'}),\\
&\bar{F}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'})\left\{-i\omega\gamma^0 + i\vec{\gamma}\left(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{r}} + ie\vec{A}(\vec{r})\right) - m + \gamma^0\mu\right\} - \Delta^*(\vec{r})\gamma^0 G_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4.3}$$

where the gap function is defined by $\Delta^*(\vec{r}) = \lambda T \sum_n F^+_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'})$. The Bloch individual particle Green's function $\widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'})$ for the fermion in normal mode is written

$$\left\{i\omega\gamma^0 - i\vec{\gamma}\left(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{r}} - ie\vec{A}(\vec{r})\right) - m + \gamma^0\mu\right\} \widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r'}), \tag{7.4.4}$$

or the adjoint equation

$$\left\{i\omega\gamma^0 + i\vec{\gamma}\left(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{r'}} + ie\vec{A}(\vec{r'})\right) - m + \gamma^0\mu\right\} \widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r'}). \tag{7.4.5}$$

By means of eq.(7.4.5) the eq.(7.4.3) gives rise to

$$G_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = \widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) - \int \widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{s})\gamma^0\Delta(\vec{s})\bar{F}_\omega(\vec{s},\vec{r'})d^3s, \tag{7.4.6}$$

and

$$\bar{F}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) = \int \widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{s},\vec{r'})\Delta^*(\vec{s})\gamma^0\widetilde{G}_{-\omega}(\vec{s},\vec{r})d^3s. \tag{7.4.7}$$

The gap function $\Delta(\vec{r})$ as well as $\bar{F}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r'})$ are small ones at close neighbourhood of transition temperature T_c and varied slowly over a coherence distance. This approximation, which went into the derivation of equations, meets our interest in eq.(7.4.6), eq.(7.4.7).

Using standard procedure one may readily express them in power series of Δ and Δ^* by keeping only the terms in $\bar{F}_\omega(\vec r,\vec r')$ up to the cubic and in $G_\omega(\vec r,\vec r')$ - quadratic order in Δ. After averaging over the polarization of particles the following equation coupling $\Delta(\vec r)$ and $\vec A(\vec r)$ ensued:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Delta^*(\vec r)} &= \lambda T\sum_n\int\overline{\widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec r,\vec r')\widetilde{G}_{-\omega}(\vec r,\vec r')}\Delta^*(\vec r')d^3r' - \\ \lambda T\sum_n\int\int\int &\overline{\widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec s,\vec r)\widetilde{G}_{-\omega}(\vec s,\vec l)\widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec m,\vec l)\widetilde{G}_{-\omega}(\vec m,\vec r)}\Delta(\vec s)\Delta^*(\vec l)\Delta^*(\vec m)d^3s\,d^3l\,d^3m.\end{aligned} \tag{7.4.8}$$

It is worthwhile to determine the function $\widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec r,\vec r')$. In the absence of applied magnetic field, i.e. $\vec A(\vec r)=0$ the eq.(7.4.4) reduces to

$$\Big\{(i\omega+\mu)\gamma^0-\vec\gamma\vec p-m\Big\}\,\widetilde{G}^0_\omega(\vec r,\vec r')=\delta(\vec r-\vec r'). \tag{7.4.9}$$

It is well to study at this point certain properties of the solution which we shall continually encounter

$$\widetilde{G}^0_\omega(\vec r,\vec r')=\frac{1}{2m}\Big\{(i\omega+\mu)\gamma^0-\vec\gamma\vec p+m\Big\}\,\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec r-\vec r'), \tag{7.4.10}$$

where the function $\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec r-\vec r')$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{1}{2m}\Big(q^2+\Delta\Big)\,\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec r-\vec r')=\delta(\vec r-\vec r'), \tag{7.4.11}$$

provided by $q^2=(i\omega+\mu)^2-m^2=2im\Omega+p_0^2$ and $\Omega=\omega\dfrac{\mu}{m},\quad p_0^2=\mu^2-m^2$. At $\mu\gg|\,\omega\,|$ one has $\dfrac{p_0^2}{2m}\gg|\,\Omega\,|$, and

$$\frac{1}{2m}\Big\{2im\Omega+p_0^2+\Delta\Big\}\,\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec r-\vec r')=\delta(\vec r-\vec r'), \tag{7.4.12}$$

the solution of which reads

$$\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec r-\vec r')=-\frac{m}{2\pi R}\exp(iqR),$$

where

$$q=sgn\,\Omega\,p_0+i\frac{|\,\Omega\,|}{v},\quad R=|\,\vec r-\vec r'\,|,\quad sgn\,\Omega=\frac{\Omega}{|\,\Omega\,|}.$$

In approximation to non-relativistic limit $\vec p\to 0$ this Green's function reduces to $\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec r-\vec r')$ used in [58]. Making use of Fourier integrals we readily get

$$\widetilde{G}^0_\omega(\vec p)=\frac{\mu\gamma^0+\vec\gamma\vec p+m}{q^2-\vec p^2+i0}=\widehat{\gamma}\,\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec p),$$

where $\widehat{\gamma}=\dfrac{1}{2m}(\mu\gamma^0+\vec\gamma\vec p+m)$. One has

$$\widetilde{G}^0_{G\omega}(\vec p)=\widetilde{G}^0_{G\Omega}(\vec p)=\frac{1}{i\Omega-\xi}=\widetilde{G}^0_{G\Omega}(-\vec p),$$

where as usual $\xi = \dfrac{\vec{p}}{2m} - \dfrac{\vec{p}_0}{2m}$. The Green's function $\widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ in presence of magnetic field differs from $\widetilde{G}^0_\omega(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')$ only by phase multiplier [58]

$$\widetilde{G}_\omega(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \exp\left\{\frac{ie}{c}\left(\vec{A}(\vec{r}), \vec{r}-\vec{r}'\right)\right\}\widetilde{G}^0_\omega(\vec{r}-\vec{r}').$$

The technique now is to expand a second term in right-hand side of the eq.(7.4.8) up to the terms quadratic in $(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')$. After calculations it transforms

$$\begin{gathered}\left\{\left(i\hbar\vec{\nabla} + \frac{e^*}{c}\vec{A}\right)^2 + \frac{2m}{\nu}\left[\frac{2\pi^2}{\lambda m p_0}\left(\frac{\mu}{m}\right)^2\left(\frac{\mu}{m}-1\right) + \left(\frac{\mu}{m}\right)^2\left(\frac{T}{T_{c\mu}}-1\right) + \right.\right. \\ \left.\left. \frac{2}{N} \mid \Psi(\vec{r}) \mid^2\right]\right\}\Psi(\vec{r}) = 0,\end{gathered} \tag{7.4.13}$$

where $\nu = \dfrac{7\zeta(3) m v_F^2}{24(\pi k_B T_c)^2}$ and $T_{c\mu} = \dfrac{m}{\mu}T_c$. Succinctly

$$\left\{\vec{p}_A^{\,2} - \frac{1}{2}m^2_\Psi + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2_\Psi \mid \Psi(\vec{r}) \mid^2\right\}\Psi(\vec{r}) = 0, \tag{7.4.14}$$

provided by

$$\begin{gathered}m^2_\Psi(\lambda, T, T_{c\mu}) = \frac{24}{7\zeta(3)}\left(\frac{\hbar}{\xi_0}\right)^2\left(\frac{\mu}{m}\right)^2\left[1 - \frac{T}{T_{c\mu}} - \left(\frac{\mu}{m}-1\right)\ln\frac{2\widetilde{\omega}}{\Delta_0}\right], \\ \lambda^2_\Psi(\lambda, T_c) = \frac{96}{7\zeta(3)}\left(\frac{\hbar}{\xi_0}\right)^2\frac{1}{N}, \quad \Psi(\vec{r}) = \Delta(\vec{r})\frac{(7\zeta(3)N)^{1/2}}{4\pi k_B T_c}.\end{gathered} \tag{7.4.15}$$

According to the eq.(7.4.15), the magnitude of the relativistic effects, however, is found to be greater to account for the large contribution to the values m^2_Ψ and λ^2_Ψ. While the transition temperature decreases inversely by the relativistic factor $\dfrac{\mu}{m}$. A spontaneous breakdown of symmetry of ground state occurs at $\eta^2_\Psi(\lambda, T < T_{c\mu}) > 0$, where $\eta^2_\Psi(\lambda, T, T_{c\mu}) = \dfrac{m^2_\Psi}{\lambda^2_\Psi}$. The eq.(7.4.14) splits into the couple of equations for Ψ_L and Ψ_R. Subsequently, a Lagrangian of the φ will be arisen with the corresponding values of mass $m^2_\Psi \equiv m^2_\varphi$ and coupling constant $\lambda^2_\Psi \equiv \lambda^2_\varphi$.

7.5 The Four-Component Bose-Condensate in Magnetic Field

Now we are going to derive the equation of four-component bispinor field of Bose-condensate, which may sound strange, but due to self-interaction the spin part of it is vanished. We start with the nonsymmetric state $\Delta_L \neq \Delta_R$, where Ψ_L and Ψ_R are two eigenstates of chirality operator γ_5. In standard representation

$$\begin{gathered}\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_L\\ \Psi_R\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_L + \Psi_R\\ \Psi_L - \Psi_R\end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\ \Psi_2\end{pmatrix}, \\ \Delta = \begin{pmatrix}\Delta_1\\ \Delta_2\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\Delta_L + \Delta_R\\ \Delta_L - \Delta_R\end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_L \neq \Delta_R.\end{gathered} \tag{7.5.1}$$

The eq.(7.4.14) enables to postulate the equation of four-component Bose-condensate in magnetic field and equilibrium state

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}=\left\{c\vec{\alpha}\left(\vec{p}+\frac{e^{*}}{c}\vec{A}\right)+\beta mc^{2}+M(F)+L(F)\mid\Psi\mid^{2}\right\}\Psi=0, \tag{7.5.2}$$

or succinctly

$$\left(\gamma p_{A}-m\right)\Psi=0. \tag{7.5.3}$$

This is in standard notations

$$\begin{array}{l}\gamma^{0}=\beta=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\alpha}=\gamma^{0}\vec{\gamma}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & \vec{\sigma}\\ \vec{\sigma} & 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad F=F_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}=inv,\\ \sigma^{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\right], \quad \frac{i}{2}e^{*}F=-e^{*}\vec{\Sigma}\vec{H}, \quad \vec{H}=rot\vec{A}, \quad F_{\mu\nu}=(0,\vec{H}), \quad \vec{\Sigma}=\begin{pmatrix}\vec{\sigma} & 0\\ 0 & \vec{\sigma}\end{pmatrix},\\ p_{A}=(p_{A0},\vec{p}_{A}), \quad \vec{p}_{A}=i\hbar\vec{\nabla}+\frac{e^{*}}{c}\vec{A}, \quad p_{A0}=-\left(M(F)+L(F)\mid\Psi\mid^{2}\right).\end{array}$$

The $M(F)$ and $L(F)$ are some functions depending upon the invariant F, which will be determined under the requirement that the second-order equations ensued from the eq.(7.5.3) must match onto eq.(7.4.14). Defining the functions $M(F)$ and $L(F)$:

$$M(F)=\left(M_{0}^{2}+\frac{i}{2}e^{*}F\right)^{1/2}, \quad M_{0}=\left(m^{2}+\frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^{2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad L(F)=-\frac{\lambda_{\varphi}^{2}}{8M(F)},$$

$$L_{0}=-\frac{\lambda_{\varphi}^{2}}{8M_{0}}, M(F)L(F)=M_{0}L_{0}=-\frac{1}{8}\lambda_{\varphi}^{2},$$

and taking into account an approximation fitting our interest that the gap function is small at close neighbourhood of transition temperature, one gets

$$\left\{\vec{p}_{A}^{\,2}+m^{2}-\left(M_{0}+L_{0}\mid\Psi\mid^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}\Psi(\vec{r})\equiv\left\{\vec{p}_{A}^{\,2}-\frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\varphi}^{2}\mid\Psi\mid^{2}\right\}\Psi(\vec{r})=0. \tag{7.5.4}$$

This has yet another important consequence that at $\Delta_{L}\neq 0$ and imposed constraint $(m+M(F)+L(F)\mid\Psi\mid^{2})_{F\to 0}\to 0$ we have

$$\Delta_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Delta_{L}-\Delta_{R})=0, \quad \Psi_{2}=0, \tag{7.5.5}$$

where $\mid\Psi_{0}\mid$ is the gap function symmetry-restoring value

$$\Delta_{2}\left(\mid\Psi_{0}\mid^{2}=\frac{m+M_{0}}{-L_{0}}\right)=0, \quad \Delta_{L}\left(\mid\Psi_{0}\mid^{2}\right)=\Delta_{R}\left(\mid\Psi_{0}\mid^{2}\right),$$

where, according to eq.(7.5.4), one has

$$V\equiv\left[m^{2}-\left(M_{0}+L_{0}\mid\Psi\mid^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\Psi^{2}=\left[-\frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\varphi}^{2}\mid\Psi\mid^{2}\right]\Psi^{2}, \tag{7.5.6}$$

and

$$V\left(\mid\Psi_{0}\mid^{2}=\frac{m+M_{0}}{-L_{0}}=\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\varphi}^{2}(\lambda,T,T_{c\mu})\right)=0. \tag{7.5.7}$$

It leads us to the conclusion that the field of symmetry-breaking Higgs boson must be counted off from the $\Delta_L = \Delta_R$ symmetry-restoring value of Bose-condensate $\mid \Psi_0 \mid = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta_\varphi(\lambda, T, T_{c\mu})$ as the point of origin describing the excitation in the neighbourhood of stable vacuum eq.(7.5.7). We may write down the Lagrangian corresponding to the eq.(7.5.3)

$$L_\Psi = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\bar{\Psi}\gamma p_A \Psi - \bar{\Psi}\gamma \overleftarrow{p}_A \Psi\right\} - m\bar{\Psi}\Psi.$$

The gauge invariant Lagrangian eq.(6.7.7) takes the form

$$\underset{W}{L}(\underset{W}{D}) = \frac{i}{2}\left\{\underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}\,\gamma\,\underset{W}{D}\,\underset{W}{\Psi} - \underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}\,\gamma\overleftarrow{\underset{W}{D}}\,\underset{W}{\Psi}\right\} - \underset{W}{\bar{\Psi}}\left\{m + \gamma^0\left[M(F) + L(F) \mid \Psi \mid^2\right]\right\}\underset{W}{\Psi}\,. \qquad (7.5.8)$$

At the symmetry-restoring point, this Lagrangian can be replaced

$$\underset{W}{L}(\underset{W}{D}) \to \underset{W}{L_1}(\underset{W}{D}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\underset{W}{D}\,\underset{W}{\Psi_1}\right)^2 - \underset{W}{V}\left(\mid \underset{W}{\Psi_1} \mid^2\right),$$

provided by

$$\underset{W}{V}\left(\mid \underset{W}{\Psi_1} \mid^2\right) = -\frac{1}{2}m_\varphi^2 \underset{W}{\Psi_1^2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_\varphi^2 \underset{W}{\Psi_1^4}.$$

Taking into account the eq.(7.1.8), in which $\mid \underset{W}{\Psi_1} \mid^2 = \mid \varphi \mid^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mid \eta_\varphi + \chi \mid^2$, one gets

$$\underset{W}{L_\varphi}(\underset{W}{D}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\underset{W}{D}\,\varphi\right)^2 - \underset{W}{V}\left(\mid \varphi \mid^2\right), \quad \underset{W}{V}\left(\mid \varphi \mid^2\right) = -\frac{1}{2}m_\varphi^2\varphi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_\varphi^2\varphi^4. \qquad (7.5.9)$$

The average value of well-defined current source expressed in terms of spinless field Ψ eq.(7.5.3) is given by $\vec{j}(\vec{r})\Big|_{\vec{\Sigma}=0}$. According to eq.(7.4.6) and eq.(7.4.7), one has

$$\delta G(x, x')|_{x=x'} = -T\sum_\omega \int \widetilde{G}_{G\Omega}(\vec{r}, \vec{s})\widetilde{G}_{G\Omega}(\vec{l}, \vec{r})\widetilde{G}_{G-\Omega}(\vec{l}, \vec{s})\Delta^*(\vec{l})\Delta(\vec{s})d^3s\, d^3l. \qquad (7.5.10)$$

Hence

$$\vec{j}(\vec{r})\Big|_{\vec{\Sigma}=0} =\simeq \frac{m}{4(m - M_0 - L_0 \mid \Psi \mid^2)}\left(\gamma^0 + \frac{\mu}{m}\right)\left\{\left(\gamma^0 + \frac{\mu}{m}\right)^2 + 3\beta_F^2\right\}\vec{j}_G(\vec{r}), \qquad (7.5.11)$$

provided by

$$\vec{j}_G(\vec{r}) = \left(\frac{m}{\mu}\right)^3\left\{\frac{-ie^*\hbar}{2m}\left(\Psi\frac{\partial\Psi^*}{\partial\vec{r}} - \Psi^*\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\vec{r}}\right) - \frac{e^{*2}}{mc}\vec{A}|\Psi|^2\right\}. \qquad (7.5.12)$$

Below we write the eq.(7.5.3) in the form on close analogy of the elementary excitations in superconductivity model described by coherent mixture of electrons and holes near the Fermi surface [54, 55, 91]. In chirial representation $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix}\Psi_L \\ \Psi_R\end{pmatrix}$ one has

$$p_{A0}\Psi_L = \vec{\sigma}\vec{p}_A\Psi_L + m\Psi_R, \quad p_{A0}\Psi_R = -\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}_A\Psi_R + m\Psi_L, \quad p_{A0} = \pm\left(\vec{p}_A^{\,2} + m^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

The two states of quasi-particle are separated in energy by $2 \mid p_{A0} \mid$. In the ground state all quasi-particles should be in lower (negative) energy states. It would take a finite energy $2 \mid p_{A0} \mid \geq 2m$ to excite a particle to the upper state (the case of Dirac particle). Thus, one may assume that the energy gap parameter m is also due to some interaction between massless bare fermions [56, 57].

7.6 Extension to Lower Temperatures

It is worth briefly recording the question of whether or not it is possible to extend the ideas of former approach to lower temperatures as it was investigated in the case of Gor'kov's theory by others [85-87]. Here, as usual we admit that the order parameter and vector potential vary slowly over distances of the order of the coherence length. We restrict ourselves to the London limit and the derivation of equations will be proceeded by iterating to a low order giving only the leading terms. Taking into account the eq.(7.4.1), eq.(7.4.3), eq.(7.4.6) and eq.(7.4.7), it is straightforward to derive the separate integral equations for G and F^+ in terms of Δ, Δ^* and $\widetilde{G}$. We introduce

$$K_\Omega(\vec{r},\vec{s}) = \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{s})\left\{ i\Omega + \frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{s}} - i\frac{e}{c}\vec{A}(\vec{s})\right)^2 + \mu_0 \right\} + \Delta(\vec{r})\widehat{\gamma}_A^2(\vec{s})\widetilde{G}_{-\Omega}(\vec{s},\vec{r})\Delta^*(\vec{s}), \tag{7.6.1}$$

provided by $\mu_0 = \dfrac{p_0^2}{2m}$, and

$$F^+_\omega(\vec{s},\vec{r}') = \widehat{\gamma}_A(\vec{s})F^+_\Omega(\vec{s},\vec{r}'), \quad \widehat{\gamma}_A(\vec{s}) = \frac{1}{2m}\{\gamma^0(i\omega+\mu) - \vec{\gamma}\vec{p}_A(\vec{s}) + m\}.$$

We write down the coupled equations in the form

$$\int d^3s\, K_\Omega(\vec{r},\vec{s})G_\Omega(\vec{s},\vec{r}') = \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}'), \tag{7.6.2}$$

and

$$\int d^3s\, F^+_\Omega(\vec{s},\vec{r}')K_{-\Omega}(\vec{s},\vec{r}) = \Delta^*(\vec{r})\widehat{\gamma}_A(\vec{r}')\widetilde{G}_\Omega(\vec{r},\vec{r}'), \tag{7.6.3}$$

The mathematical structure of obtained equations is closely similar to that studied by [85, 92, 93] in somewhat different context. So, adopting their technique we introduce sum and difference coordinates, and Fourier transform with respect to the difference coordinates as follows:

$$K_\Omega(\vec{p},\vec{R}) \equiv \int d^3(r-s)e^{-i\vec{p}(\vec{r}-\vec{s})}K_\Omega(\vec{r},\vec{s}) \tag{7.6.4}$$

with $\vec{R} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\vec{r}+\vec{s})$. We also involve similar expansions for all other functions. Then, the eq.(7.6.2) and eq.(7.6.3) reduce to following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta\left[K_\Omega(\vec{p},\vec{R})G_\Omega(\vec{p}',\vec{R}')\right] = 1, \\ &\Theta\left[F^+_\Omega(\vec{p}',\vec{R}')K_{-\Omega}(-\vec{p},\vec{R})\right] = \Theta\left[\Delta^*(\vec{R})\widehat{\gamma}_A(\vec{p}',\vec{R}')\widetilde{G}_\Omega(\vec{p}',\vec{R}')\right] \end{aligned} \tag{7.6.5}$$

provided by the standard differential operator of finite order defined under the requirement that it produces the Fourier transform of the matrix product of two functions when it operates on the transforms of the individual functions [92, 93]

$$\Theta \equiv \lim_{\substack{\vec{R}' \to \vec{R} \\ \vec{p}' \to \vec{p}}} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{R}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{R}'}\right)\right]. \tag{7.6.6}$$

One gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_\Omega(\vec{p},\vec{R}) &= i\Omega - \epsilon(\vec{p},\vec{R}) + \lim_{\vec{R}',\vec{R}''\to\vec{R}} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{p}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{R}'}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{R}''}\right)\right]\times \\
&\times\Delta^*(\vec{R}')\widehat{\gamma}_A(\vec{p},\vec{R}')\widehat{\gamma}_A(-\vec{p},\vec{R})\Delta^*(\vec{R}''),
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.6.7}
$$

where it is denoted $\epsilon(\vec{p},\vec{R}) \equiv \frac{1}{2m}\left(\vec{p}-\frac{e}{c}\vec{A}(\vec{R})\right)^2 - \mu_0$. To obtain resulting expressions we shall proceed with further calculations, but shall forbear to write them out as they are so standard. There is only one thing to be noticed about the integration. That is, due to the angular integration in momentum space, as mentioned above, the terms linear in the vector $\vec{p}$ will be vanished , as well as the integration over the energies removes the linear terms in $\epsilon(\vec{p})$. So, we may expand the quantities in eq.(7.6.5) according to the degree of inhomogenity somewhat like it we have done in equation (7.4.8) of gap function $\Delta^*(\vec{r})$, which in mixed representation transforms to the following:

$$
\Delta^*(\vec{R}) = T\sum_\omega\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}F^+_\omega(\vec{p},\vec{R}) = T\sum_\omega\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\widehat{\gamma}_A(\vec{p},\vec{R})F^+_\Omega(\vec{p},\vec{R}). \tag{7.6.8}
$$

The approximation was used to obtain the function F^+_Ω must be of one order higher $F^+_\Omega \simeq F^{(0)+}_\Omega + F^{(1)+}_\Omega + F^{(2)+}_\Omega$ than that for function $\widetilde{G}_\Omega \simeq \widetilde{G}^{(0)}_\Omega + \widetilde{G}^{(1)}_\Omega$. Employing an iteration method of solution one replaces $K \to \widetilde{K}$, $G \to \widetilde{G}$ in eq.(7.6.5) and puts $\Theta^{(0)} = 1$, $\widetilde{K}^{(1)} = 0$, $\widetilde{G}^{(1)} = 0$. Hence $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}^{(0)}$. The resulting equation for gap function is similar to those occurring in [85], although not identical. The sole difference is that in the resulting equation we use the expressions of Ω and ξ. With this replacement the equation reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^* = T\sum_\omega\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\Bigg\{&\frac{\Delta^*}{\Omega^2+\xi^2} + \Bigg[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{R}}+\frac{2ie}{c}\vec{A}\right)^2\Delta^* + \Delta\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{R}}+\frac{2ie}{c}\vec{A}\right)\Delta^*\right)^2\frac{\partial}{\partial|\Delta|^2} + \\
&+\frac{\Delta^*}{3}\frac{\partial^2|\Delta|^2}{\partial\vec{R}^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial|\Delta|^2} + \frac{\Delta^*}{6}\left(\frac{\partial|\Delta|^2}{\partial\vec{R}}\right)^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\left(|\Delta|^2\right)^2}\Bigg]\frac{p^2/6m^2}{\left(\Omega^2+\xi^2\right)^2}\Bigg\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.6.9}
$$

where $\xi(\vec{p},\vec{R}) \equiv \left[\epsilon^2(\vec{p}) + |\Delta(\vec{R})|^2\right]^{1/2}$. The average value of the operator of current density at $T \sim T_c$ follows at once

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{j}_G(\vec{R}) = \left(\overline{\gamma^0\widehat{\gamma}^3}\right)_{\Sigma=0}\frac{2e}{m}&\left\{-\frac{i}{2}\left(\Delta^*(\vec{R})\frac{\partial\Delta(\vec{R})}{\partial\vec{R}} - \frac{\partial\Delta^*(\vec{R})}{\partial\vec{R}}\Delta(\vec{R})\right) - \right. \\
&\left.-\frac{2e}{c}|\Delta(\vec{R})|^2\vec{A}(\vec{R})\right\}T\sum_\omega\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{p^2/3m}{\left(\Omega^2+\xi^2(\vec{p},\vec{R})\right)^2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.6.10}
$$

where $\left(\overline{\gamma^0\widehat{\gamma}^3}\right)_{\Sigma=0} = \frac{1}{8}\left(\gamma^0+\frac{\mu}{m}\right)\left\{\left(\gamma^0+\frac{\mu}{m}\right)^2 + 3\beta_F^2\right\}$. At $\Delta \ll \pi k_B T$ and $\vec{A}$ is independent of position the eq.(7.6.9) and eq.(7.6.10) lead back to the equations (7.4.13) and (7.5.11). Actually, from such results it is then easy by ordinary manipulations to investigate the pertinent physical problem in several particular cases, but a separate calculation for each case would be needed.

8 Lagrangian of Electroweak Interactions; The Transmission of the Electroweak Symmetry Breaking From the W-World to Spacetime Continuum

The results obtained within the previous subsections enable us to trace unambiguously rather general scheme of unified electroweak interactions, where the self-interacting isospinor scalar Higgs bosons have arisen as the collective modes of excitations of bound quasi-particle iso-pairs on the internal W-world. But, at the very first we remind some features allowing us to write down the final Lagrangian of electroweak interactions.
1. During the realization of the MW connections of weak interacting fermions under the action of the Q-world the P-violation compulsory occurred in the W-world incorporated with the symmetry reduction eq.(6.7.1) characterized by the Weinberg mixing angle with the fixed value at 30^0. This gives rise to the local symmetry $SU(2)\otimes U(1)$, under which the left-handed fermions transformed as six independent doublets, while the right-handed fermions transformed as twelve independent singlets.
2. Due to vacuum rearrangement in Q-world the Yukawa couplings arise between the fermion fields and corresponding isospinor-scalar φ- meson in conventional form.
3. In the framework of suggested mechanism providing the effective attraction between the relativistic fermions caused by the exchange of the mediating induced gauge quanta in W-world, the self-interacting isospinor-scalar Higgs bosons arise as Bose-condensate, namely the $SU(2)$ multiplets of spinless φ-meson fields coupled to the gauge fields in a gauge invariant way. Thus, in the Lagrangian of φ-meson with the degenerate vacuum of the W-world the symmetry-breaking Higgs boson is counted off from the gap symmetry restoring value as the point of origin. In view of this the total Lagrangian ensues from the eq.(6.7.5)- eq.(6.7.7), which is now invariant under the local symmetry $SU(2)\otimes U(1)$, where a set of gauge fields are coupled to various multiplets of fields among which is also a multiplet of Higgs boson. Subsequently, we separate a piece of Lagrangian containing only the fields defined on four dimensional Minkowski flat spacetime continuum M_4. To facilitate writing we shall forbear here to write out the piece of Lagrangian containing the terms of other fermion generations than one, as it is a somewhat lengthy and so standard. But, in the mean time, we shall retain the explicit terms of Higgs bosons arisen on the internal $W-$world to emphasize the specific mechanism of the electroweak symmetry breakdown discussed below. The resulting Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{gathered}L=-\frac{1}{2}TrG_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}+i\bar{L}\hat{D}L+i\bar{e}_R\hat{D}e_R+i\bar{\nu}_R\hat{D}\nu_R+|\underset{W}{D}{}_{\mu}\varphi|^2- \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_\varphi^2\left(|\varphi|^2-\frac{1}{2}\eta_\varphi^2\right)^2-f_e\left(\bar{L}\varphi e_R+\bar{e}_R\varphi^+L\right)-f_\nu\left(\bar{L}\varphi_c\nu_R+\bar{\nu}_R\varphi_c^+L\right)+ \qquad (8.1) \\ \text{similar terms for other fermion generations.}\end{gathered}$$

The gauge fields $\mathbf{A}_\mu(x)$ and $B_\mu(x)$ associate respectively with the groups $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$, where the gauge covariant curls are $F_{\mu\nu}, G_{\mu\nu}$. The corresponding gauge covariant derivatives are in standard form. One took into account corresponding values of the operators $\mathbf{T}$ and Y for left- and right-handed fields, and for isospinor φ-meson. The Yukawa coupling constants f_e and f_ν are inserted in subsec.6.10. Since the electroweak symmetry is at any rate only approximate, the test of the theory will depend on its ability to account for its breaking as well. here the MSM creates a particular incentive for the study of such a breaking. Then, just it remains to see how can such Higgs bosons arisen on the internal W-world break the gauge symmetry down in M_4 and lead to masses of the spacetime-components of the MW-fields? It is remarkable to see that the suggested MSM, in contrast to the SM, predicts the transmission of electroweak symmetry breaking from the $W-$world to the M_4 spacetime continuum. Actually, in standard scenario for the simplest Higgs sector, a gauge invariance of the Lagrangian is broken when the φ-meson fields eq.(7.5.9) acquire a VEV $\eta_\varphi \neq 0$ in the W-world. While the mass m_φ and coupling constant λ_φ are in the form eq.(7.4.15). The spontaneous breakdown of symmetry is vanished at $\eta_\varphi^2(\lambda, T > T_{c\mu}) < 0$. When this doublet obtains a VEV, three of the gauge fields $\underset{W}{Z}{}^{0}_{\mu}$, $\underset{W}{W}{}^{\pm}_{\mu}$ acquire masses. These fields are the W-components of the mesons mediating the weak interactions. Certainly, the derivative

$$\underset{W}{D}{}_{\mu}\,\varphi \equiv \left(\underset{W}{\partial}{}_{\mu} - \frac{i}{2}\, g\, \tau\, \underset{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{W}}{}_{\mu} - \frac{i}{2}\, g'\, \underset{W}{X}{}_{\mu}\right)\varphi$$

arisen in the eq.(8.1) leads to the masses

$$M_W = \frac{g\,\eta_\varphi}{2}, \quad M_Z^2 = \frac{\left(g^2 + g'^2\right)^{1/2}\,\eta_\varphi}{2}, \quad \cos\theta_W = \frac{M_W}{M_Z},$$

respectively of the gauge field components

$$\underset{W}{W}{}^{\pm}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\underset{W}{W}{}^{1}_{\mu} \pm \underset{W}{W}{}^{2}_{\mu}\right), \quad \underset{W}{Z}{}_{\mu} = \frac{g\,\underset{W}{W}{}^{3}_{\mu} - g'\,\underset{W}{X}{}_{\mu}}{\left(g^2 + g'^2\right)^{1/2}} \equiv \cos\theta_W\,\underset{W}{W}{}^{3}_{\mu} - \sin\theta_W\,\underset{W}{X}{}_{\mu}.$$

Consequently, a massless gauge field

$$\underset{W}{A}{}_{\mu} = \frac{g'\,\underset{W}{W}{}^{3}_{\mu} + g\,\underset{W}{X}{}_{\mu}}{\left(g^2 + g'^2\right)^{1/2}} \equiv \sin\theta_W\,\underset{W}{W}{}^{3}_{\mu} + \cos\theta_W\,\underset{W}{X}{}_{\mu}.$$

may be identified as the W-component of the photon field coupled to the electric current. Therewith the x-components of the fields above simultaneously acquire corresponding masses too, since, according to the specific MW scheme (eq.(6.6.7)), all the components of corresponding frame fields are defined on the MW mass shells, i.e.,

$$\underset{x}{\Box}\,\underset{x}{W}{}_{\mu} = M_W^2\,\underset{x}{W}{}_{\mu}, \quad \underset{x}{\Box}\,\underset{x}{Z}{}_{\mu} = M_Z^2\,\underset{x}{Z}{}_{\mu}, \quad \underset{x}{\Box}\,\underset{x}{A}{}_{\mu} = M_A^2\,\underset{x}{A}{}_{\mu},$$

provided by

$$M_W^2\,\underset{W}{W}{}_{\mu} \equiv \underset{W}{\Box}\,\underset{W}{W}{}_{\mu}, \quad M_Z^2\,\underset{W}{Z}{}_{\mu} \equiv \underset{W}{\Box}\,\underset{W}{Z}{}_{\mu}, \quad M_A^2\,\underset{W}{A}{}_{\mu} \equiv \underset{W}{\Box}\,\underset{W}{A}{}_{\mu} = 0.$$

The microscopic structure of these fields reads

$$W^{+} \equiv \phi_W(\eta)\,(q_1q_2q_3)^Q(q\bar{q})^W, \quad W^{-} \equiv \phi_W(\eta)\,(\overline{q_1q_2q_3})^Q(\bar{q}q)^W,$$

$$Z^0 \equiv \phi_Z(\eta)\,(q\bar{q})^Q(q\bar{q})^W, \quad A \equiv \phi_A(\eta)\,(q\bar{q})^Q\,\underset{W}{A}(0).$$

The values of the masses M_W and M_Z are changed if the Higgs sector is built up more compoundly. Due to Yukawa couplings the fermions acquire the masses after symmetry-breaking. The mass of electron reads $m_e = \frac{\eta_\varphi}{\sqrt{2}} f_e$ etc. One gets for the leptons $f_e : f_\mu : f_\tau = m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau$. This mechanism does not disturb the renormalizability of the theory [94, 95]. In approximation to lowest order $f = \Sigma_Q \simeq m_Q \ll \lambda^{-1/2} \quad \left(\lambda^{-1} = \frac{mp_0}{2\pi^2} \ln \frac{2\widetilde{\omega}}{\Delta_0}\right)$, the Lagrangian eq.(8.1) produce the Lagrangian of phenomenological SM, where at $f \sim 10^{-6}$ one gets $\lambda \ll 10^{12}$.

9 The Two Solid Phenomenological Implications of the MSM

Discussing now the relevance of our present approach to the physical realities we should attempt to provide some ground for checking the predictions of the MSM against experimental evidence. It is remarkable that the resulting theory makes plausible following testable implications for the current experiments at LEP2, at the Tevatron and LHC discussed below, which are drastically different from the predictions of conventional models:
1. Due to the specific mechanism of the electroweak symmetry breakdown given in the previous subsection, the first important phenomenological implication of the MSM ensued at once that:

• *the Higgs bosons never could emerge in spacetime continuum and, thus, could not be discovered in experiments nor at any energy range.*

2. According to previous subsection, the lowest pole m_Q of the self-energy operator Σ_Q in eq.(8.1) has fixed the whole mass spectrum of the SM particles. But, in general, one must also take into account the mass spectrum of expected various collective excitations of bound quasi-particle pairs produced by higher-order interactions as a "superconductive" solution obtained from a nonlinear spinor field Lagrangian of the Q-component possessed γ_5 invariance (subsec.6.7). These states must be considered as a direct effect of the same primary nonlinear fermion interaction which provides the mass of the Q-component of Fermi field, which itself is a collective effect. They would manifest themselves as stable or unstable states. The general features of mass spectrum of the collective excitations and their coupling with the fermions are discussed in [56, 57] through the use of the Bethe-Salpeter equation handled in the simplest ladder approximation incorporated with the self-consistency conditions, when one is still left with unresolved divergence problem. One can reasonably expect that these results for the bosons of small masses at low energy compared to the unbound fermion states are essentially correct in spite of the very simple approximations. Therein, some bound states are predicted too the obtained mass values of which are rather high, and these states should decay very quickly. The high-energy poles may in turn determine the low-energy resonances. All this prompt us to expect that the other poles different from those of lowest one in turn will produce the new heavy SM family partners. Hence, one would expect a second important phenomenological implication of the MSM that:

• *for each of the three SM families of quarks and leptons there are corresponding heavy family partners with the same* $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ *quantum numbers at the energy* *scales related to next poles with respect to lowest one.*

To see its nature, now we may estimate the energy threshold of creation of such heavy family partners using the results far obtained in [56, 57]. It is therefore necessary under the simplifying assumption to consider in the Q-world a composite system of dressed fermion (N_*) made of the unbound fermion (N) coupled with the different kind two-fermion bound states ($N\,\bar{N}$) at low energy, which all together represent the primary manifestation of the fundamental interaction. Such a dressed fermion would have a total mass $m_* \simeq m_Q + \mu$, where m_Q and μ are the masses, respectively, of the unbound fermion and the bound state. According to the general discussion of the mass spectrum of the collective excitations given in [56], here we are interested only in the following low-energy bound states written explicitly in spectroscopic notation $({}^1S_0)_{N=0}$, $({}^1S_0)_{N=\pm 2}$, $({}^3P_1)_{N=0}$ and $({}^3P_0)_{N=0}$ with the expected masses $\mu = 0,\ > \sqrt{2}m_Q,\ \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}}m_Q$ and $2\,m_Q$, respectively, where the subscript N indicates the nucleon number. One notes the peculiar symmetry existing between the pseudoscalar and the scalar states that the first has zero mass and binding energy $2m_Q$, while the opposite holds for the scalar state. When the next pole m_* to the lowest one m_Q will be switched on, then due to the Yukawa couplings in the eq.(8.1) the all fermions will acquire the new masses with their common shift $\dfrac{m_*}{m_Q} \equiv 1+k$ held upwards along the energy scale. To fix the energy threshold value all we have to do then is choose the heaviest member among the SM fermions, which is the top quark, and to set up the quite obvious formula

$$E \geq E_0 \equiv m_{t'}\, c^2 = (1+k)\, m_t\, c^2,$$

where m_t is the mass of the top quark. The top quark observed firstly in the two FNAL $p\,\bar{p}$ collider experiments in 1995, has the mass turned out to be startlingly large $m_t = (173.8 \pm 5.0) GeV/c^2$ compared to all the other SM fermion masses [96]. Thus, we get the most important energy threshold scale estimate where the heavy partners of the SM extra families of quarks and leptons likely would reside at: $E_1 > (419.6 \pm 12.0) GeV$, $E_2 = (457.6 \pm 13.2) GeV$ and $E_3 = (521.4 \pm 15.0) GeV$, corresponded to the next nontrivial poles are written: $k_1 > \sqrt{2}$, $k_2 = \sqrt{8/3}$ and $k_3 = 2$, respectively. We recognize well that the general results obtained in [56, 57], however, model-dependent and may be considerably altered, especially in the high energy range by using better approximation. In present state of the theory it seemed to be a bit premature to get exact high energy results, which will be important subject for the future investigations. But, in the same time we believe that the approximation used in [56, 57] has enough accuracy for the low-energy estimate made above . Anyhow, it is for one thing, the new scale where the family partners reside will be much higher than the electroweak scale and thus these heavy partners lie far above the electroweak scale.

10 Quark Flavour Mixing and the Cabibbo Angles

An implication of quark generations into general scheme will be carried out in the same way of the leptons. But before proceeding further that it is profitable to enlarge it by the additional assumption without asking the reason behind it:

- The MW components imply

$$ {}^{i}\underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}{}^{A}(\cdots,\theta_{i_1},\cdots\theta_{i_n},\cdots)\ {}^{j}\underset{u}{\Psi}{}^{B}(\cdots,\theta_{i_1},\cdots\theta_{i_n},\cdots)=\delta_{ij}\sum_{l=i_1,\ldots,i_n} f^{AB\ i}_{il}\left(\bar{q}_l q_l\right), \tag{10.1} $$

namely, the contribution of each individual subquark ${}^{i}q_l$, into the component of given world (i) is determined by the *partial formfactor* f^{AB}_{il}. Under the group $SU(2)\otimes U(1)$ the left-handed quarks transform as three doublets, while the right-handed quarks transform as independent singlets except of following differences:
1. The values of weak-hypercharge of quarks are changed due to their fractional electric charges $q_L : Y^w = \frac{1}{3}, \quad u_R : Y^w = \frac{4}{3}, \quad d_R : Y^w = -\frac{2}{3}$, etc.
2. All Yukawa coupling constants have nonzero values.
3. An appearance of quark mixing and Cabibbo angle, which is unknown in the scope of standard model.
4. An existence of CP-violating phase in unitary matrix of quark mixing. We shall discuss it in the next section.
Below, we attempt to give an explanation to quark mixing and Cabibbo angle. Here for simplicity, we consider this problem on the example of four quarks u, d, s, c. The further implication of all quarks would complicate the problem only in algebraic sense. Let consider four left-handed quarks forming a $SU(2)_L$ doublets mixed with Cabibbo angle $\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L$, and $\begin{pmatrix} c' \\ s \end{pmatrix}_L$, where $u' = u\cos\theta + c\sin\theta, \quad c' = -u\sin\theta + c\cos\theta$. One must distinguish two kind of fermion states: an eigenstate of gauge interactions, i.e. the fields of u' and c'; an eigenstate of mass-matrices, i.e the fields of u and c. The qualitative properties of Cabibbo flavour mixing could be understood in terms of Yukawa couplings. Unlike the case of leptons, where the Yukawa couplings are characterized by two constants f_e and f_μ, the interaction of Higgs boson with u' and c' is due to following three terms:

$$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{u'}(\bar{u}'_L u'_R + \bar{u}'_R u'_L)(\eta+\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{u'}(\bar{u}' u')(\eta+\chi), $$

$$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{c'}(\bar{c}'_L c'_R + \bar{c}'_R c'_L)(\eta+\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{c'}(\bar{c}' c')(\eta+\chi), $$

$$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{u'c'}(\bar{c}'_L u'_R + \bar{c}'_R u'_L + \bar{u}'_R c'_L + \bar{u}'_L c'_R)(\eta+\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{u'c'}(\bar{c}' u' + \bar{u}' c')(\eta+\chi). $$

Our discussion here throughout a small part will be a standard one [97-101], except, instead of mixing of fields d' and s' we consider a quite equivalent mixing of u' and c'. The last expression may be diagonalized by means of rotation right through Cabibbo angle. In the sequel one gets $m_u \bar{u} u + m_c \bar{c} c$, where m_u and m_c are masses of quarks u and c. Straightforward comparison of two states gives

$$ m_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{u'}\cos^2\theta + f_{c'}\sin^2\theta - 2 f_{u'c'}\cos\theta\sin\theta)\eta, $$

$$ m_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{u'}\sin^2\theta + f_{c'}\cos^2\theta + 2 f_{u'c'}\cos\theta\sin\theta)\eta, $$

$$ \tan 2\theta = \frac{2 f_{u'c'}}{f_{c'} - f_{u'}} \neq 0. $$

Similar formulas can be worked out for the other mixing. Hence, the nonzero value of Cabibbo angle arises due to nonzero coupling constant $f_{u'c'}$. The problem is to calculate all coupling constants $f_{u'c'}$, $f_{c't'}$, and $f_{t'u'}$ generating three Cabibbo angles

$$\tan 2\theta_3 = \frac{2f_{u'c'}}{f_{c'} - f_{u'}}, \quad \tan 2\theta_1 = \frac{2f_{c't'}}{f_{t'} - f_{c'}}, \quad \tan 2\theta_2 = \frac{2f_{t'u'}}{f_{u'} - f_{t'}}.$$

Taking into account the explicit form of Q-components of quark fields eq.(6.4.1)

$$\underset{Q\,u'}{\Psi} = (q_2 q_3)^Q, \quad \underset{Q\,c'}{\Psi} = (q_3 q_1)^Q, \quad \underset{Q\,t'}{\Psi} = (q_1 q_2)^Q,$$

also eq.(6.7.2) and eq.(6.10.1), we may write down

$$f_{u'} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left\{\underset{u\,u'}{\bar\Psi}\hat{p}_u \underset{u\,u'}{\Psi} - \left(\underset{u\,u'}{\bar\Psi}\overleftarrow{\hat{p}}_u\right)\underset{u\,u'}{\Psi}\right\} = \left(\bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u}\right)\underset{u\,u'}{\bar\Psi}\,\underset{u\,u'}{\Psi} \rightarrow \left(\bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u}\right), \quad (10.2)$$

where according to the eq.(6.10.7) $\bar\Sigma^i_{Q\,u} = tr\left(\rho_u\,\Sigma^i_Q\right)$, ρ_u is given in eq.(6.10.8) and $\hat{p}_Q\ q^Q_i = \Sigma^i_Q\ q^Q_i$. In analogy, the $f_{c'}$ and $f_{u'c'}$ imply

$$f_{c'} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left\{\underset{u\,c'}{\bar\Psi}\hat{p}_u \underset{u\,c'}{\Psi} - \left(\underset{u\,c'}{\bar\Psi}\overleftarrow{\hat{p}}_u\right)\underset{u\,c'}{\Psi}\right\} \rightarrow \left(\bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,c} + \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,c}\right), \quad (10.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{u'c'} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}\Bigg\{&\underset{Q\,u'}{\bar\Psi}\hat{p}_Q \underset{Q\,c'}{\Psi} + \underset{Q\,c'}{\bar\Psi}\hat{p}_Q \underset{Q\,u'}{\Psi} - \left(\underset{Q\,u'}{\bar\Psi}\overleftarrow{\hat{p}}_Q\right)\underset{Q\,c'}{\Psi} - \left(\underset{Q\,c'}{\bar\Psi}\overleftarrow{\hat{p}}_Q\right)\underset{Q\,u'}{\Psi}\Bigg\} = \\ = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg\{&\left(\bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u}\right)\underset{Q\,u'}{\bar\Psi}\,\underset{Q\,c'}{\Psi} + \left(\bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,c} + \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,c}\right)\underset{Q\,c'}{\bar\Psi}\,\underset{Q\,u'}{\Psi}\Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.4)$$

In accordance with eq.(10.1), one has

$$\underset{Q\,u'}{\bar\Psi}\,\underset{Q\,c'}{\Psi} = (\overline{q_2 q_3})^Q (q_3 q_1)^Q = f^{u'c'}_{Q3}(\bar{q}_3 q_3)^Q,$$

$$\underset{Q\,c'}{\bar\Psi}\,\underset{Q\,u'}{\Psi} = (\overline{q_3 q_1})^Q (q_2 q_3)^Q = f^{c'u'}_{Q3}(\bar{q}_3 q_3)^Q,$$

where

$$f^{u'c'}_{Q3}(\bar{q}_3 q_3)^Q = \left(f^{u'c'}_{Q3}(\bar{q}_3 q_3)^Q\right)^* = f^{c'u'}_{Q3}(\bar{q}_3 q_3)^Q \equiv \bar{f}_3.$$

Hence $f_{u'c'} = \dfrac{\bar{f}_2}{3}\left(\bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,c} + \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,c}\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\tan 2\theta_3 = \frac{\bar{f}_3\left(\bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,c} + \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,c}\right)}{\left(\bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,c} + \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,c} - \bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} - \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u}\right)}, \quad \tan 2\theta_1 = \frac{\bar{f}_1\left(\bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,c} + \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,c} + \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,t} + \bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,t}\right)}{\left(\bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,t} + \bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,t} - \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,c} - \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,c}\right)}, \\ &\tan 2\theta_2 = \frac{\bar{f}_2\left(\bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,t} + \bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,t} + \bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u}\right)}{\left(\bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,u} + \bar\Sigma^3_{Q\,u} - \bar\Sigma^1_{Q\,t} - \bar\Sigma^2_{Q\,t}\right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.5)$$

where the rest of $\bar{f}_i$ reads $\bar{f}_1 \equiv f_{Q1}^{c't'} = f_{Q1}^{t'c'}$ and $\bar{f}_2 \equiv f_{Q2}^{t'u'} = f_{Q2}^{u't'}$. Thus, the Q-components of the quark fields u', c' and t' contain at least one identical subquark, due to which in eq.(6.10.1) the partial formfactors $\bar{f}_i$ have nonzero values causing a quark mixing with the Cabibbo angles eq.(10.5). Therefore, the unimodular orthogonal group of global rotations arises, and the quarks u', c' and t' come up in doublets (u', c'),(c', t'), and (t', u'). For the leptons these formfactors equal zero $\bar{f}_i^{lept} \equiv 0$, because of eq.(6.1.1), namely the lepton mixing is absent. In conventional notation $\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L, \begin{pmatrix} c' \\ s \end{pmatrix}_L, \begin{pmatrix} t' \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d' \end{pmatrix}_L, \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s' \end{pmatrix}_L, \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b' \end{pmatrix}_L$, which gives rise to $f_{u'c'} \rightarrow f_{d's'}, \quad f_{c't'} \rightarrow f_{s'b'}, \quad f_{t'u'} \rightarrow f_{b'd'}, \quad f_{u'} \rightarrow f_{d'}, \quad f_{c'} \rightarrow f_{s'}, \quad f_{t'} \rightarrow f_{b'}, \quad f_d \rightarrow f_u, \quad f_s \rightarrow f_c, \quad f_b \rightarrow f_t$.

11 The Appearance of the CP-Violating Phase

The required magnitude of the CP-violating complex parameter ε depends upon the specific choice of theoretical model for explaining the $K_2^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ decay [102]. From the experimental data it is somewhere $|\varepsilon| \simeq 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$. In the framework of Kobayashi-Maskawa (KM) parametrization of unitary matrix of quark mixing [103], this parameter may be expressed in terms of three Eulerian angles of global rotations in the three dimensional quark space and one phase parameter. Below we attempt to derive the KM-matrix with an explanation given to an appearance of the CP-violating phase. We recall that during the realization of multiworld structure the P-violation compulsory occurred in the W-world provided by the spanning eq.(6.8.1). The three dimensional effective space $W_v^{loc}(3)$ arises as follows:

$$W_v^{loc}(3) \ni q_v^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} q_R^w(\vec{T}=0) \\ \\ q_L^w(\vec{T}=\frac{1}{2}) \end{pmatrix} \equiv$$

$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} u_R, d_R \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c_R, s_R \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} c' \\ s \end{pmatrix}_L \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} t_R, b_R \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} t' \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q_3^w \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} q_1^w \\ q_2^w \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} q_1^w \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} q_2^w \\ q_3^w \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} q_2^w \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} q_3^w \\ q_1^w \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11.1}$$

where the subscript (v) formally specifies a vertical direction of multiplet, the subquarks $q_\alpha^w(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ associate with the local rotations around corresponding axes of three dimensional effective space $W_v^{loc}(3)$. The local gauge transformations f_{exp}^v are implemented upon the multiplet $q'^{(3)}_v = f_{exp}^v q_v^{(3)}$, where $f_{exp}^v \in SU^{loc}(2) \otimes U^{loc}(1)$. If for the moment we leave it intact and make a closer examination of the content of the middle row in eq.(11.1), then we distinguish the other symmetry arisen along the horizontal line (h). Hence, we may expect a situation similar to those of subsec.6.8 will be held in present case. The procedure just explained therein can be followed again. We have to realize that due to the specific structure of W-world implying the condition of realization of the MW connections eq.(6.1.5) with $\vec{T} \neq 0, \quad Y^w \neq 0$, the subquarks q_α^w tend to be compulsory involved into triplet. They form one "doublet" $\vec{T} \neq 0$ and one singlet $Y^w \neq 0$. Then the quarks u'_L, c'_L and t'_L form a $SO^{gl}(2)$ “doublet” and a $U^{gl}(1)$ singlet

$$\begin{aligned} &((u'_L, c'_L)\, t'_L) \equiv ((q^w_1, q^w_2)\, q^w_3) \equiv q^{(3)}_h \in W^{gl}_h(3), \\ &(u'_L, (c'_L, t'_L)) \equiv (q^w_1, (q^w_2, q^w_3))\,, \quad ((t'_L, u'_L)\, c'_L) \equiv ((q^w_3, q^w_1)\,, q^w_2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{11.2}$$

Here $W^{gl}_h(3)$ is the three dimensional effective space in which the global rotations occur. They are implemented upon the triplets through the transformation matrix f^h_{exp}: $q'^{(3)}_h = f^h_{exp}\, q^{(3)}_h$, which reads (eq.(11.2))

$$f^h_{exp} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & s \\ 0 & -s & c \end{pmatrix}$$

in the notation $c = \cos\theta, \quad s = \sin\theta$. This implies the incompatibility relation eq.(4.4.5), namely

$$\|f^h_{exp}\| = f_{33}(f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21}) = f_{33}\varepsilon_{123}\varepsilon_{123}\|f^h_{exp}\| f^*_{33}. \tag{11.3}$$

That is $f_{33}f^*_{33} = 1$, or $f_{33} = e^{i\delta}$ and $\|f^h_{exp}\| = 1$. The general rotation in $W^{gl}_h(3)$ is described by Eulerian three angles $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$. If we put the arisen phase only in the physical sector then a final KM-matrix of quark flavour mixing would result

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{u}_L, \bar{c}_L, \bar{t}_L)\, V_{K-M} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \equiv (\bar{u}'_L, \bar{c}'_L, \bar{t}'_L) \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \equiv (\bar{u}_L, \bar{c}_L, \bar{t}_L) \begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= (\bar{u}_L, \bar{c}_L, \bar{t}_L) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & s_2 \\ 0 & -s_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & s_1 & 0 \\ -s_1 & c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\delta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_3 & s_3 \\ 0 & -s_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{11.4}$$

where

$$(\bar{u}'_L, \bar{c}'_L, \bar{t}'_L) \equiv (\bar{u}_L, \bar{c}_L, \bar{t}_L)\, V_{K-M}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} \equiv V_{K-M} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}.$$

The CP-violating parameter ε approximately is written [97, 101] $\varepsilon \sim s_1 s_2 s_3 \sin\delta \neq 0$. While the spanning $W^{loc}_v(2) \rightarrow W^{loc}_v(3)$ eq.(11.1) underlies the P-violation and the expanded symmetry $G^{loc}_v(3) = SU^{loc}(2) \otimes U^{loc}(1)$, the CP-violation stems from the similar spanning $W^{gl}_h(2) \rightarrow W^{gl}_h(3)$ eq.(11.2) with the expanded global symmetry group.

12 The Mass-Spectrum of Leptons and Quarks

The mass-spectrum of leptons and quarks stems from their internal MW-structure eq.(6.3.1) and eq.(6.4.1) incorporated with the quark mixing eq.(10.5). We start a discussion with the leptons. It might be worthwhile to adopt a simple viewpoint on Higgs sector. Following the sec.8, the explicit expressions of the lepton masses read $m_i = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} f_i$ and $m^\nu_i = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} f^\nu_i$, that $m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau = f_e : f_\mu : f_\tau = L^2_1 : L^2_2 : L^2_3$ provided by $L^2_1 = \frac{m_i}{M}$ and $\sqrt{M} = \sum_i \sqrt{m_i}$.

Thus, $L_1 = (8.9; 7.8) \times 10^{-3}$, $\quad L_2 = 0.13; 0.11$, $\quad L_3 = 0.9; 0.88$.
Taking into account the eq.(6.1.6) and eq.(6.10.7) the coupling constants of the quarks d, s and b can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_d = L_1\, tr(\rho_d\, \Sigma_Q) \equiv L_1\, \widetilde{f}_d, \quad f_s = L_2\, tr(\rho_s\, \Sigma_Q) \equiv L_2\, \widetilde{f}_s, \quad f_b = L_3\, tr(\rho_b\, \Sigma_Q) \equiv L_3\, \widetilde{f}_b, \\
&\rho_d = \rho^Q \rho^B_d, \quad \rho_s = \rho^Q \rho^B_s \rho^s, \quad \rho_b = \rho^Q \rho^B_b \rho^b.
\end{aligned} \tag{12.1}$$

Hence $m_d = \dfrac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} f_d, \quad m_s = \dfrac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} f_s, \quad m_b = \dfrac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} f_b,$ and $m_d : m_s : m_b = (L_1\, \widetilde{f}_d) : (L_2\, \widetilde{f}_s) : (L_3\, \widetilde{f}_b)$. According to the subsec.6.10, we derive the masses of the u, c and t quarks

$$\begin{aligned}
m_u = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ &\left(\bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u}\right) \cos^2\theta_3 + \left(\bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c}\right) \sin^2\theta_3 - \frac{\bar{f}_3}{2} \left(\bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u} + \right. \\
&\left. \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c}\right) \sin 2\theta_3 \Big\} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left(\bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u}\right) \cos^2\theta_2 + \left(\bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t}\right) \sin^2\theta_2 + \right. . \\
&\left. \frac{\bar{f}_2}{2} \left(\bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u} + \right) \sin 2\theta_2 \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{12.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_c = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ &\left(\bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u}\right) \sin^2\theta_3 + \left(\bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c}\right) \cos^2\theta_3 + \frac{\bar{f}_3}{2} \left(\bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u} + \right. \\
&\left. \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c}\right) \sin 2\theta_3 \Big\} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left(\bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c}\right) \cos^2\theta_1 + \left(\bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t}\right) \sin^2\theta_1 - \right. . \\
&\left. \frac{\bar{f}_1}{2} \left(\bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t} + \right) \sin 2\theta_1 \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{12.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_t = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ &\left(\bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t}\right) \cos^2\theta_1 + \left(\bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c}\right) \sin^2\theta_1 + \frac{\bar{f}_1}{2} \left(\bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t} + \right. \\
&\left. \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,c} + \bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,c}\right) \sin 2\theta_1 \Big\} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left(\bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t}\right) \cos^2\theta_2 + \left(\bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u}\right) \sin^2\theta_2 - \right. . \\
&\left. \frac{\bar{f}_2}{2} \left(\bar{\Sigma}^1_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,t} + \bar{\Sigma}^2_{Q\,u} + \bar{\Sigma}^3_{Q\,u} + \right) \sin 2\theta_2 \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12.4}$$

13 The Physical Outlook and Concluding Remarks

In this section we briefly expose the main features of our physical outlook and draw a number of conclusions.

Our purpose in the part I is to develop the OM formalism, which is the mathematical framework for our physical outlook embodied in the idea that the geometry and fields, with the internal symmetries and all interactions, as well the four major principles of relativity (special and general), quantum, gauge and colour confinement, are derivative. They come into being simultaneously in the stable system of the underlying "primordial structures" involved in the "linkage" establishing processes (sec.4). The OM formalism is the generalization of secondary quantization of the field theory with appropriate expansion over the geometric objects leading to the quantization of geometry different from all existing schemes. Below, once again, we resume its relevant steps and major points:

• 1. An extension of the four-dimensional Minkowski space M_4 by the additional one sample of the internal world (a simplified case of the one u-channel) in order to introduce the mass operator of the fields defined on the internal world (sec.2). 2. A two-step passage for each sample of the $M_4 \to G_6$ (sec.2), which restores the complete equivalence between the three spacial and the three time components with the subsequent rotation of the basis vectors on the 45^0 angle providing an adequate algebra for geometry quantization.
3. We deal in terms of first degree of the line element, which entails an additional phase multiplier for vectors (the origin of the fields). All this leads to the simplified scheme of smooth 12-dimensional manifold G (sec.2-4). The passage back to the M_4 may be performed whenever it will be necessary (subsec.2.1).

• Building up the OM formalism we proceed at once to the quantization of geometry by substituting the basis vectors for the creation and annihilation operators acting in the configuration space of occupation numbers (subsec.2.1). They include also the Pauli's matrices, due to which all the states defined on the OM are degenerate at the very outset with degeneracy degree equal 2. It implies the half-spin quantum number, which subsequently gives rise to the spins of the particles. This rule for spin quantum number is not without an important reason. The argument for this conclusion is compulsory suggested by the properties of Pauli's operators (subsec.2.1).

• In this framework we derive the matrix element of the line element eq.(2.2.2), which gives the most important relation for the realization of the G.

• Based on configuration space mechanics with antisymmetric state functions, we discuss in detail the quantum field and differential geometric aspects of the OM (subsec.2.1, App.A).

• We have chosen a simple setting and considered the primordial structures, which are designed to posses certain physical properties satisfying the stated in subsec.4.1 general rules and have involved in the linkage establishing processes. The processes of their creation and annihilation in the lowest state (the regular structures) just are described by the OM formalism. In all the higher states the primordial structures are distorted ones, namely they have undergone the distortion transformations (subsec.4.2). These transformations yield the "quark" and "antiquark" fields defined on the simplified geometry (one u-channel) given in the subsec.4.3, and skeletonized for illustrative purposes. Due to geometry realization conditions held in the stable systems of primordial structures they emerge in confined phase (subsec.4.2). This scheme still should be considered as the preliminary one, which is further elaborated in the subsec.5.3 to get the physically more realistic picture.

• The distortion transformation functions are the operators acting in the space of the internal degrees of freedom (colours) and imply the incompatibility relations eq.(4.4.5), which hold for both the local and the global distortion rotations. They underly the most important symmetries such as the internal symmetries $U(1), SU(2), SU(3)$, the $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ symmetry of electroweak interactions, etc., (see part II).

• We generalize the OM formalism via the concept of the OMM yielding the MW geometry involving the spacetime continuum and the internal worlds of the given number (sec.5). In an enlarged framework of the OMM we define and clarify the conceptual basis of subquarks and their characteristics stemming from the various symmetries of the internal worlds (subsec.5.3). They imply subcolour confinement and gauge principle.

By this we have arrived at an entirely satisfactory answer to the question of the physical origin of the geometry and fields, the internal symmetries and interactions, as well the principles of relativity, quantum, gauge and subcolour confinement.

The value of the present version of hypothesis of existence of the MW structures resides in solving in part II some key problems of the SM, wherein we attempt to suggest a microscopic approach to the properties of particles and interactions.

- Within this approach the fields have composite nontrivial internal structure (sec.6). The condition of realization of the MW connections is arisen due to the symmetry of Q-world of electric charge and embodied in the Gell-Mann-Nishijima relation (subsec.6.1). During the realization of the MW-structure the symmetries of corresponding internal worlds are unified into more higher symmetry including also the operators of isospin and hypercharge. Such approach enables to conclude that only possible at low-energy the three lepton generations consist of six lepton fields with integer electric and leptonic charges and being free of confinement (subsec.6.3). Also the three quark generations exist composed of six possible quark fields. They carry fractional electric and baryonic charges and obey confinement condition (subsec.6.4). The global group unifying all global symmetries of the internal worlds of quarks is the flavour group $SU_f(6)$ (subsec.6.5). The whole complexity of leptons, quarks and other composite particles, and their interactions arises from the primary field, which has nontrivial MW internal structure and involves nonlinear fermion self-interaction of the components (subsec.6.6). This Lagrangian contains only two free parameters, which are the coupling constants of nonlinear fermion and gauge interactions. Due to specific structure of the W-world of weak interactions implying the condition of realization of the MW connections, the spanning eq.(6.8.1) takes place, which underlies the P-violation in W-world. It is expressed in the reduction of initial symmetry of the right-handed subquarks. Such reduction is characterized by the Weinberg mixing angle with the value fixed at 30^0 (subsec.6.9). It gives rise to the expanded local symmetry $SU(2)\otimes U(1)$, under which the left-handed fermions transform as six independent $SU(2)$ doublets, while the right-handed fermions transform as twelve independent singlets (subsec.6.8). Due to vacuum rearrangement in Q-world the Yukawa couplings arise between the fermion fields and corresponding isospinor-scalar φ-meson in conventional form (subsec.6.10).
- We suggest the microscopic approach to Higgs bosons with self-interaction and Yukawa couplings (sec.7). It involves the Higgs bosons as the collective excitations of bound quasi-particle iso-pairs. In the framework of local gauge invariance of the theory incorporated with the P-violation in weak interactions we propose a mechanism providing the Bose-condensation of iso-pairs, which is due to effective attraction between the relativistic fermions caused by the exchange of the mediating induced gauge quanta in the W-world. We consider the four-component Bose-condensate, where due to self-interaction its spin part is vanished. Based on it we show that the field of symmetry-breaking Higgs boson always must be counted off from the gap symmetry restoring value as the point of origin. Then the Higgs boson describes the excitations in the neighbourhood of stable vacuum of the W-world.
- In contrast to the SM, the suggested approach predicts the electroweak symmetry

breakdown in the W-world by the VEV of spin zero Higgs bosons and the transmission of electroweak symmetry breaking from the $W-$world to the M_4 spacetime continuum (sec.8). The resulting Lagrangian of unified electroweak interactions of leptons and quarks ensues, which in lowest order approximation leads to the Lagrangian of phenomenological SM. In general, the self-energy operator underlies the Yukawa coupling constant, which takes into account a mass-spectrum of all expected collective excitations of bound quasi-particle pairs.

• If the MSM proves viable it becomes an crucial issue to hold in experiments the two testable solid implications given in sec.9, which are drastically different from those of conventional models.

• The implication of quarks into this scheme is carried out in the same manner except that of appearance of quark mixing with Cabibbo angles and the existence of CP-violating complex phase in unitary matrix of quark mixing. The Q-components of the quarks u', c' and t' contain at least one identical subquark, due to which the partial formfactors gain nonzero values. This underlies the quark mixing with Cabibbo angles (sec.10). In the case of the leptons these formfactors are vanished and the mixing is absent. The CP-violation stems from the spanning eq.(11.2) incorporated with the expanded group of global rotations. With a simple viewpoint on Higgs sector the masses of leptons and quarks are given in sec.12.

Acknowledgements

I am pleased to mention the most valuable discussions with the late V.H.Ambartsumian on the various issues treated in this paper. I wish to thank S.P.Novikov for useful discussions of some points of mathematical framework, especially, of the reflection formalism (App. B) I express my gratitude to G.Jona-Lasinio for fruitful comments and suggestions. This work was supported in part by the program of Jumelage. It is pleasure to thank for their hospitality the "Centre de Physique Theorique" (CNRS Division 7061, Marseille) and its director P.Chiappetta, and 'D.A.R.C.(Observatoir de Paris-CNRS). I am grateful to R.Triay for comments and suggestions, and acknowledge useful discussions with H.Fliche, G.Sigle and M.Lemoine as well as all the participants of the seminars. I'm indebted to A.M.Vardanian and K.L.Yerknapetian for support.

Appendix A

1 Mathematical Background

•Field Aspect of the OM

The free state of i-type fermion with definite values of momentum p_i and spin projection s is described by means of plane waves ($\hbar = 1, c = 1$)[1]: $\underset{\eta}{\Psi}_{p_\eta}(\eta) = \left(\frac{m}{E_\eta}\right)^{1/2} \underset{\eta}{U}(p_\eta, s)\, e^{-ip_\eta \eta}$, etc, where $E_i \equiv \underset{i}{p}_0 =\mid \underset{i}{\vec{p}}_0 \mid$, $\underset{i}{p}_{0\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\underset{i}{p}_{(+\alpha)} + \underset{i}{p}_{(-\alpha)})$, $\underset{i}{\vec{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\underset{i}{\vec{p}}_+ - \underset{i}{\vec{p}}_-)$, $p_\eta^2 = E_\eta^2 - \vec{p}_\eta^2 = p_u^2 = E_u^2 - \vec{p}_u^2 = m^2$.

Suppose that the i-th fermion is found in the state r_i with the vector function $\Phi_{r_i}^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i,\alpha_i)} =$

$\zeta_{r_i}^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i,\alpha_i)}\Phi_{r_i}^{\lambda_i,\mu_i}(\zeta_{r_i})$ and

$$\zeta_{r_i^{\lambda_i,\mu_i}} = \sum_{\alpha_i=1}^{3} e^{r_i}_{(\lambda_i,\mu_i,\alpha_i)}\zeta_{r_i}^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i,\alpha_i)}, \quad \zeta_{r_i} = \sum_{\lambda_i,\mu_i=1}^{2} \zeta_{r_i^{\lambda_i,\mu_i}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{r_i},$$

the $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{r_i}$ is the open neighbourhood of the point ζ_{r_i}; the r_i implies a set $(r_i^{11}, r_i^{12}, r_i^{21}, r_i^{22})$. Let the $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ is a Hilbert space used for quantum mechanical description of one particle, namely $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ is a finite or infinite dimensional complex space provided with scalar product (Φ, Ψ), which is linear with respect to Ψ and antilinear to Φ. The $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ is complete in norm $|\Phi| = (\Phi, \Phi)^{1/2}$, i.e. each fundamental sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of vectors of the $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ has converged by norm on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$. One particle state function is written $\Phi^{(1)}_{r_i} = \prod_{\lambda_i,\mu_i=1}^{2} \Phi^{(1)}_{r_i^{\lambda_i,\mu_i}} \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_i}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_i} = \prod_{\lambda_i,\mu_i=1}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_i^{\lambda_i,\mu_i}}$. Define

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{(1)} = \zeta_i \Phi^{(1)}_{r_i} \in \widetilde{G}^{(1)}_{r_i} = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}_{r_i} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_i}. \tag{A.1.1}$$

For description of n particle system we introduce Hilbert space

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)} = \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_n} \tag{A.1.2}$$

by considering all sequences

$$\Phi^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)} = \{\Phi^{(1)}_{r_1}, \dots, \Phi^{(1)}_{r_n}\} = \Phi^{(1)}_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi^{(1)}_{r_n}, \tag{A.1.3}$$

where $\Phi^{(1)}_{r_i} \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{r_i}$ provided, as usual, with the scalar product

$$(\Phi^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)}, \Psi^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\Phi^{(1)}_{r_i}, \Psi^{(1)}_{r_i}). \tag{A.1.4}$$

We consider the space $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)}$ of all the limited linear combinations of eq.(A.1.2) and continue by linearity the scalar product eq.(A.1..4) on the $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)}$. The wave function $\Phi^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)} \in \mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)}$ must be antisymmetrized over its arguments. We distinguish the antisymmetric part ${}^{A}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ of Hilbert space $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ by considering the functions

$${}^{A}\Phi^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} sgn(\sigma) \Phi^{(n)}_{\sigma(r_1,\dots,r_n)}. \tag{A.1.5}$$

The summation is extended over all permutations of indices $(r_1^{\lambda\mu}, \dots, r_n^{\lambda\mu})$ of the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$, where the antisymmetrical eigenfunctions are sums of the same terms with alternating signs in dependence of a parity $sgn(\sigma)$ of transposition. One continues the reflection $\Phi^{(n)} \to {}^{A}\Phi^{(n)}$ by linearity on the $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$, which is limited and enables the expansion by linearity on the ${}^{A}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$. The region of values of this reflection is the ${}^{A}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$, namely an antisymmetrized tensor product of n identical samples of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$. We introduce

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{A}\widetilde{\Phi}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} sgn(\sigma) \widetilde{\Phi}^{(n)}_{\sigma(r_1,\dots,r_n)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} sgn(\sigma) \widetilde{\Phi}^{(1)}_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{\Phi}^{(1)}_{r_n} \in {}^{A}\widetilde{G}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)} = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)} \otimes {}^{A}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\dots,r_n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.6}$$

and consider a set ${}^A\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of all sequences ${}^A\widetilde{\Phi} = \{{}^A\widetilde{\Phi}^{(0)}, {}^A\widetilde{\Phi}^{(1)} \ldots, {}^A\widetilde{\Phi}^{(n)} \ldots\}$, with a finite number of nonzero elements. Therewith, the set ${}^A\mathcal{F}q:\ {}^A\Phi = \{{}^A\Phi^{(0)}, {}^A\Phi^{(1)} \ldots, {}^A\Phi^{(n)} \ldots\}$ is provided by the structure of the Hilbert subspace implying the composition rules

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^A(\lambda\Phi + \mu\Psi)^{(n)} = \lambda^A\Phi^{(n)} + \mu^A\Psi^{(n)}, \quad \forall\lambda, \mu \in C, \\ &({}^A\Phi, {}^A\Psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}({}^A\Phi^{(n)}, {}^A\Psi^{(n)}). \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.7}$$

The wave manifold $\mathcal{G}$ stems from the ${}^A\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ because of the expansion by metric induced as a scalar product on ${}^A\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)} \otimes {}^A\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}\right). \tag{A.1.8}$$

The creation $\hat{\gamma}_r$ and annihilation $\hat{\gamma}^r$ operators for each $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ can be defined as follows: one must modify the basis operators in order to provide an anticommutation in arbitrary states

$$\hat{\gamma}^r_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} \to \hat{\gamma}^r_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}\, \eta^{\lambda\mu}_r, \quad \left(\eta^{\lambda\mu}_r\right)^+ = \eta^{\lambda\mu}_r, \tag{A.1.9}$$

for given λ, μ, α, where η_r is a diagonal operator in the space of occupation numbers, while, at $r_i < r_j$ one gets $\hat{\gamma}^{r_i}\, \eta_{r_j} = -\eta_{r_j}\, \hat{\gamma}^{r_i}, \quad \hat{\gamma}^{r_j}\, \eta_{r_i} = \eta_{r_i}\, \hat{\gamma}^{r_j}$. The operators of corresponding occupation numbers (for given λ, μ, α) are $\hat{N}_r = \hat{\gamma}^r \hat{\gamma}_r$. Since the diagonal operators $(1 - 2\hat{N}_r)$ anticommute with the $\hat{\gamma}^r$, then $\eta_{r_i} = \prod_{r=1}^{r_i-1}(1 - 2\hat{N}_r)$, where

$$\eta^{11}_{r_i}\Phi(n_1, \ldots, n_N; 0; 0; 0) = \prod_{r=1}^{r_i-1}(-1)^{n_r}\Phi(n_1, \ldots, n_N; 0; 0; 0), \tag{A.1.10}$$

etc. Here the occupation numbers $n_r(m_r, q_r, t_r)$ are introduced, which refer to the r-th states corresponding to operators $\hat{\gamma}^r_{(1,1,\alpha)}$, etc., either empty $(n_r, \ldots, t_r = 0)$ or occupied $(n_r, \ldots, t_r = 1)$. To save writing we abbreviate the modified operators by the same symbols. For example, acting on free state $\mid 0 >_{r_i}$ the creation operator $\hat{\gamma}_{r_i}$ yields the one occupied state $\mid 1 >_{r_i}$ with the phase $+$ or $-$ depending of parity of the number of quanta in the states $r < r_i$. Modified operators satisfy the same anticommutation relations of the basis operators (subsec.2.2). It is convenient to make use of notation $\hat{\gamma}^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}_r \equiv e^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}_r\, \hat{b}^{\lambda\mu}_{(r\alpha)}$, and abbreviate the pair of indices $(r\alpha)$ by the single symbol r. Then for each $\Phi \in {}^A\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ and any vector $f \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ the operators $\hat{b}(f)$ and $\hat{b}^*(f)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}(f)\Phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-1)!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} sgn(\sigma) \left(f\, \Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma(1)}\right) \Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma(n)}, \\ \hat{b}^*(f)\Phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n+1)!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n+1)} sgn(\sigma) \Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma(0)} \otimes \Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma(n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.11}$$

where $\Phi^{(1)}_{(0)} \equiv f$. One continues the $\hat{b}(f)$ and $\hat{b}^*(f)$ by linearity to linear reflections, which are denoted by the same symbols acting respectively from ${}^A\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ onto ${}^A\mathcal{H}^{(n-1)}$ or ${}^A\mathcal{H}^{(n+1)}$. They are limited over the values $\sqrt{n}|f|$ and $\sqrt{(n+1)}|f|$ and can be expanded by continuation up to the reflections acting from ${}^A\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ onto ${}^A\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n-1)}$ or ${}^A\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n+1)}$. Finally, they must be continued by linearity up to the linear operators acting from ${}^A\mathcal{F}$ onto ${}^A\mathcal{F}$

defined on the same closed region in ${}^{A}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$, namely in ${}^{A}\mathcal{F}$, which is invariant with respect to reflections $\hat{b}(f)$ and $\hat{b}^{*}(f)$. Hence, at $f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n; j = 1, \ldots, m)$ all polynomials over $\{\hat{b}^{*}(f_i)\}$ and $\{\hat{b}(g_j)\}$ are completely defined on ${}^{A}\mathcal{F}$. While, for given λ, μ, one has

$$< \lambda, \mu \mid \{\hat{b}_{r}^{\lambda\mu}(f), \hat{b}^{r'}_{\lambda\mu}(g)\} \mid \lambda, \mu >= \delta_{r}^{r'}. \tag{A.1.12}$$

The mean values $< \varphi; \hat{b}_{r}^{\lambda\mu}(f)\, \hat{b}^{r}_{\lambda\mu}(f) >$ calculated at fixed λ, μ for any element $\Phi \in {}^{A}\mathcal{F}$ equal to mean values of the symmetric operator of occupation number in terms of $\hat{N}^{r} = \hat{b}_{r}(f)\, \hat{b}^{r}(f)$, with a wave function f in the state described by Φ. Here, as usual, it is denoted $< \varphi; A\Phi >= Tr\, P_{\varphi}A = (\Phi, A\Phi)$ for each vector $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}$ with $|\Phi| = 1$, while the P_{φ} is projecting operator onto one dimensional space $\{\lambda\Phi \mid \lambda \in C\}$ generated by Φ. Therewith, the probability of transition $\varphi \to \psi$ is given $Pr\{\varphi \mid \psi\} = |(\psi, \varphi)|^{2}$. The linear operator A defined on the elements of linear manifold $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ takes the values in $\mathcal{H}$. The $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is an overall closed region of definition of A, namely the closure of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ by the norm given in $\mathcal{H}$ coincides with $\mathcal{H}$. Meanwhile, the $\mathcal{D}(A)$ included in $\mathcal{D}(A^{*})$ and A coincides with the reduction of A^{*} on $\mathcal{D}(A)$, because $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is the symmetric operator such that the linear operator A^{*} is the maximal conjugated to A. That is, any operator A' conjugated to A - $(\Psi, A'\Phi) = (A'\Psi, \Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(A')$ coincides with the reduction of A^{*} on some linear manifold $\mathcal{D}(A')$ included in $\mathcal{D}(A^{*})$. Thus, the operator A^{**} is closed symmetric expansion of operator A, namely it is a closure of A. Self conjugated operator A, the closure of which is self conjugated as well, allows only the one self conjugated expansion A^{**}. Hence, self conjugated closure $\hat{N}$ of operator $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{b}^{*}(f_i)\, \hat{b}(f_i)$, where $\{f_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ is an arbitrary orthogonal basis on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$, can be regarded as the operator of occupation number. For the vector $\chi^{0} \in {}^{A}\mathcal{F}$ and $\chi^{0(n)} = \delta_{0n}$ one gets $< \chi^{0(n)}, \hat{N}(f) >= 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$. Thus, χ^{0} is the vector of vacuum state: $\hat{b}(f)\chi^{0} = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$. If $f = \{f_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is an arbitrary orthogonal basis on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$, then due to irreducibility of operators $\hat{b}^{*}(f_i) \mid f_i \in f$, the ${}^{A}\mathcal{H}$ includes the 0 and whole space ${}^{A}\mathcal{H}$ as invariant subspaces with respect to all $\hat{b}^{*}(f)$. To define the 12 dimensional operator manifold $\hat{G}$ we consider a set $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of all the sequences $\hat{\Phi} = \{\hat{\Phi}^{(0)}, \hat{\Phi}^{(1)}, \ldots, \hat{\Phi}^{(n)}, \ldots\}$ with a finite number of nonzero elements provided by

$$\begin{gathered}
\hat{\Phi}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\ldots,r_n)} = \hat{\Phi}^{(1)}_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\Phi}^{(1)}_{r_n} \in \hat{G}^{(n)}, \quad \hat{\Phi}^{(1)}_{r_i} = \hat{\zeta}_{r_i} \Phi^{(1)}_{r_i} \in \hat{G}^{(1)}_{i} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}_{i} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{i}, \\
\hat{\zeta}_{r_i} \equiv \sum_{\alpha_i=1}^{3} \hat{\gamma}^{r_i}_{(\lambda_i,\mu_i,\alpha_i)} \zeta^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i,\alpha_i)}_{r_i} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}_{r_i}, \quad \hat{G}^{(n)} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)}_{(r_1,\ldots,r_n)} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}_{r_1}, \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}_{r_n}.
\end{gathered} \tag{A.1.13}$$

Then, on the analogy of eq.(A.1.8) the operator manifold $\hat{G}$ ensues

$$\hat{G} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{G}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(n)} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)} \right). \tag{A.1.14}$$

To define the secondary quantized form of one particle observable A on $\mathcal{H}$, following [45] let consider a set of identical samples $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_i$ of one particle space $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and operators A_i acting on them. To each closed linear operator $A^{(1)}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ with overall closed region of definition $\mathcal{D}(A^{(1)})$ following operators are corresponded:

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{(n)}_{1} &= A^{(1)} \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I, \\
&\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots \\
A^{(n)}_{n} &= I \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1.15}$$

The sum $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} A_j^{(n)}$ is given on the intersection of regions of definition of operator terms including a linear manifold $\mathcal{D}(A^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{D}(A^{(n)})$ closed in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$. While, the $A^{(n)}$ is a minimal closed expansion of this sum with $\mathcal{D}(A^{(n)})$. One considers a linear manifold $\mathcal{D}(\Omega(A))$ in $\mathcal{H} = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ defined as a set of all the vectors $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ such as $\Psi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}(A^{(n)})$ and $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| A^{(n)}\Psi^{(n)} \right|^2 < \infty$. The manifold $\mathcal{D}(\Omega(A))$ is closed in $\mathcal{H}$. On this manifold one defines a closed linear operator $\Omega(A)$ acting as $\Omega(A)^{(n)} = A^{(n)}\Psi^{(n)}$, namely $\Omega(A)\Phi = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{(n)}\Psi^{(n)}$, while the $\Omega(A)$ is self conjugated operator with overall closed region of definition. We suppose that the vector $\Phi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ is in the form eq.(A.1.3), where $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then, $< \varphi^{(n)}; A^{(n)} >= \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} < \varphi_i; A >$, which enables the expansion by continuing onto $\mathcal{D}(A)$. Thus, $A^{(n)}$ is the n particle observable corresponding to one particle observable A. So $< \varphi; \Omega(A) >= \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} < \varphi^{(n)}; A^{(n)} >$ for any $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega(A)\right)$. While, the $\Omega(A)$ reflects ${}^A\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega(A)\right) \frown {}^A\mathcal{H}$ into ${}^A\mathcal{H}$. The reduction of $\Omega(A)$ on ${}^A\mathcal{H}$ is the self conjugated in the region ${}^A\mathcal{D}$, because ${}^A\mathcal{H}$ is the closed subspace of the $\mathcal{H}$. Hence, the $\Omega(A)$ is the secondary quantized form of one particle observable A on the $\mathcal{H}$.
The vacuum state reads

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi^0(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) =\mid 1,1 >^{\nu_1} \cdot \mid 1,2 >^{\nu_2} \cdot \mid 2,1 >^{\nu_3} \cdot \mid 2,2 >^{\nu_4}, \\ \nu_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \nu = \nu_i \quad \text{for some } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{array} \right. , \end{array} \tag{A.1.16}$$

where $\mid \chi_-(1) >\equiv \chi^0(1,0,0,0)$, $\mid \chi_+(1) >\equiv \chi^0(0,0,0,1)$, $\mid \chi_-(2) >\equiv \chi^0(0,0,1,0)$, $\mid \chi_+(2) >\equiv \chi^0(0,1,0,0)$, $< \chi_\pm(\lambda) \mid \chi_\pm(\mu) >= \delta_{\lambda\mu}$, and $< \chi_\pm(\lambda) \mid \chi_\mp(\mu) >= 0$, provided by $< \chi_\pm \mid A \mid \chi_\pm >\equiv \sum\limits_{\lambda} < \chi_\pm(\lambda) \mid A \mid \chi_\pm(\lambda) >$ and the normalization condition

$$< \chi^0(\nu_1', \nu_2', \nu_3', \nu_4') \mid \chi^0(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) >= \prod_{i=1}^{4} \delta_{\nu_i \nu_i'}.$$

The state vectors are introduced

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi(\{n_r\}_1^N; \{m_r\}_1^M; \{q_r\}_1^Q; \{t_r\}_1^T; \{\nu_r\}_1^4) = (\hat{b}_N^{11})^{n_N} \cdots (\hat{b}_1^{11})^{n_1} \cdot \\ \cdot (\hat{b}_M^{12})^{m_M} \cdots (\hat{b}_1^{12})^{m_1} \cdot (\hat{b}_Q^{21})^{q_Q} \cdots (\hat{b}_1^{21})^{q_1} \cdot \cdot (\hat{b}_T^{22})^{t_T} \cdots (\hat{b}_1^{22})^{t_1} \chi^0(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4), \end{array} \tag{A.1.17}$$

where $\{n_r\}_1^N = n_1, \ldots, n_N$, etc., which are the eigenfunctions of modified operators. They form a whole set of orthogonal vectors

$$< \chi, \chi' >= \prod_{r=1}^{N} \delta_{n_r n_r'} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{M} \delta_{m_r m_r'} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{Q} \delta_{q_r q_r'} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{T} \delta_{t_r t_r'} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{4} \delta_{\nu_r \nu_r'}. \tag{A.1.18}$$

Considering an arbitrary superposition

$$\chi = \sum_{a=\{n_r\}_1^N, \{m_r\}_1^M, \{q_r\}_1^Q, \{t_r\}_1^T = 0}^{1} c'(a)\, \chi(a), \tag{A.1.19}$$

the coefficients c' of expansion are the corresponding amplitudes of probabilities. Taking into account eq.(A.1.12), the nonvanishing matrix elements of operators $\hat{b}^{11}_{r_k}$ and $\hat{b}^{r_k}_{11}$ read

$$\begin{array}{l} < \chi(\{n'_r\}_1^N; 0; 0; 0; 1, 0, 0, 0) \mid \hat{b}^{11}_{r_k} \chi(\{n_r\}_1^N; 0; 0; 0; 1, 0, 0, 0) >= \\ =< 1, 1 \mid \hat{b}^{r'_1}_{11} \cdots \hat{b}^{r'_n}_{11} \cdot \hat{b}^{11}_{r_k} \cdot \hat{b}^{11}_{r_n} \cdots \hat{b}^{11}_{r_1} \mid 1, 1 >= \\ = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n'-k'} & \text{if } n_r = n'_r \text{ for } r \neq r_k \text{ and } n_{r_k} = 0; n'_{r_k} = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ < \chi(\{n'_r\}_1^N; 0; 0; 0; 1, 0, 0, 0) \mid \hat{b}^{r_k}_{11} \chi(\{n_r\}_1^N; 0; 0; 0; 1, 0, 0, 0) >= \\ =< 1, 1 \mid \hat{b}^{r'_1}_{11} \cdots \hat{b}^{r'_n}_{11} \cdot \hat{b}^{r_k}_{11} \cdot \hat{b}^{11}_{r_n} \cdots \hat{b}^{11}_{r_1} \mid 1, 1 >= \\ = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-k} & \text{if } n_r = n'_r \text{ for } r \neq r_k \text{ and } n'_{r_k} = 0; n_{r_k} = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{array} \tag{A.1.20}$$

where one denotes $n = \sum_{r=1}^{N} n_r$, $n' = \sum_{r=1}^{N} n'_r$, the r_k and r'_k are k-th and k'-th terms of regulated sets of $\{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ $(r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_n)$ and $\{r'_1, \ldots, r'_n\}$ $(r'_1 < r'_2 < \cdots < r'_n)$, respectively. Continuing along this line we get a whole set of explicit forms of matrix elements of the rest of operators $\hat{b}_{r_k}$ and $\hat{b}^{r_k}$. Hence

$$\sum_{\{\nu_r\}=0}^{1} < \chi^0 \mid \hat{\Phi}(\zeta) \mid \chi >= \sum_{r=1}^{N} c'_{n_r} e^{n_r}_{(1,1,\alpha)} \Phi^{(1,1,\alpha)}_{n_r} + \cdots, \tag{A.1.21}$$

provided by

$$c'_{n_r} \equiv \delta_{1 n_r} c'(0, \ldots, n_r, \ldots, 0; 0; 0; 0), \cdots \tag{A.1.22}$$

Hereinafter we change a notation of the coefficients

$$\begin{array}{llll} \bar{c}(r^{11}) = c'_{n_r}, & \bar{c}(r^{21}) = c'_{q_r}, & N_{11} = N, & N_{21} = Q, \\ \bar{c}(r^{12}) = c'_{m_r}, & \bar{c}(r^{22}) = c'_{t_r}, & N_{12} = M, & N_{22} = T, \end{array} \tag{A.1.23}$$

and make use of convention

$$F_{r^{\lambda\mu}} = \sum_{\alpha} e^{r^{\lambda\mu}}_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} \Phi^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}_{r^{\lambda\mu}}, \qquad \sum_{\{\nu_r\}=0}^{1} < \chi^0 \mid \hat{A} \mid \chi >\equiv< \chi^0 \parallel \hat{A} \parallel \chi >, \tag{A.1.24}$$

The matrix elements of operator vector and covector fields take the final forms

$$\begin{array}{l} < \chi^0 \parallel \hat{\Phi}(\zeta) \parallel \chi >= \displaystyle\sum_{\lambda\mu=1}^{2} \sum_{r^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \bar{c}(r^{\lambda\mu}) \, F_{r^{\lambda\mu}}(\zeta), \\ < \chi \parallel \bar{\hat{\Phi}}(\zeta) \parallel \chi^0 >= \displaystyle\sum_{\lambda\mu=1}^{2} \sum_{r^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \bar{c}^*(r^{\lambda\mu}) \, F^{r^{\lambda\mu}}(\zeta). \end{array} \tag{A.1.25}$$

In the following we shall use a convention: $\left\{ \sum_{\lambda\mu}^{2} \right\}_1^n \equiv \sum_{\lambda_1\mu_1}^{2} \cdots \sum_{\lambda_n\mu_n}^{2}$, $r_i^{\lambda\mu} \equiv r^{\lambda_i\mu_i}$ and $\bar{c}(r_1^{11}, \ldots, r_n^{11}) = c'(n_1, \ldots, n_N; 0; 0; 0)$, etc. The anticommutation relations ensue

$$< \chi_- \mid \{\underset{i}{\hat{b}}{}_r^+, \underset{i}{\hat{b}}{}^{r'}_+\} \mid \chi_- >=< \chi_+ \mid \{\underset{i}{\hat{b}}{}_r^-, \underset{i}{\hat{b}}{}^{r'}_-\} \mid \chi_+ >= \delta_r^{r'}, \tag{A.1.26}$$

provided by $\underset{i}{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{(\lambda\alpha)}_{r} = \underset{i}{\hat{e}}{}^{(\lambda\alpha)}_{r}\ \underset{i}{\hat{b}}{}^{\lambda}_{(r\alpha)}, \quad (r\alpha) \to r$. The state functions

$$\chi = (\underset{\eta_N}{\hat{b}^{+}})^{n_N} \cdots (\underset{\eta_1}{\hat{b}^{+}})^{n_1} \cdot (\underset{\eta_M}{\hat{b}^{-}})^{m_M} \cdots (\underset{\eta_1}{\hat{b}^{-}})^{m_1} \cdot (\underset{uQ}{\hat{b}^{+}})^{q_Q} \cdots (\underset{u1}{\hat{b}^{+}})^{q_1} \cdot (\underset{uT}{\hat{b}^{-}})^{t_T} \cdots (\underset{u1}{\hat{b}^{-}})^{t_1} \cdot \chi_{-}(\lambda)\chi_{+}(\mu), \tag{A.1.27}$$

form a whole set of orthogonal eigenfunctions of corresponding operators of occupation numbers $\underset{i}{\hat{N}}{}^{\lambda}_{r} = \underset{i}{\hat{b}}{}^{\lambda}_{r}\ \underset{i}{\hat{b}}{}^{r}_{\lambda}$ with the expectation values 0,1.

•Differential Geometric Aspect of the OM

An explicit form of matrix element of operator tensor reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} < \chi^0 \parallel \hat{\Phi}(\zeta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\Phi}(\zeta_n) \parallel \chi >= \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\lambda\mu=1}^{2} \right\}_1^n \sum_{r_1^{\lambda\mu},\ldots,r_n^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \bar{c}(r_1^{\lambda\mu}, \ldots, r_n^{\lambda\mu})\, F_{r_1^{\lambda\mu}}(\zeta_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge F_{r_n^{\lambda\mu}}(\zeta_n), \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.28}$$

where $\wedge$ stands for exterior product.
The linear operator form of 1 degree $\hat{\omega}^1$ is a linear operator valued function on $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$, namely $\hat{\omega}^1(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p) : \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p} \to \hat{R}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p \in \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$, and the operator $\hat{\omega}^1(\hat{\mathbf{A}}) =< \hat{\omega}^1, \mathbf{A} >\in \hat{R}$ corresponds to $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p$ at the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p$, provided, according to eq.(A.1.25), with

$$< \chi \| \hat{\omega}^1 \| \chi^0 >= \sum_{\lambda,\mu=1}^{2} \sum_{r^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \hat{c}^*(r^{\lambda\mu})\, \omega^1_{r^{\lambda\mu}}, \tag{A.1.29}$$

where $\omega^1_{r^{\lambda\mu}} = e^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}_{r^{\lambda\mu}} \omega^{r^{\lambda\mu}}_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}$, the $< \omega^1_{r^{\lambda\mu}}, \mathbf{A} >= \omega^1_{r^{\lambda\mu}}(\mathbf{A})$ is a linear form on $\mathbf{T}_p$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\omega}^1(\lambda_1\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1 + \lambda_2\hat{\mathbf{A}}_2) = \lambda_1\hat{\omega}^1(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1) + \lambda_2\hat{\omega}^1(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_2), \\ &\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R, \quad \hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_2 \in \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.30}$$

The set of all linear operator forms defined at the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p$ fill up the operator vector space $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p}$ dual to $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$. While, the $\{\hat{\gamma}_r\}$ serves as a basis for them. The operator n form is defined as the exterior product of operator 1 forms

$$\hat{\omega}^n(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n) = \left(\hat{\omega}^1_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\omega}^1_n\right)\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right) = \left\| \begin{matrix} \hat{\omega}^1_1(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1) \cdots\cdots & \hat{\omega}^1_n(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{\omega}^1_1(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n) \cdots\cdots & \hat{\omega}^1_n(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n) \end{matrix} \right\|. \tag{A.1.31}$$

Here as well as for the rest of this section we abbreviate the set of indices $(\lambda_i, \mu_i, \alpha_i)$ by the single symbol i. If $\{\hat{\gamma}^{r_i}_i\}$ and $\{\hat{\gamma}^{i}_{r_i}\}$ are dual basis respectively in $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p}$, then the $\{\hat{\gamma}^{r_1}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\gamma}^{r_p}_p \otimes \hat{\gamma}^1_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\gamma}^q_{s_q}\}$ will be the basis in operator space $\hat{\mathbf{T}}^p_q = \underbrace{\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}}_{p} \otimes \underbrace{\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathbf{T}}^*_{\Phi_p}}_{q}$. Any operator tensor $\hat{\mathbf{T}} \in \hat{\mathbf{T}}^p_q(\mathbf{\Phi}_p)$ can be written

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}} = T^{i_1 \cdots i_p}_{j_1 \cdots j_q}(r_1, \ldots, r_p, s_1, \ldots, s_q)\, \hat{\gamma}^{r_1}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\gamma}^{r_p}_{i_p} \otimes \hat{\gamma}^{j_1}_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\gamma}^{j_q}_{s_q},$$

where $T^{i_1\cdots i_p}_{j_1\cdots j_q}\left(r_1,\ldots,r_p,s_1,\ldots,s_q\right)=T\left(\hat{\gamma}^{i_1}_{r_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\hat{\gamma}^{i_p}_{r_p}\otimes\hat{\gamma}^{s_1}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\hat{\gamma}^{s_q}_{j_q}\right)$ are the components of $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ in $\{\hat{\gamma}^{r_i}_i\}$ and $\{\hat{\gamma}^i_{r_i}\}$. Any antisymmetric operator tensor of $\widehat{(0,n)}$ type reads

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*=T_{i_1\cdots i_n}\gamma^{\widehat{\imath_1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes\gamma^{\widehat{\imath_n}}=\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_n}T_{i_1\cdots i_n}d\,\Phi^{\widehat{\imath_1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\,\Phi^{\widehat{\imath_n}}. \tag{A.1.32}$$

Let the $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ are two compact convex parallelepipeds in oriented n dimensional operator space $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$ and the $f:\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1\to\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ is differentiable reflection of interior of $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ into $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ retaining an orientation, namely for any function $\varphi\in C^\infty$ defined on $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ it holds $\varphi\circ f\in C^\infty$ and $f^*\varphi\left(\mathbf{\Phi}_p\right)=\varphi\left(f\left(\mathbf{\Phi}_p\right)\right)$, where f^* is an image of function $\varphi\left(f\left(\mathbf{\Phi}_p\right)\right)$ on $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ at the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p$. Hence, the function f induces a linear reflection $\hat{d}\,f:\hat{\mathbf{T}}\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1\right)\to\hat{\mathbf{T}}\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2\right)$ as an operator differential of f implying $\hat{d}\,f\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p\right)\varphi=\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p(\varphi\circ f)$ for any operator vector $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p\in\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$ and for any function $\varphi\in C^\infty$ defined in the neighbourhood of $\mathbf{\Phi'}_p=f\left(\mathbf{\Phi}_p\right)$. If the function f is given in the form $\Phi'^i=\Phi'^i\left(\Phi_p\right)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p=\left(A^i\,\hat{\partial}\big/\partial\Phi^i\right)_p$, then in terms of local coordinates one gets $\left(\hat{d}\,f\right)\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p=A^i\left(\dfrac{\partial\Phi'^j}{\partial\Phi^i}\right)_p\left(\dfrac{\hat{\partial}}{\partial\Phi'^j}\right)_{p'}$. So, if $f_1:\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1\to\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ and $f_2:\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2\to\hat{\mathcal{D}}_3$ then $\hat{d}\,\left(f_2\circ f_1\right)=\hat{d}\,f_2\circ\hat{d}\,f_1$. The differentiable reflection $f:\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1\to\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ induces the reflection $\hat{f}^*:\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2\right)\to\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1\right)$ conjugated to $\hat{f}_*$. The latter is the operator differential of f, while

$$<\hat{f}^*\hat{\omega'}^1,\hat{\mathbf{A}}>_{\Phi_p}=\,<\hat{\omega'}^1,\hat{f}_*\hat{\mathbf{A}}>\Big|_{f(\Phi_p)}, \tag{A.1.33}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{A}}\Big|_{f(\Phi_p)}=\left(\hat{d}\,f\right)\hat{\mathbf{A}}_p$ and $\hat{\omega'}^1\in\hat{\mathbf{T}}^*\Big|_{f(\Phi_p)}$. Hence $\hat{f}^*\left(\hat{d}\,\varphi\right)=\hat{d}\,\left(\hat{f}^*\varphi\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}^*\,T\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1,\ldots,\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right)\Big|_{\Phi_p}&=T\left(\hat{f}_*\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1,\ldots,\hat{f}_*\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right)\Big|_{f(\Phi_p)},\\ T\left(\hat{f}^*\hat{\omega}^1_1,\ldots,\hat{f}^*\hat{\omega}^1_n\right)\Big|_{\Phi_p}&=\hat{f}_*\,T\left(\hat{\omega}^1_1,\ldots,\hat{f}^*\hat{\omega}^1_n\right)\Big|_{f(\Phi_p)}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.1.34}$$

For any differential operator n form $\hat{\omega}^n$ on $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ the reflection f induces the operator n form $\hat{f}^*\hat{\omega}^n$ on $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$

$$\left(\hat{f}^*\hat{\omega}^n\right)\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1,\ldots,\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right)\Big|_{\Phi_p}=\hat{f}_*\hat{\omega}^n\left(\hat{f}_*\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1,\ldots,\hat{f}_*\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right)\Big|_{f(\Phi_p)}. \tag{A.1.35}$$

If $\hat{\omega'}^1=\alpha'_i d\;\Phi'^{\widehat{\imath}}$ then $\hat{f}^*\left(\alpha'_i d\;\Phi'^{\widehat{\imath}}\right)=\alpha'_i\;\dfrac{\partial\Phi'^i}{\partial\Phi^j}\,d\,\Phi^{\widehat{\jmath}}$. This can be extended up to $\hat{\omega'}^n\to\hat{\omega}^n$

$$\hat{f}^*\left(\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_n}T'_{i_1\cdots i_n}d\,\Phi'^{\widehat{\imath_1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\,\Phi'^{\widehat{\imath_n}}\right)=\sum_{\substack{i_1<\cdots<i_n\\ j_1<\cdots<j_n}}T'_{i_1\cdots i_n}\frac{\partial\Phi'^{i_1}}{\partial\Phi^{j^1}}\cdots\frac{\partial\Phi'^{i_n}}{\partial\Phi^{j^n}}\,d\,\Phi^{\widehat{\imath_1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\,\Phi^{\widehat{\imath_n}}, \tag{A.1.36}$$

namely $\hat{f}^*\hat{\omega'}^n=J_\Phi\,\hat{\omega}^n=(det\;df)\,\hat{\omega}^n$, where J_Φ is the Jacobian of reflection $J_\Phi=\left\|\dfrac{\partial\Phi'^i}{\partial\Phi^j}\right\|$. While

$$\left(\hat{f}_1\circ\hat{f}_2\right)^*=\hat{f}^*_1\circ\hat{f}^*_2,\quad\hat{f}^*\left(\hat{\omega}_1\wedge\hat{\omega}_2\right)=\hat{f}^*\left(\hat{\omega}_1\right)\wedge\hat{f}^*\left(\hat{\omega}_2\right).$$

We may consider the integration of operator n form implying $\int_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1} \hat{f}^* \hat{\omega}^n = \int_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2} \hat{\omega}^n$. In general, let $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ is the limited convex n dimensional parallelepiped in the n dimensional operator space $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$. One defines the n dimensional i-th piece of integration path $\hat{\sigma}^i$ in $\hat{G}$ as $\hat{\sigma}^i = \left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i, f_i, Or_i\right)$, where $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i \in \hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$, $\quad f_i : \hat{\mathcal{D}}_i \to \hat{G}$ and the Or_i is an orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$. Then, the integral over the operator n form $\hat{\omega}^n$ along the operator n dimensional chain $\hat{c}_n = \sum m_i \hat{\sigma}^i$ may be written

$$\int_{\hat{c}_n} \hat{\omega}^n = \sum m_i \int_{\hat{\sigma}^i} \hat{\omega}^n = \sum m_i \int_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i} \hat{f}^* \hat{\omega}^n,$$

where the m_i is a multiple number. Taking into account the eq.(A.1.29), the matrix element yields

$$< \chi \| \int_{\hat{c}_n} \hat{\omega}^n \| \chi^0 > \to \left\{ \sum_{\lambda\mu=1}^{2} \right\}_1^n \sum_{r_1^{\lambda\mu}, \dots, r_n^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \sum m_i \bar{c}(r_1^{\lambda\mu}, \dots, r_n^{\lambda\mu}) \int_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i} \hat{f}^* \hat{\omega}^n (r_1^{\lambda\mu}, \dots, r_n^{\lambda\mu}). \tag{A.1.37}$$

Next, we may apply the analog of exterior differentiation. We define the operator $(n+1)$ form $\hat{d}\,\hat{\omega}^n$ on $(n+1)$ operator vectors $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{n+1} \in \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$ by considering diffeomorphic reflection f of the neighbourhood of the point 0 in $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$ into neighbourhood of the point $\mathbf{\Phi}_p$ in $\hat{G}$. The prototypes of operator vectors $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{n+1} \in \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}\left(\hat{G}\right)$ at the operator differential of f belong to tangent operator space $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$ in 0. Namely, the prototypes are the operator vectors $\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_{n+1} \in \hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$. Let f reflects the parallelepiped $\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}^*$, stretched over the $\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_{n+1}$, onto the $(n+1)$ dimensional piece $\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}$ on the $\hat{G}$. While the border of the n dimensional chain $\partial\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}$ defined as follows: the pieces $\hat{\sigma}^i$ of the chain $\partial\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}$ are n dimensional facets $\partial\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}_i$ of parallelepiped $\partial\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}$ with the reflections embedding the facets into $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}$: $\quad f_i : \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}_i \to \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}$, and the orientations Or_i has defined as $\partial\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} = \sum \hat{\sigma}^i, \quad \hat{\sigma}^i = \left(\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}_i, f_i, Or_i\right)$ Considering the curvilinear parallelepiped

$$F\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right) = \int_{\partial\hat{\mathbf{\Pi}}} \hat{\omega}^n,$$

one may state that the unique operator of the $(n+1)$-form $\hat{\Omega}$ exists on $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\Phi_p}$, which is the principle $(n+1)$ linear part in 0 of integral over the border of $F\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right)$, namely

$$F\left(\varepsilon\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \dots, \varepsilon\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n\right) = \varepsilon^{n+1} \hat{\Omega}\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{n+1}\right) + O\left(\varepsilon^{n+1}\right), \tag{A.1.38}$$

where $\hat{\Omega}$ is independent of choice of the coordinates used in definition of F. The prove of it is the same to those of similar one given in the differential geometry [46]. If in local coordinates $\hat{\omega}^n = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} T_{i_1 \cdots i_n} d\,\Phi^{\widehat{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge d\,\Phi^{\widehat{i_n}}$, then

$$\hat{\Omega} = \hat{d}\,\hat{\omega}^n = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \hat{d}\,T_{i_1 \cdots i_n} d\,\Phi^{\widehat{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge d\,\Phi^{\widehat{i_n}}. \tag{A.1.39}$$

The operator of exterior differential $\hat{d}$ commutes with the reflection $f : \hat{G} \to \hat{G}$

$$\hat{d}\left(\hat{f}^* \hat{\omega}^n\right) = \hat{f}^*\left(\hat{d}\,\hat{\omega}^n\right).$$

Define the exterior differential by operator (n+1) form

$$\hat{d}\hat{\omega}^n = \sum_{\substack{i_0 \\ i_1<\ldots<i_n}} \frac{\partial T_{i_1\ldots i_n}}{\partial \Phi^{i_0}} d\Phi^{\widehat{i_0}} \wedge d\Phi^{\widehat{i_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\Phi^{\widehat{i_n}} = \sum_{i_1<\ldots<i_n} (\hat{d}T_{i_1\ldots i_n}) \wedge d\Phi^{\widehat{i_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\Phi^{\widehat{i_n}}, \tag{A.1.40}$$

one gets

$$< \chi \parallel \hat{d}\hat{\omega}^n \parallel \chi^0 >\rightarrow$$
$$\sum_{i_1<\ldots<i_n} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda,\mu=1}^{2} \right\}_1^n \sum_{r_1^{\lambda\mu},\ldots,r_n^{\lambda\mu}=1}^{N_{\lambda\mu}} \bar{c}(r_1^{\lambda\mu},\ldots r_n^{\lambda\mu})(dT(r_1^{\lambda\mu},\ldots,r_n^{\lambda\mu})_{i_1\ldots i_n}) \wedge d\Phi^{i_1}_{r_1^{\lambda\mu}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\Phi^{i_n}_{r_n^{\lambda\mu}}. \tag{A.1.41}$$

Reflected upon the results far obtained within this section we may draw a conclusion that the matrix element of any geometric object of operator manifold $\hat{G}$ yields corresponding geometric object of wave manifold $\mathcal{G}$.

Appendix B

1 Reflection of the Fermi Fields

The rotation angles (subsec.4.2) are determined from the constraint imposed upon distortion transformations that a sum of distorted parts of corresponding basis vectors O_λ and σ_β should be zero for given λ

$$< O_{(\lambda\alpha)}, O_\tau >_{\tau\neq\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\frac{< \sigma_{(\lambda\beta)}, \sigma_\gamma >}{< \sigma_{(\lambda\beta)}, \sigma_\beta >} = 0, \tag{B.1.1}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is an antisymmetric unit tensor. Thereupon, $\tan\theta_{(\lambda\alpha)} = -\kappa a_{(\lambda\alpha)}$, where $\theta_{(\lambda\alpha)}$ is the particular rotation angle around the axis σ_α. Since the R should be independent of the sequence of rotation axes, then it implies the mean value $R = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{i\neq j\neq k} R^{(ijk)}$, where $R^{(ijk)}$ the matrix of rotations occurred in the given sequence (ijk) $(i,j,k = 1,2,3)$. The field a_f is due to the distortion of basis pseudovector O_λ, while the distortion of σ_α follows from eq.(B.1.1). We consider the reflection of the Fermi fields and their dynamics from the flat manifold $\underset{u}{G}$ to distorted manifold $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$, and vice versa. While we construct a diffeomorphism $u(u_f) : \underset{u}{G} \rightarrow \underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$, where the holonomic functions $u(u_f)$ satisfy defining relation

$$e\psi = e^f + \chi^f(\mathbf{B}_f). \tag{B.1.2}$$

Here e^f and e are the basis vectors on $\underset{u}{G}$ and $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$. The ψ is taken to denote $\psi \equiv \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial u_f}$. The covector

$$\chi^f_{(\tau\beta)}(\mathbf{B}_f) = e_{(\lambda\alpha)}\chi^{(\lambda\alpha)}_{(\tau\beta)} = -\frac{1}{2}e_{(\lambda\alpha)}\int_0^{u_f}(\underset{u}{\partial}{}^f_{(\rho\gamma)} D^{(\lambda\alpha)}_{(\tau\beta)} - \underset{u}{\partial}{}^f_{(\tau\beta)} D^{(\lambda\alpha)}_{(\rho\gamma)})du_f^{(\rho\gamma)} \tag{B.1.3}$$

realizes the coordinates u by providing a criteria of integration and undegeneration [47,48][2]. The Lagrangian $L(x)$ of fields $\Psi(u)$ may be obtained under the reflection from the Lagrangian $L_f(u_f)$ of corresponding *shadow fields* $\Psi_f(u_f)$ and vice versa. The $\Psi_f(u_f)$ is defined as the section of vector bundle associated with the primary gauge group G by reflection $\Psi_f : \underset{u}{G} \to E$ such that $p\,\Psi_f(u_f) = u_f$, where $u_f \in \underset{u}{G}$ is the point of flat manifold $\underset{u}{G}$ (specified by index $({}_f)$). The Ψ_f takes value in standard fiber F_{u_f} upon $u_f : p^{-1}(U^{(f)}) = U^{(f)} \times F_{u_f}$, where $U^{(f)}$ is the region of base of principle bundle upon which an expansion into direct product $p^{-1}(U^{(f)}) = U^{(f)} \times G$ is defined. The fiber is Hilbert vector space on which a linear representation U_f of the group G is given. Respectively $\Psi(u) \subset F_u$, where F_u is the fiber upon $u : p^{-1}(U) = U \times F_u$, U is the region of base $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$. Thus, the reflection of bispinor fields may be written down

$$\begin{array}{l} \Psi(u) = R(\mathbf{B}_f)\Psi_f(u_f), \quad \bar{\Psi}(u) = \bar{\Psi}_f(u_f)\widetilde{R}^+(\mathbf{B}_f), \quad g(u)\nabla\Psi(u) = \\ S(B_f)R(\mathbf{B}_f)\gamma_f D\Psi_f(u_f), \quad \left(\nabla\bar{\Psi}(u)\right) g(u) = S(B_f)\left(D\bar{\Psi}_f(u_f)\right)\gamma_f\widetilde{R}^+(\mathbf{B}_f). \end{array} \tag{B.1.4}$$

Reviewing the notation $\mathbf{B}(u_f) = T^a\mathbf{B}^a(u_f)$ is the gauge field of distortion with the values in Lie algebra of group G, $R(\mathbf{B}_f)$ is the reflection matrix (see eq.(B.1.7)), $\widetilde{R} = \gamma^0 R \gamma^0$, $D = \partial^f - ig\mathbf{B}$, $g^{(\lambda\alpha)}(\theta) = V^{(\lambda\alpha)}_{(i,l)}(\theta)\gamma_f^{(i,l)}$, $V^{(\lambda\alpha)}_{(i,l)}(\theta)$ are congruence parameters of curves (Latin indices refer to tetrad components). The matrices $\gamma_f^{(\pm\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma^0\sigma^\alpha \pm \gamma^\alpha)$, γ^0, γ^α are Dirac matrices. ∇ is covariant derivative defined on $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$: $\nabla = \underset{u}{\partial} + \Gamma$, where the connection $\Gamma(\theta)$ in terms of Ricci rotation coefficients reads $\Gamma_{(\lambda\alpha)}(\theta) = \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{(\lambda\alpha)(i,l)(m,p)}\,\gamma_f^{(i,l)}\,\gamma_f^{(m,p)}$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{(\lambda\alpha)}(\theta) = \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{(\lambda\alpha)(i,l)(m,p)}\,\gamma_f^{(m,p)}\,\gamma_f^{(i,l)}$.

According to the general gauge principle [42,44], the physical system of the fields $\Psi(u)$ is required to be invariant under the finite local gauge transformations

$$\Psi'(u) = U_R\Psi(u), \quad \left(g(u)\nabla\Psi(u)\right)' = U_R\left(g(u)\nabla\Psi(u)\right), \quad U_R = R(\mathbf{B}'_f)U_f R^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_f), \tag{B.1.5}$$

of the Lie group of gravitation $G_R(\ni U_R)$ generated by G, where the gauge field $\mathbf{B}_f(u_f)$ is transformed under G in standard form. The physical meaning of the general principle is as follows: one has conventional G-gauge theory on flat manifold in terms of curviliniear coordinates if curvature tensor is zero, to which the zero vector eq.(B.1.3) is corresponded. Otherwise it yields the gravitation interaction.

Out of a set of arbitrary curvilinear coordinates in $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$ the real curvilinear coordinates may be distinguished, which satisfy eq.(B.1.2) under all the possible Lorentz and gauge transformations. There is a single -valued conformity between corresponding tensors with various suffixes on $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$ and $\underset{u}{G}$. While, each index transformation is incorporated with the function ψ. The transformation of real curvilinear coordinates $u \to u'$ is due to some Lorentz (Λ) and gauge ($B_f \to B'_f$) transformations

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial u} = \psi(B'_f)\psi(B_f)\Lambda. \tag{B.1.6}$$

---

[2] I wish to thank S.P.Novikov for valuable discussion of this question

There would then exist preferred systems and group of transformations of real curvilinear coordinates in the $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$. The wider group of transformations of arbitrary curvilinear coordinates in the $\underset{u}{\widetilde{G}}$ would then be of no consequence for the field dynamics. A straightforward calculation gives the reflection matrix

$$R(u, u_f) = R_f(u_f)R_g(u) = \exp\left[-i\Theta_f(u_f) - \Theta_g(u)\right], \tag{B.1.7}$$

where

$$\Theta_f(u_f) = g\int_0^{u_f} \mathbf{B}(u_f)du_f, \quad \Theta_g(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^u R_f^+\left\{g\Gamma R_f, g\,du\right\}. \tag{B.1.8}$$

Then

$$S(B_f) = \frac{1}{8K}\psi\left\{\widetilde{R}^+ g\,R, \gamma^0\right\} = inv, \tag{B.1.9}$$

where $K = \widetilde{R}^+R = \widetilde{R}^+_g R_g = 1.$ and $\widetilde{U}^+_R U_R = \gamma^0 U^+_R \gamma^0 U_R = 1$. The Lagrangian of shadow Fermi field may be written

$$\begin{aligned}&L_f(u_f) = J_\psi L(u) = \\ &J_\psi\left\{S(B_f)\frac{i}{2}\left[\bar{\Psi}_f(u_f)\gamma_f D\Psi_f(u_f) - \ \left(D\bar{\Psi}_f(u_f)\right)\gamma_f\Psi_f(u_f)\right] - m\bar{\Psi}_f(u_f)\Psi_f(u_f)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.1.10}$$

provided by $J_\psi = \|\psi\|\sqrt{-g} \equiv \left(1 + 2\| < e^f, \chi^f > \| + \| < \chi^f, \chi^f > \|\right)^{1/2}$. The Lagrangian $L(u)$ of the field $\Psi(u)$ reads

$$\sqrt{-g}L(u) = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}\left\{-i\bar{\Psi}(u)g(\underset{u}{\partial} - \Gamma)\Psi(u) + i\bar{\Psi}(u)(\underset{u}{\overleftarrow{\partial}} - \bar{\Gamma})g\bar{\Psi}(u) + 2m\bar{\Psi}(u)\Psi(u)\right\}, \tag{B.1.11}$$

yielding the field equations

$$\left[ig(\underset{u}{\partial} - \Gamma) - m\right]\Psi(u) = 0, \quad \bar{\Psi}(u)\left[i(\underset{u}{\overleftarrow{\partial}} - \bar{\Gamma})g - m\right] = 0. \tag{B.1.12}$$

Appendix C

1 The Solution of Wave Equation of Distorted Structure

To solve the equation (B.1.12)

$$\left[ig(\underset{u}{\partial} - \Gamma) - m\right]\Psi(u) = 0, \tag{C.1.1}$$

we transform it into

$$\{-\partial^2 - m^2 - (g\Gamma)^2 + 2(\Gamma g) + (g\partial)(g\Gamma)\}\Psi = 0, \tag{C.1.2}$$

where we abbreviate the indices $(\lambda\alpha)$ by the single symbol μ, and Latin indices (im) $(i = \pm, m = 1, 2, 3)$ by i, also denote $\hat{p}_u \equiv \hat{p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = (g\partial)(g\Gamma) - (\partial\Gamma), \quad (g\partial)(g\Gamma) = g^\mu g^\nu\partial_\mu\Gamma_\nu, \\ &\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}[\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu] = (g\Gamma)^2 - \Gamma^2, \quad \partial^2 = \partial^\mu\partial_\mu, \quad \Gamma^2 = \Gamma^\mu\Gamma_\mu, \\ &2g^{\mu\nu} = \{g^\mu, g^\nu\}, \quad 2\sigma^{\mu\nu} = [g^\mu, g^\nu], \quad \underset{\mu\nu}{F} = \partial_\mu\Gamma_\nu - \partial_\nu\Gamma_\mu.\end{aligned} \tag{C.1.3}$$

We are looking for a solution given in the form $\Psi = e^{-ipu}F(\varphi)$, where p_μ is a constant sixvector $pu = p_\mu u_\mu$, and admit that the field of distortion may be switched on at $u_0 = -\infty$ smoothly. Then the function Ψ must match onto the wave function of ordinary regular structure. Smoothness requires that the numbers p_μ become the components of link momentum of regular structure and satisfy the boundary condition $p_\mu p_\mu = m^2 = p_\eta^2$. Due to it we cancel unwanted solutions and clarify the normalization of wave functions

$$\int \Psi^*_{p'}\Psi_p d^3u = \int \bar{\Psi}_{p'}\gamma^0\Psi_p d^3u = (2\pi)^3\delta(\vec{p'} - \vec{p}). \tag{C.1.4}$$

We suppose that at $\sqrt{-g} \neq 1$ the gradient of the function φ reads

$$\partial_\mu\varphi = V^i_\mu k_i, \quad \partial^\mu\varphi = V^\mu_i k^i,$$

where k_i are arbitrary constant numbers satisfying the condition $k_i k_i = 0$. Thus $\partial^\mu\varphi\,\partial_\mu\varphi = (V^\mu_i\,V^j_\mu)\,k^i\,k_j = 0$. The eq.(C.1.2) gives rise to $F' = A(\theta)F$, where $(\cdots)'$ stands for the derivative with respect to φ, and

$$A(\theta) = \frac{2i(p\Gamma) + m^2 - p^2 + (g\Gamma)^2 - (g\partial)(g\Gamma)}{2i(kVp) - (kDV)}; \tag{C.1.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &(kVp) = k^i V^\mu_i p_\mu, \quad (kDV) = k^i D_\mu V^\mu_i, \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu - 2\Gamma_\mu, \\ &p^2 = p^\mu p_\mu = g^{\mu\nu}(\theta)p_\mu p_\nu \quad (kVdu) = k_i V^i_\mu du^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{C.1.6}$$

We are interested in the right-handed eigenvectors F_r $\;(r = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ corresponding to eigenvalues μ_r of matrix $A : AF_r = \mu_r F_r$, which are the roots of polynomial characteristic equation

$$c(\mu) = \|(\mu I - A)\| = 0.$$

Thus, one gets $F'_r = \mu_r F_r$ and $F = \prod_{r=1}^{4} F_r$. Hence $(\ln F)' = \sum_{r=1}^{4}\mu_r = trA$ and $(\ln F)' = X_R(\theta) - iX_J(\theta)$, provided

$$\begin{aligned} X_R(\theta) &= trA_R(\theta) = tr\left\{\frac{-(kDV)\left[m^2 - p^2 + (g\Gamma)^2 - (g\partial)(g\Gamma)\right] + 4(kVp)(p\Gamma)}{(kDV)^2 + 4(kVp)^2}\right\}, \\ X_J(\theta) &= trA_J(\theta) = 2tr\left\{\frac{(kVp)\left[m^2 - p^2 + (g\Gamma)^2 - (g\partial)(g\Gamma)\right] + (kDV)(p\Gamma)}{(kDV)^2 + 4(kVp)^2}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.1.7}$$

The solution of eq.(C.1.1) reads

$$F(\theta) = C\Big(\frac{m}{E_u}\Big)^{1/2} U \exp\{\chi_R(\theta) - i\chi_J(\theta)\}, \tag{C.1.8}$$

where $C = 1$ is the normalization constant, U is the constant bispinor, and

$$\chi_R(\theta) = \int_0^{u^\mu}(kVdu)X_R(\theta), \quad \chi_J(\theta) = \int_0^{u^\mu}(kVdu)X_J(\theta). \tag{C.1.9}$$

Appendix D

1 Field Aspect of the OMM

The quantum field and differential geometric aspects of the $\hat{G}_N$ may be discussed on the analogy of $\hat{G}_{N=1}$. Here we turn only to some points of the field aspect. A Lagrangian of free field reads

$$\widetilde{L}_0(D) = \frac{i}{2}\{\bar{\Psi}_e(\zeta){}^{i}\gamma^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}\underset{i}{\partial}_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}\Psi_e(\zeta) - \underset{i}{\partial}_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}\bar{\Psi}_e(\zeta){}^{i}\gamma^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}\Psi_e(\zeta)\}, \tag{D.1.1}$$

where we have adopted the following convention:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_e(\zeta) = e \otimes \Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \Psi(\zeta), \quad \bar{\Psi}_e(\zeta) = e \otimes \bar{\Psi}(\zeta), \quad \bar{\Psi}(\zeta) = \Psi^{+}(\zeta)\gamma^0, \\
&{}^{i}\gamma^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} = {}^{i}\widetilde{O}^{\lambda,\mu} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^{\alpha}, \quad {}^{i}\widetilde{O}^{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\nu_i\xi_0 \otimes \widetilde{O}^{\mu} + \varepsilon_\lambda \xi \otimes {}^{i}\widetilde{O}^{\mu}\right), \\
&\varepsilon_\lambda = \left\{\begin{array}{rl} 1 & \lambda = 1 \\ -1 & \lambda = 2 \end{array}\right., \quad <\nu_i, \nu_j> = \delta_{ij}, \quad \left\{{}^{i}\widetilde{O}^{\lambda}, {}^{j}\widetilde{O}^{\mu}\right\} = \delta_{ij}{}^{*}\delta^{\lambda\mu}, \\
&\widetilde{O}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_0 + \varepsilon_\mu \xi\right), \quad \widetilde{O}^{\lambda} = {}^{*}\delta^{\lambda\mu}\widetilde{O}_{\mu} = (\widetilde{O}_{\lambda})^{+}, \quad {}^{i}\widetilde{O}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_{0i} + \varepsilon_\mu \xi_i\right), \\
&\underset{i}{\partial}_{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)} = \partial/\partial\, {}^{i}\zeta^{(\lambda,\mu,\alpha)}, \quad \xi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
&{\xi_0}^2 = -\xi^2 = -{\xi_{0i}}^2 = \xi_i^2 = 1, \quad \{\xi_0, \xi\} = \{\xi_0, \xi_{0i}\} = \{\xi_0, \xi_i\} = \\
&= \{\xi, \xi_{0i}\} = \{\xi, \xi_i\} = \{\xi_{0i}, \xi_j\}_{i\neq j} = \{\xi_{0i}, \xi_{0j}\}_{i\neq j} = \{\xi_i, \xi_j\}_{i\neq j} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.1.2}$$

Field equations are written

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\underset{\eta}{\hat{p}} - m)\,\underset{\eta}{\Psi}(\eta) = 0, \quad \underset{\eta}{\bar{\Psi}}(\eta)(\underset{\eta}{\hat{p}} - m) = 0, \\
&(\underset{u}{\hat{p}} - m)\,\underset{u}{\Psi}(u) = 0, \quad \underset{u}{\bar{\Psi}}(u)(\underset{u}{\hat{p}} - m) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.1.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underset{\eta}{\hat{p}} = i\,\underset{\eta}{\hat{\partial}}, \quad \underset{u}{\hat{p}} = i\,\underset{u}{\hat{\partial}}, \quad \underset{u}{\hat{\partial}} = {}^{i}\gamma^{(\lambda\alpha)}\underset{u_i\,(\lambda\alpha)}{\partial}, \quad \underset{\eta}{\partial}_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \partial/\partial\eta^{(\lambda\alpha)}, \quad \underset{u_i}{\partial}_{(\lambda\alpha)} = \partial/\partial u_i^{(\lambda\alpha)}, \\
&{}^{i}\underset{\eta}{\gamma}^{(\lambda\alpha)} = {}^{i}\underset{\eta}{\widetilde{O}}^{\lambda} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^{\alpha} = \nu_i\xi_0 \otimes \gamma^{(\lambda\alpha)} = \nu_i\xi_0 \otimes \widetilde{O}^{\lambda} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^{\alpha}, \\
&{}^{i}\underset{u}{\gamma}^{(\lambda\alpha)} = {}^{i}\underset{u}{\widetilde{O}}^{\lambda} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^{\alpha} = \xi \otimes {}^{i}\gamma^{(\lambda\alpha)} = \xi \otimes {}^{i}\widetilde{O}^{\lambda} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^{\alpha}, \\
&\left(\gamma^{(\lambda\alpha)}\right)^{+} = {}^{*}\delta^{\lambda\tau}\delta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^{(\tau\beta)} = \gamma_{(\lambda\alpha)}, \quad \left({}^{i}\underset{u}{\gamma}^{(\lambda\alpha)}\right)^{+} = -{}^{i}\underset{u\,(\lambda\alpha)}{\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.1.4}$$

The state of free ordinary structure of ${}^{i}u$-type with the given values of link momentum $\underset{u_i}{p}$ and spin projection s_i is described by means of plane wave. It is necessary to consider also the solution of negative frequencies with the normalized bispinor amplitude.

References

[1] G.T.Ter-Kazarian, hep-th/9812181; CPT-99/P.3918, CPT, CNRS, Marseille (1999).

[2] G.T.Ter-Kazarian, hep-th/9812182; CPT-99/P.3919, CPT, CNRS, Marseille (1999).

[3] S.L.Adler, Int.J.Mod.Phys., **A14**, No12, 1911 (1999).

[4] S.L.Glashow, Nucl. Phys., **22**, 579 (1961).

[5] S.Weinberg, Phys.Rev.Lett., **19** 1264 (1967).

[6] A.Salam, Elemenmtary Particle Theory, p.367,Ed.N. Svartholm.-Almquist and Wiksell, 1968.

[7] J.L.Lopes , Nucl. Phys., **8** 234 (1958).

[8] J.Schwinger, Ann.of Phys., **2** 407 (1957).

[9] S.L.Glashow, J.Iliopoulos and L.Maiani, Phys.Rev., **D2** 1285 (1970).

[10] P.Fayet, LPTENS-98/45; hep-ph/9812300.

[11] G.Altarelli, hep-ph/9809532.

[12] G.Altarelli, R.Barbieri and F.Caravaglios, Int.J.Mod.Phys., A7, 1031 (1998).

[13] S.L.Glashow,, hep-ph/9812466.

[14] LEP Electroweak Working Group, preprint CERN-PPE/96-183 (1996).

[15] M.Schmelling, preprint MPI-H-V39; hep-ex/9701002.

[16] F.Wilczek, hep-ph/9802400.

[17] J.L.Hewett, Lectures given at TASI 97, Supersymmetry, Supergravity and Supercolliders, Boulder CO., 1997; hep-ph/9810316.

[18] P.Langacker and J.Erler, Sec.14 in R.M.Barnett et al., *Phys.rev.* **D 54** 1 (1966); hep-ph/9809352.

[19] C.Caso et al., Particle data group, Eur.Phys.J., **C3**, 1 (1998).

[20] J.F.Gunion, A.Stange and S.Willenbrock,, hep-ph/9602238.

[21] M.K.Gaillard, P.D.Grannis and F.J.Sciulli,, hep-ph/9812285.

[22] G.Degrassi and G.F.Giudice, Phys.rev. **D58** 053007 (1998); hep-ph/9803384.

[23] A.Ashtekar, J.Lewandowski, *Class.Quant.Grav.*, **14** A55 (1997); gr-qc/9711031.

[24] A.Ashtekar, *Int.J.Mod.Physics.*,**D5** 629 (1996).

[25] E.Witten, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.*, **A10** 1247 (1995); *Nucl.Physics.*, **B443** 85 (1995); ibid. **B471** 135 (1996); **B471** 195 (1996); **B474** 343 (1996); **B500** 3 (1997).

[26] S.Weinberg, *Phys.Rew.*, **D56** 2303 (1997).

[27] R.Penrose, “Fundamental issues of curved-space quantization”, *Talk given at VII Marcel Grossmann Meeting*, Jerusalem, 1997

[28] M.Shifman, *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.*, **39** 1 (1997).

[29] B.S.De Witt, R.D.Graham (Eds.) *The Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton Univ.Press, 1973.

[30] J.F.Gunion, H.E.Haber, G.L.Kane and S.Dawson, The Higgs Hunters guide, Addison-Wesley Publishing.

[31] J.Wess and J.Bagger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity, 2nd edit., Princeton Univ. Press, princeton, NJ (1992).

[32] P.West, Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity,

[33] H.E.Haber, in Recent directions in particle theory: From Superstrings and black holes to the Standard Model, eds. J.Harvey and J.Polchinski, World Scientific, Singapore (1993).

[34] Y.Makeenkp, HIP-1997-14/TH; ITEP-TH-11/97; NBI-HE-97-13; hep-th/9704075.

[35] M.B.Green, J.H.Schwarz and E.Witten, "Superstring Theory", Vol. 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[36] M.B.Green, DAMTP/97-50; hep-th/9712195; DAMTP-99-13, hep-th/9903124

[37] A.Sen,*Phys.Rew.*, **D53** 6725 (1996), hep-th/9602010; hep-ph/9810356.

[38] N.Seiberg and E.Witten,*Nucl.Physics.*, **B431** 484 (1994); ibid. **B426** 19 (1994); hep-th/9407087

[39] S.Mukhi, TIFR/TH/97-55; hep-ph/9710470.

[40] J.H.Schwarz and N.Seiberg, IASSNS-HEP-98/27; CALT-68-2168; hep-th/9803179.

[41] J.H.Schwarz, CALT-68-2184, hep-th/9807135.

[42] J.Kouneiher, hep-th/9903268.

[43] G.T.Ter-Kazarian, *Nuovo Cimento*, **112** 825 (1997).

[44] G.T.Ter-Kazarian, *Astrophys. and Space Sci.*, **241** 161 (1996).

[45] G.T.Ter-Kazarian,IC/94/290, ICTP (preprint), Trieste, Italy, 1994.

[46] J.M.Cook, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **74** 222 (1953).

[47] V.I.Arnold, *Mathemathical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989.

[48] B.A.Dubrovin, S.P.Novikov and A.T.Fomenko, *The Contemporary Geometry; The Methods and Applications*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986.

[49] L.S.Pontryagin, *The Continous Groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1984.

[50] V.Barger, Talk presented at the Richard Arnowitt Fest: A Symposium on Supersymmetry and Gravitation, College Station, Texas, April 1998; hep-ph/9808354.

[51] W.Heisenberg, *Annual Intl.Conf. on High Energy Physics at CERN*, CERN, Scientific Information Service, Geneva, 1958.

[52] H.P.Dürr, W.Heisenberg , H.Mitter, S.Schlieder, R.Yamayaki , *Z. Naturforsch.*, **14a** 441 (1959); **16a** 726 (1961).

[53] J.Bardeen, L.N.Cooper, J.R.Schriefer, *Phys.Rev.*, **106** 162 (1957); **108** 5 (1957).

[54] N.N.Bogoliubov, *Zh.Eksperim. i Teor.Fiz*, **34** 735 (1958).

[55] N.N.Bogoliubov, V.V.Tolmachev, D.V.Shirkov, *A New Method in the Theory of Superconductivity*, Akd. of Science of U.S.S.R., Moscow, 1958.

[56] Y.Nambu Y, G.Jona-Lasinio, *Phys.Rev.* **122** 345 (1961); **124** 246 (1961).

[57] V.Vaks, A.J.Larkin, *Zh.Eksperim. i Teor.Fiz*, **40** 282 (1961).

[58] L.P.Gor'kov, *Zh.Eksperim. i Teor.Fiz*, **34** 735 (1958).

[59] L.P.Gor'kov, *Zh.Eksperim. i Teor.Fiz*, **36**, 1918 (1959).

[60] D.Pines D., J.R.Schrieffer, *Nuovo Cimento*, **10** 496 (1958).

[61] P.W.Anderson, *Phys.Rev.*, **110**, 827, 1900 (1958); **114** 1002 (1959).

[62] G.Rickayzen, *Phys.Rev.***115** 795 (1959).

[63] Y.Nambu, *Phys.Rev.* **117** 648 (1960).

[64] V.M.Galitzki' , *Zh.Eksperim. i Teor.Fiz*, **34** 1011 (1958).

[65] H.Fritzsh, M.Gell-Mann, In *16th Intl. Conf. on High Energy Physic*s, Chikago-Batawia, **2**, 135 1972.

[66] S.Weinberg, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **31** 494 (1973); *Phys.Rev.*, **D8** 4482 (1973); **D5** 1962 (1972).

[67] D.J.Gross, F.Wilczek, *Phys.Rev.*, **8** 3633 (1973).

[68] W.Marciano, H.Pagels, *Phys. Rep.*, **36** 137 (1978).

[69] S.D.Drell, *Am.J.Phys.*, **46** 597 (1978).

[70] D.J.Gross, R.D.Pisarski, L.G.Yaffe, *Rev.Mod.Phys.*, **53** 43 (1981).

[71] A.J.Buras, *Rev.Mod.Phys.*, **52** 199 (1980).

[72] G.Altarelli, *Phys. Rep.*, **81** 1 (1982).

[73] A.H.Mueller, *Phys. Rep.*, **73** 237 (1981).

[74] E.Reya, *Phys. Rep.*, **69** 195 (1981).

[75] J.Goldstone, *Nuovo Cimento*, **19** 154 (1961).

[76] J.Goldstone, S.Weinberg, A.Salam, *Phys.Rev.*, **127** 965 (1962).

[77] S.A.Bludman, *Phys.Rev.*,**131** 2364 (1963).

[78] P.W.Higgs, *Phys.Letters*, **12** 132 (1964).

[79] P.W.Higgs, *Phys.Rev.*, **140** B911 (1965); 1966, **145**, 1156.

[80] F.Bloch, *Z.Physik*, **61** 206 (1930); **74** 295 (1932).

[81] L.D.Landau, E.M.Lifschitz, *Statistical Physics*, Part II, Nauka, Moscow 1978.

[82] L.N.Cooper, *Phys.Rev.*, 1956, **104** 1189 (1956).

[83] H.Fröhlich, *Phys.Rev.*, **79** 845 (1956).

[84] V.L.Ginzburg, L.D.Landau, *Zh.Eksperim. i Teor.Fiz*, **20** 1064 (1950).

[85] N.R.Werthamer, *Phys.Rev.*, **132**, 663 (1963).

[86] T.Tsuzuki, *Progr.Theoret.Phys.* (Kyoto), **31** 388 (1964).

[87] L.Tewordt, *Phys.Rev.*, **132**, 595 (1963).

[88] V.V.Shmidt, *Introduction to the Physics of Superconductors*, Nauka, Moscow 1982.

[89] T.Matsubara, *Progr.Theoret.Phys.* (Kyoto), **14** 352 (1954).

[90] A.A.Abrikosov, L.P.Gor'kov, J.E.Dzyaloshinski, *Zh.Eksperim. i Teor.Fiz*, **36** 900 (1959).

[91] J.G.Valatin, *Nuovo Cimento*, **7** 843 (1958).

[92] G.A.Baraff, S.Borowitz, *Phys.Rev.*, **121** 1704 (1961).

[93] D.F.DuBois, M.G.Kivelson, *Phys.Rev.*, **127** 1182 (1962).

[94] G.'t Hooft, *Nucl.Phys.*, **B35**, 167 (1971).

[95] B.W.Lee, *Phys.Rev.*, **D5** 823 (1972).

[96] D.Karlen, talk presented at *XXIXth Intl. Conf. on High Energy Physics*, ICHEP98, Vancouver, CA, July 1998; The LEP Collaborations, the LEP Electroweak Working Group, and the SLD HEavy Flavor and Electroweak Groups, CERN-PPE/97-154 (1997).

[97] L.B.Okun, *Leptons and Quarks*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989.

[98] K.Gotow, S.Okubo, *Phys.Rev.*, **128** 1921 (1962).

[99] J.Leitner, S.Okubo, *Phys.Rev.*, **136B** 1542 (1964).

[100] H.Georgi, *Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory*-The Benjamin/Cummings Co.1984

[101] T-P Cheng, L-F Li, *Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1984.

[102] J.H.Christenson, J.M.Cronin, V.L.Fitch, R.Turlay, *Phys.Rev.Letters*, **13** 138 (1964).

[103] M.Kobayashi, T.Maskawa, *Progr.Theoret.Phys.* (Kyoto), **49** 652 (1973).